DOCKET

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9.

10

11

12

COMMISSIONERS

MARC SPITZER, Chairman

JIM IRVIN

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL

JEFF HATCH-MILLER MIKE GLEASON Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

FEB 1 8 2003

100		- <u> </u>		4
DOCK	ETED	BY		
			ſ	
			DO	_

In the matter of

ONE VISION CHILDREN'S FOUNDATION P.O. Box 27297

Tempe, Arizona 85285

HOLLISTER M. MARX 1228 El Parque Dr. Tempe, Arizona 85282

Respondents.

DOCKET NO. S-03515-03-0000

DECISION NO.

65629

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER RE SAME

I. SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.

- 1. Plaintiff, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("ACC"), and Defendants One Vision Children's Foundation, Inc. ("One Vision") and Hollister M. Marx ("Marx"), parties in the case of Arizona Corporation Commission v. One Vision Children's Foundation, Inc., Hollister M. Marx, Wealth Management Resources, Inc., Michael B. and Betty Maksudian and Michael A. and Lorrina Diaz, Maricopa County Superior Court No. CV2002-020878 (the "lawsuit"), desire to resolve the portion of the lawsuit involving them and thus enter into this Settlement Agreement ("Agreement").
- 2. In settlement of the lawsuit, One Vision and Marx elect to permanently waive their right to a trial of the lawsuit. One Vision and Marx admit the jurisdiction of the Superior Court over the subject matter of this lawsuit. One Vision and Marx neither admit nor deny any factual or legal allegation contained in the Complaint and, solely for the purposes of this case, consent to the entry of the Proposed Judgment attached as Exhibit B to this Agreement.

1314

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

•

- 3. The ACC and Marx agree that this Agreement and the Judgment are based on the legal conclusion that Marx violated A.R.S. § 44-1991(2) by directly or indirectly making untrue statements of material fact or omitting to state material facts which were necessary in order to make the statements made not misleading in light of the circumstances under which they were made.
- 4. One Vision and Marx, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, agree that they, their agents, employees, successors and assigns, shall permanently be enjoined from violating the Securities Act.
- 5. One Vision agrees to entry of judgment against it of \$4,357,140.84 in restitution. One Vision is entitled to offsets for any payment of restitution to investors from any source as reflected on the records of the ACC.
 - 6. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2037, One Vision agrees to pay a penalty of \$50,000.
- 7. Marx agrees to entry of judgment against her of \$3,039,667.75 in restitution. Marx is entitled to offsets for any recovery of funds from One Vision's investments in Yucatan Resorts, Inc, One Vision's investments in the Evergreen R.L.L.P.s, or One Vision's accounts at Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner & Smith.
- 8. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2037, Marx agrees to pay a penalty of \$10,000. If all investors in One Vision receive full restitution within two years of the date of the entry of judgment against Marx, her penalty shall be reduced to \$5,000.
- 9. One Vision and Marx acknowledge that they have been fully advised of their right to a trial to present evidence and call witnesses and One Vision and Marx knowingly and voluntarily waive any and all rights to a trial of this matter.
- 10. One Vision and Marx knowingly and voluntarily waive any right they may have under to judicial review by any court by way of suit, appeal, or extraordinary relief resulting from the entry of Exhibit B, the proposed judgment.
- 11. One Vision and Marx acknowledge and agree that this Agreement is entered into freely and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.

- 12. One Vision and Marx acknowledge that they have been represented by counsel in this matter, they have reviewed this Agreement with their attorney and understand all terms it contains.
- 13. By consenting to the entry of the Judgment, One Vision and Marx agree to refrain from taking any action or to making, or permitting to be made, any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, or calculated to create the impression that the legal conclusions contained in Paragraph 3 of the Agreement are without merit.
- 14. While this Order settles the lawsuit between One Vision and Marx and the ACC, One Vision and Marx understand that this Order does not preclude the ACC from instituting other administrative or civil proceedings based on violations that are not addressed by the lawsuit.
- 15. One Vision and Marx understand that this Agreement does not preclude the ACC from referring this matter to any governmental agency for administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to the matters addressed by the lawsuit.
- 16. One Vision and Marx understand that this Agreement does not preclude any other agency or officer of the state of Arizona or its subdivisions from instituting administrative, civil or criminal proceedings that may be related to matters addressed by this Agreement or the lawsuit.
- 17. Marx agrees that she will not exercise any control over any entity that offers or sells securities or provides investment advisory services, within or from Arizona.
- 18. One Vision and Marx understand that default shall render them liable to the Commission for its costs of collection and interest at the legal rate determined by law.
- 19. Marx agrees that she will continue to cooperate with the Securities Division and the Receiver including, but not limited to, providing truthful, complete and accurate testimony at any hearing or trial in this matter and cooperating with the state of Arizona in any related investigation or any other matters arising from the activities described in the lawsuit.
- 20. One Vision and Marx agree that the Receivership of One Vision shall be made permanent to wind up the affairs of One Vision, until the Court determines that the Receivership

shall end. One Vision and Marx agree not to challenge the imposition or continuation of the Receivership. Reasonable inquiries into the amount and nature of fees requested by the Receiver and/or his counsel shall not be deemed a challenge to the imposition or continuation of the Receivership.

- 21. Each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 22. One Vision and Marx acknowledge that this Agreement must be approved by the ACC at a noticed Open Meeting.
- 23. The ACC, One Vision and Marx agree that upon approval of this Agreement, their counsel shall as soon as practicable execute and cause to be filed the attached Stipulation to Entry of Judgment (Exhibit A to this Agreement) and Judgment (Exhibit B to this Agreement).
- 24. One Vision and Marx expressly and severally represent and warrant that they are authorized to execute this Agreement. The validity, effect and performance of this Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Arizona.
- 25. This Agreement resolves the lawsuit brought by the ACC against One Vision and Marx. It does not resolve the portion of the lawsuit pertaining to Defendants Wealth Management Resources, Inc., Michael B. and Betty Maksudian and Michael A. and Lorrina Diaz.

Hollister M. Marx

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this 3 day of 1000

tepnany

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires:

October 15, 2004.

O DURA

Debra Hemandez
My Commission CC974937

Expires October 15, 2004

1	ONE VISION CHILDREN'S
2	FOUNDATION, INC.
3	
4	By: Hollister M. Marx
5	Its Executive Director
6	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this
7	\wedge
8	Holta Hernand
9	NOTARY PUBLIC
10	My Commission Expires:
11	October 15, 2001 Expires October 15, 2004
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	#
19	[발발] 그는 그는 그리고 있는 이번에는 말라면 그는 하는 이 그는 사람들이 되었다. 그는 사람들이 되었다. [발발] 그는 말라고 그러면 하는 사람들이 되었다. 그런 그들은 그들은 그들은 그를 보고 있다. 그런 그를 보고 있다. 그는 사람들이 되었다. 그런 것이다. 그런
20	[발생으로 보고 보고 보고 있다"] 이 이 이 이라면 하고 있다. 그리고 있는데, 그 전에 이 분석으로 보고 있다. 그리고 있다. [1] [4] 14 (1) 10 (1) 12 (1) 12 (1) 12 (1) 12 (1) 13 (1) 13 (1) 13 (1) 13 (1) 14 (1) 15 (1) 15 (1) 15 (1) 15 (1
21	[발표] 16
100	#함께 되는 지원에 가는 이 전 일당한 이 어때 나타지만 나는 그런 나타이는 이 나이 하는 지난 가는 것은 경우 그녀가 되었다. 문제 작동하게 문제
22	[18] 보고 있는 것이 되는 것이 되고 있는 것도 되고 있다. 그 전에 가장 하는 것은 것을 하는 것을 보고 있다. 그는 것을 모르는 것은 것을 보고 있다. 그는 것을 모르는 것은 것을 보고 있다. [18] 보고 있는 것은 것은 것을 보고 있는 것은 것을 보고 있는 것은 것을 보고 있다. 그런 것은 것을 보고 있는 것을 보고 있다. 그런 것은 것을 보고 있다. 그런 것은 것을 받는 것은 것을
22 23	
23	
23 24	
23	

Decision No.

II.

ORDER

The Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") having reviewed the Settlement Agreement entered into between ONE VISION CHILDREN'S FOUNDATION, INC. and HOLLISTER M. MARX (collectively "RESPONDENTS") and the Securities Division of the Commission ("Division") and finding the Settlement Agreement to be in the public interest, the Commission finds that the following Order is appropriate and in the public interest:

IT IS ORDERED that the Settlement Agreement between the Commission and RESPONDENTS is approved.

Decision No. 65629

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Securities Division is authorized to enter into the					
2	Stipulation to Entry of Judgment attached as Exhibit A to this Order.					
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately.					
4	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION					
5	1 / M/ / mm 1 nm					
6	and John and Williams					
7	CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER					
8						
9	In hall nill Land 2900					
10	COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER					
11						
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation					
13	Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the					
14	Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 18th day of					
15	February, 2003.					
16						
17	BRIAN C. McNEIL					
18	Executive Secretary					
19	. 하는 사람들은 이 시간 이 회사 가는 사람들이 가능하고 있다. 그는 사람들이 보고 하는 것이 되고 있는 것이 되었다. 그는 사람들은 생각을 받는 것이 없는 것이다. 					
20	DISSENT					
21	[1] 경기 등 대한 경기 등 보고 있다. 그 전 경기는 하는 경기에 가는 경기를 받는 것이 되었다. 그런					
	DISSENT					
22						
23	This degree is available in alternative formers by a starting Challe & C. I. T. T.					
24	This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Shelly M. Hood, Executive Assistant to the Executive Secretary, voice phone number 602-542-3931, E-mail					
25	shood@cc.state.az.us.					
26	(md)					

Decision No. 65629

Exhibit A

1 2 3 4 5	Mark Dinell #011450 1300 West Washington, 3 rd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Attorney for Plaintiff Telephone: (602) 542-0626 Fax: (602) 594-7407	
6	6 STATE OF ARIZONA	
7	7 MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT	
8	8	
9	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION) No. CV2002-020878	
10	Plaintiff) SIPULATION FOR I OF JUDGMENT	ENTRY
11) (Tabbighed to tadge 11	enneth L. Fields)
12	ONE VISION CHILDREN'S FOUNDATION, INC., an Arizona Non-profit corporation; HOLLISTER M. MARX, an individual;	
13	3 WEALTH MANAGEMENT RESOURCES,)	
14	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
15	MICHAEL A. and LORRINA DIAZ, husband) and wife,)	
16	6 Defendants.	
17	7 Plaintiff the Arizona Corporation Commission and Defendants One Vision	ı Children's
18	8 Foundation, Inc. and Hollister M. Marx hereby stipulate to entry of the attached J	udgment.
19	Dated this day of February, 2003	
20		
21	ARIZONA CORPORATION	COMMISSION
22	[14] [12] [14] [15] [16] [16] [16] [16] [16] [16] [16] [16	
23	By Mark Dinell	
24	Attorney for the Arizona (Corporation
25	4.	
26	. 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	

Dated this ____ day of February, 2003

QUARLES & BRADY STREICH LANG

By

Lee M. Holtry
Attorneys for Defendants
One Vision Children's Foundation, Inc.
and Hollister M. Marx

Exhibit B

1 2 3 4	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION Mark Dinell #011450 1300 West Washington, 3 rd Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Attorney for Plaintiff Telephone: (602) 542-0626 Fax: (602) 594-7407		
5	BRONE SECTION CONTROL SECTION CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL BRONE SECTION CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL		
6	STATE OF ARIZONA		
7	MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT		
8	65629		
9	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION) No. CV2002-020878		
10	Plaintiff j JUDGMENT		
11	v. (Assigned to Judge Kenneth L. Field	ls)	
12	ONE VISION CHILDREN'S FOUNDATION, () INC., an Arizona Non-profit corporation; () HOLLISTER M. MARX, an individual; ()		
13	WEALTH MANAGEMENT RESOURCES,		
14	INC., an Arizona corporation; MICHAEL B. and) BETTY MAKSUDIAN, husband and wife;) MICHAEL A. and LORRINA DIAZ, husband)		
15	and wife,		
16	Defendants.		
17	Upon stipulation of Plaintiff the Arizona Corporation Commission ("ACC") and		
18	Defendants One Vision Children's Foundation, Inc. ("One Vision") and Hollister M. Marx		
19	("Marx") and good cause appearing:		
20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that One Vision and Marx shall be		
21 22	permanently enjoined from violations of the Arizona Securities Act, A.R.S. § 44-1801 et seq.		
23	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that judgment shall be entered in favor of the ACC and		
24	against One Vision in the amount of \$4,357,140.84. One Vision is entitled to offsets for any		
25	recovery of funds in this action by its Receiver, Lawrence Warfield, or the ACC.		
26	에 있는 생물을 보고 있는 것이 되었다. 그리고 있는 사람들은 사람들이 되었다는 것이 되었다. 그런 사람들이 되었다는 것이 되었다. 		

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2037, a civil penalty shall be imposed against One Vision in the amount of \$50,000.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that judgment shall be entered in favor of the ACC and against Marx in the amount of \$3,039,667.75. Marx is entitled to offsets for any recovery of funds from One Vision's investments in Yucatan Resorts, Inc, One Vision's investments in the Evergreen R.L.L.P.s, or One Vision's accounts at Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner & Smith.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2037, a civil penalty shall be imposed against Marx in the amount of \$10,000. If all investors in One Vision receive full restitution within two years of the date of the entry of judgment against Marx, her penalty shall be reduced to \$5,000.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Receivership of One Vision shall be made permanent to wind up the affairs of One Vision, until the Court determines that the Receivership shall end.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the ACC, One Vision and Marx shall bear their own attorneys' fees and costs.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that interest shall accrue on all damages at the legal rate of ten percent per annum from date of entry of this Judgment until fully paid.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that there is no just reason for delay and this judgment shall be entered immediately.

~		**	, 2003
Dated this	day of	1 to 1 to 1 to 1 to 1 to 1	2003
Daicu illis	uay or		:, 4000

Hon. Kenneth A. Fields Maricopa County Superior Court