	DRIGINAL NEW APPLICATION	7977/		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BEFORE THE ARIZONA COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL Arizona Corporation Col COMMISSIONERS MAY 1 5 2017 DOCKET DONTROL Arizona Corporation Col DOCKET DONTROL Arizona Corporation Col DOCKET DONTROL MAY 1 5 2017 DOCKET I DOCKET I DOCKET DONTROL MAY 1 5 2017 DOCKET I DOUG LITTLE ANDY TOBIN DOCKET NO. BOYD DUNN DOCKET NO. MAY 1 5 20 MAY 1 5 20 DOCKET NO. NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HI BOYD DUNN MAY 15 20 MAY 1 5 20	mmission ED 17 CARING F ND I, ER		
12 13 14 15	EACH RESPONDENT HAS 30 DAYS TO FILE AN ANSWERThe Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission")alleges that MICHAEL A. CROWE ("Respondent") has engaged in acts, practices, and transactionsthat constitute violations of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 et seq. ("Securities Act"),and the Arizona Investment Management Act, A.R.S. § 44-3101 et seq. ("IM Act").			
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	I. JURISDICTION 1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Constitution, the Securities Act, and the IM Act. II. RESPONDENTS 2. At all times relevant to this matter, MICHAEL A. CROWE ("CROWE") married to LEQUITA CROWE, and was a resident of Arizona. 3. LEQUITA CROWE ("Respondent Spouse") is joined in this action under A. 2031(C) solely for the purposes of determining the liability of the marital community.	has been		

4

•

II

- 1		
1	4.	Since at least January 2, 2013, until September 13, 2016, CROWE was registered with
2	the Commiss	ion (CRD #1057029) as a securities salesman in association with dealer Securities America,
3	Inc. ("Securit	ies America").
4	5.	Since at least at February 27, 2014, until September 13, 2016, CROWE was licensed
5	with the Con	nmission as an investment adviser representative in association with investment adviser
6	Verus Capita	l Partners, LLC ("Verus Capital").
7	6.	At all times relevant, CROWE was acting for his own benefit and for the benefit or in
8	furtherance o	f his and Respondent Spouse's marital community.
9		III.
10		FACTS
11	7.	At all times relevant, CROWE, while associated with Securities America, was the
12	account exec	utive for an Arizona investor's individual retirement account ("Client").
13	8.	On or about October 30, 2015, CROWE attended a real estate seminar and met an
14	individual na	amed Richard Smart ("Smart"), the managing member of Simply Smart Homes, LLC
15	("Simply Sm	nart Homes"), an Arizona limited liability company ¹ . Smart represented that he was
16	involved wit	h fix and flip investments. CROWE informed SMART that he wanted to get back into
17	real estate in	vesting for himself, and buy, fix, and flip homes for a profit.
18	9.	From January 2016 through March 2016, CROWE attended other real estate
19	investment s	eminars, along with Smart. CROWE became friends with Smart and would often
20	communicate	e with Smart in person or through text messages about real estate investing.
21	10.	On or about March 15, 2016, CROWE and Smart met and discussed Smart's income
22	investments	which Smart said were paying eight to ten percent secured by real estate. Smart stated
23	that he was l	ooking for investors to join him in assuming existing mortgages of homeowners and
24	then either rent the homes for profit, or sell the home for a profit.	
25		
26		orporation Commission's Order against Simply Smart Homes, LLC, Smart Enterprises, LLC and Richard sion No. 76063).

4

11. On or about March 20, 2016, CROWE admitted that he called his Client on the 2 telephone, "to see if he would be interested in a little diversification in his portfolio (all CDs and Fixed Annuities) with a somewhat higher potential income of 8 to 10%" by investing in Simply Smart 3 Homes. 4

1

12

13

14

15

17

12. On or about April 2016, CROWE facilitated a meeting between the Client and Smart, 5 at the Client's house, in Arizona. During the meeting, Smart stated to the Client, that he was a 6 7 professional real estate investor who had a history of successfully buying homes through foreclosure, 8 fixing, and then renting them for a profit. And, that currently he was doing this with many homes 9 and was looking for investors to contribute capital so that he could buy more homes, fix them and/or upgrade them and then rent them for a profit. CROWE was present at the meeting and recommended 10 the investment to his Client. 11

13. On or about April 4, 2016, the Client invested \$50,000 in Simply Smart Homes, with a promised 10% annual rate of return. A Letter of Understanding and a Joint Venture Agreement ("JVA") were executed by the Client and Simply Smart Homes.

14. According to the Client's JVA, Smart's and/or Simply Smart Homes' roles were to "locate, negotiate for, acquire and manage investment properties... and be responsible for the day-16 to-day operations." The Client's role was to "provide the money and qualify for financing." 18 CROWE's Client did not participate in the acquisition, renovation, or management of any investment 19 properties.

15. 20 As a result of this transaction, Smart and/or Simply Smart Homes paid CROWE a \$2,500 commission. 21

16. On or about August 2016, Securities America initiated an internal review of 22 23 CROWE's activities after learning that he referred his Client, "to purchase an unapproved real estate investment product from Mr. Richard Smart." 24

17. According to Securities America, Smart is not associated with Securities America, 25 and "Simply Smart Homes, LLC is not an approved product offered" by Securities America. "No 26

transactions concerning Mr. Smart or Simply Smart Homes, LLC have been recorded," on the books
 of Securities America. As a result of the Simply Smart transaction, on September 13, 2016, Securities
 America and Verus Capital terminated CROWE for engaging in private securities transactions
 without firm approval.

5

18.

CROWE is not currently registered or licensed with the Commission.

6 19. Notwithstanding that CROWE is not currently registered or licensed with 7 Commission, under A.R.S. §§ 44-1962 (D) and 44-3202 (D) CROWE continues to be subject to the 8 Commission's jurisdiction for two years after the termination or lapse of his registration or licensure 9 for the purpose of denying, suspending, or revoking his registration and licensure in connection with 10 conduct that began before his termination.

10	conduct that began before his termination.
11	IV.
12	REMEDIES PURSUANT TO A.R.S. §§ 44-1962 AND 44-3201
13	(Denial, Revocation, or Suspension of Registration of Salesman and Investment Adviser
14	Representative License; Restitution, Penalties, or other Affirmative Action)
15	1. Respondent's conduct is grounds to revoke Respondent's registration as a securities
16	salesman with the Commission pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1962. Specifically, Respondent:
17	a) Engaged in dishonest and unethical practices in the securities industry pursuant to
18	A.R.S. § 44-1962(A)(10), as set forth in, A.A.C. R14-4-130 specifically:
19	While registered as a securities salesman, effecting securities
20	transactions which have not been recorded on the records of the dealer
21	with whom Respondent is registered with at the time of transaction,
22	contrary to A.A.C. R14-4-130(A)(17).
23	2. Respondent's conduct is grounds for the Commission to assess administrative penalties,
24	to order Respondent to cease and desist, to order restitution, and /or take appropriate affirmative action
25	pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1962.

		1	
1	3. Respondent's conduct is grounds to revoke Respondent's license as an investment		
2	adviser representative with the Commission pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-3201. Specifically, Respondent:		
3	a) Engaged in dishonest and unethical practices in the securities industry pursuant to		
4	A.R.S. § 44-3201(A)(13):		
5	While registered as a securities salesman, effecting securities		
6	transactions which have not been recorded on the records of the dealer		
7	with whom Respondent is registered with at the time of transaction,		
8	contrary to A.A.C. R14-4-130(A)(17).		
9	4. Revocation of Respondent's license would be in the public interest.		
10	V.		
11	REQUESTED RELIEF		
12	The Division requests that the Commission grant the following relief:		
13	1. Order Respondent to permanently cease and desist from engaging in dishonest and		
14	unethical practices in the securities industry pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1962;		
15	2. Order Respondent to take affirmative action to correct the conditions resulting from		
16	Respondent's acts, practices, or transactions, including providing restitution pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-		
17	1962;		
18	3. Order Respondent to pay the state of Arizona administrative penalties of up to five		
19	thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each violation of the Securities Act pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-1962 and 44-		
20	2036;		
21	4. Order the revocation of Respondent's registration as a securities salesman and licensure		
22	as an investment adviser representative pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-1962 and 44-3201;		
23	5. Order that the marital community of Respondent and Respondent Spouse be subject to		
24	any order of restitution, rescission, administrative penalties, or other appropriate affirmative action		
25	pursuant to A.R.S. § 25-215; and		
26	6. Order any other relief that the Commission deems appropriate.		

•

VI.

1

2

22

23

HEARING OPPORTUNITY

3 Each respondent may request a hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1972 and A.A.C. R14-4-306. If 4 Respondent or Respondent Spouse requests a hearing, the requesting respondent must also 5 answer this Notice. A request for hearing must be in writing and received by the Commission within 6 10 business days after service of this Notice of Opportunity for Hearing. The requesting respondent 7 must deliver or mail the request to Docket Control, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 W. 8 Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007. Filing instructions may be obtained from Docket Control by calling 9 (602)542-3477 or on the Commission's Internet web site at http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/hearings/docket.asp. 10

If a request for a hearing is timely made, the Commission shall schedule the hearing to begin 20 to 60 days from the receipt of the request unless otherwise provided by law, stipulated by the parties, or ordered by the Commission. If a request for a hearing is not timely made the Commission may, without a hearing, enter an order granting the relief requested by the Division in this Notice of Opportunity for Hearing.

16 Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation such as a sign language 17 interpreter, as well as request this document in an alternative format, by contacting Kacie Cannon, 18 ADA Coordinator, voice phone number (602) 542-3931, e-mail kcannon@azcc.gov. Requests 19 should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation. Additional information 20 about administrative the action procedure may be found at http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/securities/enforcement/AdministrativeProcedure.asp 21

VII.

ANSWER REQUIREMENT

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-305, if Respondent or Respondent Spouse requests a hearing, the
 requesting respondent must deliver or mail an Answer to this Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to
 Docket Control, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 W. Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007,

within 30 calendar days after the date of service of this Notice. Filing instructions may be obtained
 from Docket Control by calling (602) 542-3477 or on the Commission's Internet web site at
 http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/hearings/docket.asp.

Additionally, the answering respondent must serve the Answer upon the Division. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-303, service upon the Division may be made by mailing or by hand-delivering a copy of the Answer to the Division at 1300 West Washington, 3rd Floor, Phoenix, Arizona, 85007, addressed to Michael Shaw.

8 The Answer shall contain an admission or denial of each allegation in this Notice and the 9 original signature of the answering respondent or respondent's attorney. A statement of a lack of 10 sufficient knowledge or information shall be considered a denial of an allegation. An allegation not 11 denied shall be considered admitted.

When the answering respondent intends in good faith to deny only a part or a qualification of an allegation, the respondent shall specify that part or qualification of the allegation and shall admit the remainder. Respondent waives any affirmative defense not raised in the Answer.

The officer presiding over the hearing may grant relief from the requirement to file an Answer for good cause shown.

Dated this 15 day of May, 2017.

4

5

6

all In

Matthew J. Neubert Director of Securities