

		000089288
1	DEPODE THE ADIZONA O	ODDODATION COMMISSION
2	BEFURE THE ARIZUNA U	ORPORATION COMMISSION
3	<u>COMMISSIONERS</u>	Nizona Corporation Commission
5	MIKE GLEASON, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL	007 - 8 2008
6	JEFF HATCH-MILLER KRISTIN K. MAYES GARY PIERCE	DOCKETED DY
	In the matter of:)	DOCKET NO. S-20609A-08-0398
8 9) STANLEY LANE BOBLETT (CRD) #2209980)(a/k/a Lane Boblett) and ANTONIA) BOBLETT (a/k/a Toni Boblett and/or Antonia)	DECISION NO
10	Loera-Marks), husband and wife,	ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, FOR
11	PACIFIC COAST HOLDING COMPANY, a) Delaware Corporation; and	RESTITUTION AND FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY RE:
12	HALL OF FAME PARTNERS, LLC, a	PACIFIC COAST HOLDING COMPANY AND HALL OF FAME PARTNERS, LLC
13	Delaware Limited Liability Company,	
14	Respondents.	
15)	
16	On August 1, 2008, the Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation	
17	Commission ("Commission") filed a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing Regarding Proposed Order	
18	to Cease and Desist, Order for Restitution, for Administrative Penalties and for other Affirmative	
19	Action ("Notice") in the matter against Respondents Stanley Lane Boblett (a/k/a Lane Boblett),	
20	Antonia Boblett (a/k/a Toni Boblett and/or Antonia Loera-Marks), Pacific Coast Holding Company,	
21	and Hall of Fame Partners, LLC.	
22	Antonia Boblett (a/k/a Toni Boblett and/or Antonia Loera-Marks) was served on August 5,	
23	2008. On August 12, 2008, the Division filed a Notice of Dismissal of Antonia Boblett (a/k/a Toni	
24	Boblett and/or Antonia Loera-Marks) Without Prejudice.	
25		
26		
		그는 것을 물러 가 적대가 다시 물건을 통하는 것을 수 없습니다.

1 Pacific Coast Holding Company and Hall of Fame Partners, LLC were personally served on 2 August 13, 2008. The Notice specified that Pacific Coast Holding Company and Hall of Fame 3 Partners had ten days to request a hearing and 30 days to file an answer. Neither requested a hearing or filed an answer within the required time. 4 Stanley Lane Boblett (a/k/a Lane Boblett) is not subject to this Order. 5 Ι. 6 7 **FINDINGS OF FACT** 1. Stanley Lane Boblett (a/k/a Lane Boblett)("Boblett") is an individual who at all 8 9 times relevant offered and sold securities within or from Arizona. 2. Pacific Coast Holding Company ("PCH") is a company incorporated in Delaware. 10 3. Boblett is a director of PCH. 11 4. Hall of Fame Partners, LLC ("FAME") is a Delaware Limited Liability Company. 12 5. Boblett is a "Director" (sic) of FAME. 13 6. Boblett (CRD#2209980) has not been a registered salesmen since November 2000 and 14 15 has not been a registered dealer. At all times relevant, PCH and FAME were not registered with the Commission as dealers or salesmen. 16 7. 17 Beginning on or about March 2006 to at least December 2007, PCH and FAME 18 offered and/or sold unregistered securities in the form of investment contracts, shares of stock and 19 promissory notes to at least six investors, including at least one Arizona investor. PCH and FAME 20 raised at least \$500,000 from investors. 8. 21 The underlying nature of the investment contracts varied and included investments 22 in companies that produced a product known as a "Kickstand", beverages, and digitized film 23 (collectively referred to as the "Investments."). 24 25 26 2 Decision No. 70548

A. <u>KICKSTAND</u>

9. Boblett offer ed at least one investor an investment contract that Boblett called shares of stock in a company that made a "Kickstand." Boblett represented to at least one investor that the "Kickstand" was a device designed to hold a guitar.

sti Tiga

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

10. Boblett promised at least one investor a rate of return of 150% on this investment.

11. Boblett instructed at least one investor to make the funds payable to "Pacific Coast Holdings/Venture Fund." PCH received the investor funds in a bank account opened and controlled by Boblett.

12. The investors provided the investment funds but otherwise had no involvement in the investment.

13. Boblett failed to provide the investor with any disclosures prior to investing.

B. <u>BEVERAGE COMPANY</u>

14. Boblett offered shares of stock in a beverage company by offering different investors different types of securities with different terms.

15. Boblett offered at least one investor the opportunity to purchase shares of stock in the beverage company. Boblett provided to at least one investor a business plan for the beverage company. Boblett represented to at least one investor that he was an investment banker raising funds for the beverage company.

16. Boblett offered shares of stock in a beverage company to at least one investor by promising to return the original investment funds, plus the investor would be able to keep the shares of stock. Boblett induced this investment by telling the investor that a shareholder needed to liquidate the holdings in the beverage company. The investor received neither the return of the funds nor the shares of stock.

24 17. Boblett offered to at least one investor a promised return of 25% within 30 to 60
25 days if the investor would allow Boblett to borrow funds in order for Boblett to purchase shares of
26 stock in the beverage company at a reduced price. Boblett failed to return the funds as promised.

3

Decision No. 70548

18. Boblett offered to at least one investor an opportunity to become part of an investment group wherein Boblett would pool funds from those in the investment group to purchase shares of stock in a beverage company. Boblett failed to purchase the shares of stock in the beverage company.

19. Boblett offered at least one investor a promissory note in exchange for funds so Boblett could invest in the beverage company by purchasing shares of stock. The promissory note was for a term of one month at an interest rate of 8%. Boblett failed to return the funds as promised.

20. Boblett instructed the investors to make the funds payable to "Pacific Coast Holdings/SIP," "SIP/PCH," "Pacific Coast Holding/Sudden Impact Partners," and "Pacific Coast Holding Company." PCH received the investor funds in bank accounts opened and controlled by Boblett.

C. <u>FAME</u>

21. Boblett, on behalf of FAME, offered at least one investor an investment contract that he called shares of stock in FAME even though FAME is a limited liability corporation.

22. Boblett offered at least one investor the opportunity to invest in FAME by representing that FAME was affiliated with the beverage company and that FAME investors would have more voting power in the beverage company if they invested with FAME. Additionally, Boblett represented to at least one investor that the purchase price of FAME had doubled; however, Boblett offered investors an opportunity to purchase the shares of stock at the pre-doubling price thereby offering an instant 100% return on investment.

23. FAME failed to disclose that FAME is a limited liability company controlled by Boblett and that FAME could not issue shares of stock.

24. FAME misrepresented that FAME was associated with the beverage company when there was no affiliation.

4 Decision No. **70548**

25. Boblett instructed the investors to make the funds payable to "Pacific Coast Holding Company." PCH received the investor funds in bank accounts opened and controlled by Boblett.

3 26. The investors provided the investment funds but otherwise had no involvement in the investment. 4

5 D.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1

2

DIGITAL IMAGING INVESTMENT

27. Boblett offer ed at least one investor an investment contract that Boblett called shares of stock in a limited liability company that digitized film.

28. To induce the investment, Boblett represented this company developed a revolutionary machine that would increase the speed at which film is digitized. The use of this revolutionary machine would translate into increased profits for the company and an increased value for each share of stock. Additionally, Boblett showed at least one investor a video of the revolutionary machine.

29. Boblett instructed the investors to make the funds payable to "Pacific Coast Holdings." PCH received the investor funds in bank accounts opened and controlled by Boblett.

30. The investors provided the investment funds but otherwise had no involvement in the investment.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

E.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

31. A majority of the investors received unsigned subscription agreements (hereinafter "investor documents") in exchange for their investment funds indicating that they were purchasing shares of stock.

32. Boblett failed to invest the investor funds as promised to the investors. The investors neither received stock certificates nor are listed as shareholders in the companies.

33. PCH and FAME expended investor funds for Boblett's personal expenses without 24 authorization from investors. PCH and FAME failed to disclose to potential investors that investor 25 funds will be expended for personal use.

26

5

Decision No.

34. PCH and FAME failed to disclose to the investors risks associated with the investments.

35. The majority of investors who invested with PCH and FAME lost their entire investment.

36. PCH and FAME owe investors \$500,000.

II.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act.

2. PCH and FAME offered or sold securities within or from Arizona, within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 44-1801(15), 44-1801(21), and 44-1801(26).

3. PCH and FAME violated A.R.S. § 44-1841 by offering or selling securities that were neither registered nor exempt from registration.

4. PCH and FAME violated A.R.S. § 44-1842 by offering or selling securities while neither registered as dealers or salesmen nor exempt from registration.

5. PCH and FAME violated A.R.S. § 44-1991 by (a) employing a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud, (b) making untrue statements or misleading omissions of material facts, and (c) engaging in transactions, practices, or courses of business that operate or would operate as a fraud or deceit. The conduct included:

a) PCH and FAME failed to inform investors that not all of their investment
funds were invested into the alleged Investments;

b) PCH and FAME failed to inform investors that investor funds would be
used for personal use or benefit;

24 c) PCH and FAME failed to disclose to investors any risks associated with
25 the alleged Investments, including but not limited to the loss of the entire investment;

d)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

26

FAME failed to disclose the relationship between FAME and Boblett;

6

Decision No. 70548

e) FAME misrepresented that FAME was associated with the beverage
company;

f) FAME misrepresented to investors that FAME could issue stock; and

g) PCH and FAME misrepresented to investors that they would make substantial profits by investing in the alleged Investments.

37. PCH's and FAME's conduct is grounds for a cease and desist order pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032.

38. PCH's and FAME's conduct is grounds for an order of restitution pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032.

39. PCH's and FAME's conduct is grounds for administrative penalties under A.R.S. §

III.

ORDER

THEREFORE, on the basis of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Commission finds that the following relief is appropriate, in the public interest, and necessary for the protection of investors:

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that PCH and FAME, and any of PCH's or FAME's agents, employees, successors and assigns, permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that PCH and FAME shall, jointly and severally with any other respondents in any other order entered in Docket No. S-20609A-08-0398, pay restitution to investors shown on the records of the Commission in the amount of \$500,000. Payment shall be made in full on the date of this Order. Any amount outstanding shall accrue interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of this Order until paid in full. Payment shall be made to the "State of Arizona" to be placed in an interest-bearing account controlled by the Commission. The Commission shall disburse the funds on a pro-rata

7

Decision No. 70548

3

4

basis to investors shown on the records of the Commission. Any restitution funds that the Commission cannot disburse because an investor refuses to accept such payment shall be disbursed on a pro-rata basis to the remaining investors shown on the records of the Commission. Any funds that the Commission determines it is unable to or cannot feasibly disburse shall be transferred to the general fund of the state of Arizona.

. . . .

. . . .

. . . .

. . . .

.

. . . .

. . . .

. . . .

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036 that PCH and FAME shall, jointly and severally with any other respondents in any other order entered in Docket No. S-20609A-08-0398, pay an administrative penalty in the amount of \$150,000. Payment shall be made to the "State of Arizona." Any amount outstanding shall accrue interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of this Order until paid in full. The payment obligations for these administrative penalties shall be subordinate to any restitution obligations ordered herein and shall become immediately due and payable only after restitution payments have been paid in full or upon PCH's and FAME's default with respect to PCH's and FAME's restitution obligations.

For purposes of this Order, a bankruptcy filing by PCH or FAME shall be an act of default. If PCH or FAME does not comply with this Order, any outstanding balance may be deemed in default and shall be immediately due and payable.



1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that if PCH or FAME fails to comply with this order, the		
2	Commission may bring further legal proceedings against PCH or FAME, including application to		
3	the superior court for an order of contempt.		
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately.		
5	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION		
6	1 in malan		
7	Laure Steam William Million		
8	CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER		
9	~ 100		
10	ething to hathe Maler Jang Sun		
E	Agemmissioner Commissioner Commissioner		
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL,		
13	Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the		
14	official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 24 day of		
15	<u>October</u> , 2008.		
16			
17	A.M.A.		
18	BIVIAN C. MCNEIL EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR		
19			
20			
21	DISSENT		
22			
23	DISSENT		
24	This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Linda Hogan, ADA Coordinator,		
25	voice phone number 602-542-3931, e-mail <u>lhogan@azcc.gov.</u> (AV)		
26) 이상은 정말한 것이다. 한 것이 사람들은 것은 해외에서 이 것이다. 이 것이다. 이 것이다. 또한 것이다. 또한 것이다. 한 것이다. 한 것이 가지 않는 것이 것은 것이 있는 것이다. 같은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것이 같은 것이다. 이 것은 것을 다 이 것이다. 것이 같은 것이다. 이 것이다. 이 것이다. 것은 것은 것이다. 한 것이 같은 것이다. 것이 같은 것이다. 것이 같은 것이		
	9 Decision No. <u>70548</u>		