| | ORIGINAL 0000181127 | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION | | | | | | | |
| 1 | AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL Arizona Corporation Commission | | | | | | | |
| 1975 | COMMISSIONERS DOCKETED | | | | | | | |
| 3 | TOM FORESE - Chairman BOB BURNS JUL 1 0 2017 | | | | | | | |
| 4 5 | DOUG LITTLE ANDY TOBIN BOYD DUNN | | | | | | | |
| 6 | In the matter of:) DOCKET NO. S-20999A-16-0483 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | CLEAR ENERGY GROUP, LLC, an) AMENDED NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Arizona limited liability company, and) HEARING REGARDING PROPOSED ORDER) TO CEASE AND DESIST, ORDER FOR | | | | | | | |
| 9 | PAUL W. RAMIREZ, a single man) RESTITUTION , ORDER FOR) ADMINISTRATIVEPENALTIES , AND | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Respondents.) ORDER FOR OTHER AFFIRMATIVE ACTION ACTION AFFIRMATIVE AFFIRMATIVE | | | | | | | |
| 11 | NOTICE: EACH RESPONDENT HAS 10 DAYS TO REQUEST A HEARING | | | | | | | |
| 12 | EACH RESPONDENT HAS 30 DAYS TO FILE AN ANSWER | | | | | | | |
| 13 | The Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") | | | | | | | |
| 14 | alleges that respondents Clear Energy Group, LLC and Paul W. Ramirez have engaged in acts, practices, | | | | | | | |
| 15 | and transactions that constitute violations of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 et seq. | | | | | | | |
| 16 | ("Securities Act"). | | | | | | | |
| 17 | The Division also alleges that Paul W. Ramirez is a controlling person of Clear Energy Group, | | | | | | | |
| 18 | LLC within the meaning of A.R.S. § 44-1999(B) to the same extent as the entity for its violations of the | | | | | | | |
| 19 | antifraud provisions of the Securities Act. | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Ι. | | | | | | | |
| 21 | JURISDICTION | | | | | | | |
| 22 | 1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona | | | | | | | |
| 23 | Constitution and the Securities Act. | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | Docket No. S-20999A-16-0483 | |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | II. | |
| 2 | RESPONDENTS | |
| 3 | 2. Paul W. Ramirez is a single man who resided in Scottsdale during the times relevant to | |
| 4 | this Notice, i.e. 2014 through 2016. | |
| 5 | 3. Clear Energy Group, LLC ("Clear Energy") is a manager-managed Arizona limited | |
| 6 | liability company formed on April 22, 2014. Paul Ramirez is listed as Clear Energy's manager in its | |
| 7 | Articles of Organization. He is also listed as the sole member, the organizer, and the statutory agent. | |
| 8 | Ramirez's address and Clear Energy's domestic address is a residence in Scottsdale, Arizona. | |
| 9 | 4. Ramirez and Clear Energy may be referred to collectively as "Respondents." | |
| 10 | III. | |
| 11 | FACTS | |
| 12 | 5. From fall 2014 through 2015, Respondents offered and sold notes and fractional | |
| 13 | undivided interests in oil and mineral rights to at least five people (these five people may be referred to | |
| 14 | as the "Investors.") | |
| 15 | 6. In fall 2014, Ramirez met J.F.S., an Ohio resident, when Ramirez was at an Ohio car | |
| 16 | dealership. | |
| 17 | 7. In conversations between Ramirez and J.F.S., Ramirez described an investment | |
| 18 | opportunity in oil wells in New York State where Clear Energy would own 50% of five oil wells. A | |
| 19 | third party, Drillers LLC, would drill and operate the wells. | |
| 20 | 8. Based on these representations, J.F.S. invested \$450,000 in Clear Energy on | |
| 21 | September 18, 2014. | |
| 22 | 9. In exchange for his investment, J.F.S. received a note and a security interest in oil and | |
| 23 | mineral rights. | |
| 24 | 10. The note that Clear Energy sold to J.F.S. describes Clear Energy as the "Borrower." | |
| 25 | This note bears interest at 20% per annum. It requires Clear Energy to make 12 consecutive, interest- | |
| 26 | only payments of \$7,500 due on the first day of every month beginning on November 1, 2014. On | |
| | 2 | |

November 1, 2015, Clear Energy is to make a balloon payment of all principal and outstanding
 interest.

11. J.F.S.'s note says it is "to be secured by a UCC Security Agreement [*sic*] on certain oil well interests located in Olean, New York, as described in said Security Agreement."

12. The note states that it was made in Scottsdale, Arizona.

6

3

4

5

13. Ramirez signed the note as the "managing member" of Clear Energy.

In exchange for his \$450,000 payment, Clear Energy also provided J.F.S. with a
"Security Agreement" with Clear Energy as the "Debtor" and J.F.S. as the "Secured Party." This
agreement grants J.F.S. a security interest in all of Clear Energy's interest in the first six oil wells
developed by Drillers LLC on an oil and gas lease in Cattaraugus County, NY.

11 15. In fall 2014, a mutual acquaintance introduced Ramirez to J.P.S. and A.S., who are
12 Ohio residents.

13 16. In conversations between Ramirez and J.P.S. and A.S., Ramirez described an 14 investment opportunity in oil wells in New York. This was the same opportunity he described to 15 J.F.S., i.e. that Clear Energy would own 50% of five oil wells drilled and operated by Drillers LLC. 16 [*Id.*]

17 17. Based on these representations, J.P.S. and A.S. each invested \$150,000, for a total of
18 \$300,000, in Clear Energy on November 19, 2014.

19 18. In exchange for their respective \$150,000 investments, J.P.S. and A.S each received
20 a note and a security interest in oil and mineral rights.

19. The notes that Clear Energy sold to J.P.S. and A.S. describe Clear Energy as the
"Borrower." The notes each bear interest at 15% per annum. The terms of the notes require Clear
Energy to make six consecutive monthly payments of \$1,875 beginning on January 20, 2015, and
continuing through June 20, 2015. On June 20, 2015, Clear Energy is to make a balloon payment of
all principal and outstanding interest.

26

1

20. J.P.S.'s and A.S.'s notes are both "to be secured by a UCC Security Agreement [*sic*] on certain oil well interests located in Olean, New York, as described in said Security Agreement."

3

2

21. The notes state that they were made in Scottsdale, Arizona.

4

22. Ramirez signed the notes as the "managing member" of Clear Energy.

In exchange for their \$150,000 payments, Clear Energy also provided J.P.S. and A.S.
with respective "Security Agreements" with Clear Energy as the "Debtor" and J.P.S. and A.S. each
as the "Secured Party." These agreements grant J.P.S. and A.S. a security interest in all of Clear
Energy's interest in the first two oil wells developed by Drillers LLC on an oil and gas lease in
Cattaraugus County, NY.

10 24. In April 2015, a mutual business associate told M.H., a California resident, about
11 Ramirez and Clear Energy.

12 25. In conversations between Ramirez and M.H., Ramirez described an investment 13 opportunity in an oil well in Olean, New York. According to Ramirez, oil had already been 14 discovered and \$1,000,000 had already been invested in the well. Ramirez told M.H. that additional 15 investor money was needed to complete the well through fracking. Ramirez further represented that 16 the investment was 100% guaranteed.

Based on these representations, M.H. invested \$45,000 in Clear Energy through his
company, SolarStar. He invested \$22,500 on April 9, 2015, and another \$22,500 on April 22, 2015.

19 27. M.H. expected his investment to be used only on fracking an oil well in Olean, New20 York.

21 28. In exchange for the investments, M.H./SolarStar received notes and fractional
22 undivided interests in oil and mineral rights.

23 29. Both notes say that they are "to be secured by a UCC Security Agreement on certain
24 oil well interests located in Olean, New York[.]"

25

30. Each note states that it was made in Scottsdale, Arizona.

26

31. Ramirez signed each note as the "managing member" of Clear Energy.

- 32. Both of M.H./SolarStar's notes list SolarStar as the "Lender." Each note bears interest
 at 24% interest per annum and requires Clear Energy to make six monthly payments of \$450 followed
 by a balloon payment at the end of the six-month period.
- 4

5

6

7

33. Each note also grants SolarStar a working interest in the well, described as a "horizontal well" on the "Stephens-Williams lease." For each note, SolarStar was to initially receive a 5% working interest upon fracking of the well. After the principal and interest on the notes are repaid, SolarStar would retain a 3% working interest per note for the life of the well.

8 34. Respondents also provided M.H. with a document titled "Wellbore Assignment,
9 Conveyance, Bill of Sale and Release" which lists Ramirez as the "Assignor" and M.H. as the
10 "Assignee."

This document assigns M.H. a 6% working interest in the well, described as being in
the Town of Allegany (which is a few miles from Olean), County of Cattaraugus, New York, in
exchange for M.H. funding \$45,000 to complete the fracking of the well.

36. SolarStar received one, \$900 payment from Clear Energy in the form of a Cashier's
Check from a Scottsdale branch of Wells Fargo, dated May 11, 2015. SolarStar/M.H. received no
other payments.

17 37. In early 2015, Ramirez contacted P.G., a California resident. Ramirez met P.G.
18 through a mutual friend.

38. In conversations between Ramirez and P.G., Ramirez described an investment
opportunity in an oil well in Olean, New York. Ramirez described it as a horizontal hydraulic fracking
project. Ramirez stated that everything at the well site was taken care of and that they needed investor
money to start the actual fracking.

39. Based on these representations, P.G. invested \$21,500 in Clear Energy on May 5,
24 2015.

40. In exchange for his investment, P.G. received a note and fractional undivided interests
in oil and mineral rights.

| 1 | 41. 7 | The note that Clear Energy sold to P.G. describes Clear Energy as the "Borrower." | | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | This note bears interest at 12% per annum. It requires Clear Energy to make six consecutive, interest- | | | | |
| 3 | only payments of \$215 beginning on June 1, 2015, followed by a balloon payment of all principal | | | | |
| 4 | and outstanding interest. | | | | |
| 5 | 42. | The P.GClear Energy note also grants P.G. a 3% interest in the Olean, NY oil well: | | | |
| 6 | "Security in Promissory Note is direct interest of 3% in Stephens-Williams 1 Located In Olean, NY. | | | | |
| 7 | Direct Interest will be transferred immediately upon well frack. This ownership shall remain for the | | | | |
| 8 | life of the well after promissory note is paid in full." | | | | |
| 9 | 43. I | P.G.'s note says it is "to be secured by a UCC Security Agreement on certain oil well | | | |
| 10 | interests located in Olean, New York[.]" | | | | |
| 11 | 44. 1 | The note states that it was made in Scottsdale, Arizona. | | | |
| 12 | 45. I | Ramirez signed the note as the "managing member" of Clear Energy. | | | |
| 13 | 46. I | P.G. has not received any payments from his investment with Respondents. | | | |
| 14 | Respondents' I | Misrepresentations to Investors | | | |
| 15 | 47. (| Clear Energy and Ramirez promised the Investors that they would quickly receive | | | |
| 16 | returns on their | investments. All Investors were to receive monthly interest payments, then a full | | | |
| 17 | return of their funds within six months or a year of their purchase. Besides the single, \$900 payment | | | | |
| 18 | made to M.H. described above, the Investors did not receive the interest payments promised; no | | | | |
| 19 | Investors received a return of any principal. | | | | |
| 20 | 48. I | Respondents failed to inform two Investors, M.H. and P.H., that prior to their purchase | | | |
| 21 | of the notes and | I well interests, Clear Energy had failed to make required payments to persons who | | | |
| 22 | had previously | purchased notes from Clear Energy. | | | |
| 23 | 49. | All five Investors understood that they were investing in oil and gas production and | | | |
| 24 | that their investments would be secured by Clear Energy's ownership interests in several oil wells. | | | | |
| 25 | At least two investors were to receive interest in oil well revenues after their notes were paid. | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| 1 | Respondents failed to disclose to each of the four Investors who invested subsequent to J.F.S. that | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Respondents had already granted security interests in the wells. | | | |
| 3 | 50. Respondents failed to disclose to the Investors any risks related to investing or any | | | |
| 4 | risks associated with oil and gas investments in particular. | | | |
| 5 | 51. Respondents told at least two Investors that their investment funds would be used for | | | |
| 6 | fracking an oil well in or near Olean, New York. Respondents failed to disclose to these two Investors | | | |
| 7 | that the State of New York had placed a moratorium on all fracking in 2008. On December 17, 2014, | | | |
| 8 | New York's governor announced that the moratorium would become a permanent ban on fracking. | | | |
| 9 | Thus at all relevant times, fracking was prohibited in New York. | | | |
| 10 | IV. | | | |
| 11 | VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1841 | | | |
| 12 | (Offer or Sale of Unregistered Securities) | | | |
| 13 | 52. From on or about November 2014, Respondents offered or sold securities in the form | | | |
| 14 | notes and/or fractional undivided interests in oil and mineral rights, within or from Arizona. | | | |
| 15 | 53. The securities referred to above were not registered pursuant to Articles 6 or 7 of the | | | |
| 16 | Securities Act. | | | |
| 17 | 54. This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1841. | | | |
| 18 | V. | | | |
| 19 | VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1842 | | | |
| 20 | (Transactions by Unregistered Dealers or Salesmen) | | | |
| 21 | 55. Respondents offered or sold securities within or from Arizona while not registered as | | | |
| 22 | dealers or salesmen pursuant to Article 9 of the Securities Act. | | | |
| 23 | 56. This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1842. | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| | 7 | | | |
| | | | | |

VI. 1 VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1991 2 (Fraud in Connection with the Offer or Sale of Securities) 3 57. In connection with the offer or sale of securities within or from Arizona, Respondents 4 5 directly or indirectly: (i) employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; (ii) made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts that were necessary in order to make the statements 6 made not misleading in light of the circumstances under which they were made; or (iii) engaged in 7 transactions, practices, or courses of business that operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon 8 9 offerees and investors. Respondents' conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following: Respondents represented to Investors that the oil wells would quickly generate 10 a) returns and a full return of principal. Respondents failed to make payments to investors as promised and 11 failed to disclose to at least two Investors that Clear Energy had failed to make payments on notes it sold 12 to prior investors. 13 b) Respondents told three Investors that that their investment was secured by oil 14 wells and granted two other Investors ownership interests in well production. Respondents failed to 15 disclose to Investors who invested subsequent to J.F.S. investing that Respondents had granted security 16 17 interests in their wells to other Investors. Respondents failed to disclose the risks inherent to investments in notes and other 18 c) securities, risks particular oil and gas mining investment, and risks specific to investing in the described 19 well. 20 Respondents represented to two of the Investors that the underlying oil well was 21 d) 22 a horizontal well being developed with fracking procedures that was very near completion. In fact, fracking had been subject to a moratorium in New York State since 2008 and New York's governor 23 announced a permanent ban on December 17, 2014. 24 58. This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1991. 25

26

8

VII.

| 1 | CONTROL PERSON LIABILITY PURSUANT TO A.R.S. § 44-1999 | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | 59. From at least April 2014 through 2016, Ramirez directly or indirectly controlled Clear | | |
| 3 | Energy within the meaning of A.R.S. § 44-1999. Therefore, Ramirez is jointly and severally liable | | |
| 4 | to the same extent as Clear Energy for its violations of A.R.S. § 44-1991. | | |
| 5 | VIII. | | |
| 6 | REQUESTED RELIEF | | |
| 7 | The Division requests that the Commission grant the following relief: | | |
| 8 | 1. Order Respondents to permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act | | |
| 9 | pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032; | | |
| 10 | 2. Order Respondents to take affirmative action to correct the conditions resulting from | | |
| 11 | Respondents' acts, practices, or transactions, including a requirement to make restitution pursuant to | | |
| 12 | A.R.S. § 44-2032; | | |
| 13 | 3. Order Respondents to pay the state of Arizona administrative penalties of up to \$5,000 | | |
| 14 | for each violation of the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036; | | |
| 15 | 4. Order Respondents to pay the state of Arizona administrative penalties, pursuant to | | |
| 16 | A.R.S. § 44-3201; | | |
| 17 | 5. Order any other relief that the Commission deems appropriate. | | |
| 18 | IX. | | |
| 19 | HEARING OPPORTUNITY | | |
| 20 | Each respondent may request a hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1972 and A.A.C. R14-4-306. If | | |
| 21 | a respondent requests a hearing, the requesting respondent must also answer this Notice. A request | | |
| 22 | for hearing must be in writing and received by the Commission within 10 business days after service of | | |
| 23 | this Notice of Opportunity for Hearing. The requesting respondent must deliver or mail the request to | | |
| 24 | Docket Control, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 W. Washington St., Phoenix, Arizona 85007. | | |
| 25 | Filing instructions may be obtained from Docket Control by calling (602) 542-3477 or on the | | |
| 20 | | | |

If a request for a hearing is timely made, the Commission shall schedule the hearing to begin 20 1 to 60 days from the receipt of the request unless otherwise provided by law, stipulated by the parties, or 2 3 ordered by the Commission. If a request for a hearing is not timely made the Commission may, without 4 a hearing, enter an order granting the relief requested by the Division in this Notice of Opportunity for 5 Hearing. 6 Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation such as a sign language interpreter, as well as request this document in an alternative format, by contacting Kacie Cannon, 7 ADA Coordinator, voice phone number (602)542-3931, e-mail kcannon@azcc.gov. Requests should 8 be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation. Additional information 9 about the administrative action procedure be found at 10 may http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/securities/enforcement/AdministrativeProcedure.asp 11 X. 12 ANSWER REQUIREMENT 13 Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-305, if a respondent requests a hearing, the requesting respondent 14 must deliver or mail an answer to this Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Docket Control, Arizona 15 Corporation Commission, 1200 W. Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, within 30 calendar days 16 after the date of service of this Notice. Filing instructions may be obtained from Docket Control by 17 calling (602) 542-3477 or at http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/hearings/docket.asp. 18 19 Additionally, the answering respondent must serve the answer upon the Division. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-303, service upon the Division may be made by mailing or by hand-delivering a copy 20 of the answer to the Division at 1300 West Washington, 3rd Floor, Phoenix, Arizona, 85007, 21 addressed to Ryan Millecam. 22 The answer shall contain an admission or denial of each allegation in this Notice and the 23 original signature of the answering respondent or respondent's attorney. A statement of a lack of 24 sufficient knowledge or information shall be considered a denial of an allegation. An allegation not 25 26 denied shall be considered admitted.

| 1 | When the answering respondent intends in good faith to deny only a part or a qualification of | | | | | |
|----|--|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | an allegation, the respondent shall specify that part or qualification of the allegation and shall admit | | | | | |
| 3 | the remainder. The respondent waives any affirmative defense not raised in the answer. | | | | | |
| 4 | The officer presiding over the hearing may grant relief from the requirement to file an answer | | | | | |
| 5 | for good cause shown. | | | | | |
| 6 | Dated July 10, 2017. | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | |
| 9 | Matthew J. Neubert Director of Securities | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| | 11 | | | | | |
| | | я. | | | | |