

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

	DEFORE THE ARIZO	NA CORTO	CATION COMMISSION		
2	COMMISSIONERS		Arizona Corporation Commission		
3	TOM FORESE – Chairman		DOCKETED		
4	BOB BURNS DOUG LITTLE		APR 11 2017		
5	ANDY TOBIN BOYD W. DUNN		DOCKETED BY		
6					
7	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION TRUXTON CANYON WATER COMPAN	IY, INC.	DOCKET NO. W-02168A-17-0042		
8	FOR AN EMERGENCY RATE SURCHAI	RGE.	DECISION NO		
9	 		OPINION AND ORDER		
10	DATE OF HEARING:	March 23, 2	017		
11	PLACE OF HEARING:	Phoenix, Ar	izona		
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	Yvette B. K	insey		
13	APPEARANCES: Mr. Steve Wene, MOYES SELLERS & HENDR LTD, on behalf of Truxton Canyon Water Company				
14		and	and of Truston Carlyon Water Company, me.,		
15			Humphrey, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, of the Utilities Division of the Arizona		
16			Commission.		
17	BY THE COMMISSION:				
18	On February 16, 2017, the Arizo	ona Corporat	ion Commission ("Commission") Utilities		
19	Division ("Staff") filed a Memorandum whi	ich resulted in	the opening of this docket.		
20	On February 17, 2017, Truxton Cany	yon Water Co	mpany, Inc. ("Truxton" or "Company") filed		
21	an application with the Commission seeking	g an emergeno	cy rate surcharge.		
22	On February 23, 2017, a Procedural	l Order was is	ssued scheduling a procedural conference in		
23	this matter for February 28, 2017. On the sa	ime date, a Pro	ocedural Order was issued regarding Consent		
24	to Email Service.				
25	On February 28, 2017, the procedur	ral conference	e was held as scheduled. The Company and		
26	Staff appeared through counsel. Discussion	ns were held r	egarding a hearing date and other procedural		
27	deadlines. The parties agreed that the heari	ing in this ma	tter should be held on March 23, 2017. Staff		

28

agreed that it would file a short summary of its testimony on or before March 20, 2017.

On March 1, 2017, by Procedural Order, the hearing in this matter was scheduled for March 23, 2017, and other procedural deadlines were established.

On March 3, 2017, Truxton filed a Notice of Filing of Customer Notice of Emergency Rate Surcharge Application.

On March 20, 2017, Staff filed Staff's Summary of Testimony.

On March 22, 2017, a comment was filed in the docket in opposition to the emergency rate surcharge.

On March 23, 2017, Truxton filed a Notice of Filing of Customer Notice of Emergency Rate Surcharge Application.

The hearing convened, as scheduled, on March 23, 2017, at the Commission's offices in Phoenix, Arizona, before a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge. Truxton and Staff were appeared through counsel. At the hearing, the Company agreed to expedite the transcript in this matter.¹

* * * * * * * * *

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

Background/Compliance

- 1. Truxton is a public service corporation providing water utility services to approximately 960 metered customers. Truxton's water system is located in the vicinity of Kingman, Arizona, in Mohave County. Truxton's Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") area encompasses approximately five-and-one half square miles.
- Truxton is currently charging rates authorized in Commission Decision No. 74835
 (November 14, 2014).
- 3. According to Staff, Truxton's water system is comprised of three active wells, one 155 gallons per minute ("GPM") arsenic treatment plant, 580,000 gallons of storage capacity, and a

¹ The transcript was docketed at 12:37 p.m. on March 30, 2017.

2

3 4

5 6

7

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

. . .

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 8 Exhibit S-1.

distribution system.² Staff states the Company owns four inactive wells and one inactive 20,000 gallon storage tank.3

- 4. According to an Arizona Department of Environmental Quality ("ADEQ") Compliance Status Report, dated October 21, 2016, Truxton's water system has major deficiencies in monitoring and reporting for not meeting the following requirements: 1) Arsenic testing at the entry points to its distribution system quarterly; and 2) deficiencies in disinfection byproducts stage 1 and stage 2.4 Based on these deficiencies, ADEQ cannot determine if Truxton's system is currently delivering water that meets water quality standards.5
- 5. Staff states that Truxton also has one delinquency with the Commission's Utilities Division because Decision No. 74833 (November 14, 2014) required Truxton to be in full compliance with ADEQ by March 28, 2016.
- 6. Truxton's witness testified that in order to meet ADEO requirements for arsenic, the Company had to complete one year of quarterly testing for arsenic in order to be in compliance. The witness testified that the arsenic treatment plant did not become operational until July of 2016 and all of the necessary reporting has not been completed and therefore the Company will remain out of compliance until that time.⁷
- 7. Truxton is not located within any Active Management Area ("AMA") as designated by the Arizona Department of Water Resources ("ADWR"). According to Staff, ADWR had determined as of October 13, 2016, that Truxton's water was compliant with departmental requirements governing water providers and/or community water systems.8

² Exhibit S-1.

3 Id. 4 Id.

5 Id.

⁶ Tr. at 30.

⁷ Id.

Application

- 8. On February 16, 2017, Truxton filed an emergency rate application seeking authority to: 1) impose a Water Infrastructure Finance Authority ("WIFA") loan surcharge to pay debt service on a proposed \$248,944 WIFA loan; and 2) impose a second surcharge to increase the Company's water service rates by \$92,437 to meet WIFA's 1.2 Debt Service Coverage ("DSC") requirement.⁹
- 9. Truxton's application states that an increase in base rates and the WIFA loan are needed to fund the construction and improvements to its Hualapai 1 Well and Well site. In Decision No. 74835, Truxton stated that the Hualapai 1 Well is used as a secondary well source when the wells in its Hackberry Well field cannot provide enough water for all of Truxton's customers. Truxton also stated that the Hualapai 1 Well is mainly used during the summer months when the Valley Vista Property Owners Association ("VVPOA") golf course's demand for water is high. The evidence in that Decision showed that the Hualapai 1 Well has failed numerous times, causing the golf course to go without water for as long as 73 days during the peak summer season.
- 10. Truxton proposes, in this docket, to replace two old diesel engines (circa 1963) operated by natural gas that are used to pump water from the Hualapai 1 Well to the golf course and Truxton's other customers during the summer peak demand. In addition to replacing the two diesel engines with a single electric operated well pump, Truxton proposes to also purchase two used natural gas operated on-site generators, complete the electric power line extension to the Well site, install a new 6-foot tall chain link fence around the Well and Well site, complete on-site wiring and electric equipment installation, and complete on-site piping to support installation of the new pumping facilities. In the support installation of the new pumping facilities.
- 11. Truxton states that replacing the two diesel engines with a single electric powered pump is necessary because the diesel engines are old, replacement parts are no longer available and have to be made, the engines are likely to fail during this year's summer peak, as they frequently have, and the

⁹ Exhibit A-1.

¹⁰ Decision No. 74835 at 28.

¹¹ Id.

^{27 12} Id.

¹³ Exhibit A-1 at 2.

¹⁴ Exhibit S-1 at Engineering Report at 2.

golf course will be without water, potentially causing the golf course lawn to die. 15

WIFA Loan Surcharge

15 Tr. at 12-15.

26 Decision No. 74835 at 33.

17 Exhibit A-1 at 2.

27 18 Ic

¹⁹ Staff's Memorandum had the total estimated costs of the capital improvements at \$310,342. However, Staff's calculations included some mathematical errors and the correct estimated cost is \$347,557.

²⁰ Exhibit S-1 at 1.

12. Truxton requests authorization to obtain a loan in the amount of \$248,944 through WIFA to cover the cost of the capital improvement projects. In Decision No. 74835, the Commission stated that because the upgrades to the Haualapai 1 Well would mainly benefit VVPOA's golf course, the financing for capital improvement projects (as the ones discussed in this application) should

consider the appropriate cost allocation related to upgrading Hualapai 1 Well. 16

13. Truxton proposes a 30/70 split cost allocation for the capital improvement projects, attributing 30 percent of the costs to the five meters serving the VVPOA golf course and 70 percent to Truxton's other customers. Under Truxton's proposal, debt service on a \$248,944 WIFA loan, would require annual payments of \$23,658.¹⁷ Truxton estimates that a WIFA loan surcharge, using the 30/70 allocation, may result in a \$1.27 surcharge for the typical residential customer using a 3/4-inch meter.¹⁸

14. Staff recommends that the Commission authorize Truxton to obtain a 20-year amortizing loan through WIFA, for an amount not to exceed \$347,557, ¹⁹ to finance the needed capital improvement projects.

15. Staff provided the table below that lists the Company's proposed plant additions and associated cost estimates and Staff's recommended adjustments:²⁰

	Plant Item	Company's Estimate	Staff's Estimate
1	New Well Pump and Motor ¹		
	ADEQ Permit Fee (Tax free)	N/A	800.00
	Labor to mobilize/demobilize material and equipment to job site by vendor	2,500.00	2,500.00
	Labor cost of pulling existing natural gas operated pump out of well and set new electric well pump @ 960' depth in well by vendor	14,400.00	14,400.00
	One Gould 12CMC pump (12" with 14 stage, 1,000 GPM) by vendor	18,825.00	18,825.00
	One 300-HP Vertical Hollo shaft	31,293.00	31,293.00
	Premium efficient, inventor duty fabricated well head (material/labor) by vendor	8,905.00	8,905.00

	Tax (5.4275%)	4,120.75	4,120.75
	Subtotal	80,044.00	80,044.00
	Contingency (10%) ²	N/A	8,084.00
	Engineering/Administration/Legal fee (15%)	N/A	12,126.00
	Total	80,044.00	101,054.00
2	Used Emergency Generators ³		
	Two used natural gas powered generators (35 KVA)	35,000.00	8,000.006
	Subtotal	35,000.00	8,000.00
	Continuous (100/)	N/A	900.00
	Contingency (10%)	N/A	800.00
	Engineering/Administration/Legal fee (15%)	N/A	1,200.00
	Total	35,000.00	10,000.00
3	Permanent Power ⁴		
	Offsite Power Line	150,000.00	N/A
	1.9 mile 3 phase power line extending from US Highway 66 @ south of Valle Vista Subdivision		165,000.00 ⁵
	Subtotal	150,000.00	165,000.00
	Contingency (10%)	N/A	16,500.0021
	Engineering/Administration/Legal fee (15%)	N/A	24,750.00
	Total	150,000.00	206,250.00
4	Now Forcing4	5 000 00	
4	New Fencing ⁴ 550' long 6' tall chain link fence with a gate (estimated by Staff)	5,000.00	11,503.00
	Subtotal	5,000.00	11,503.00
	Contingency (10%)	N/A	1,150.00
	Engineering/Administration/Legal fee (15%)	N/A	1,725.00
	Total	5,000.00	14,378.00
		0.200.00	
5	On-site Electric ⁴	9,200.00	2 000 00
	Control Panel Installation	N/A	3,000.00
	Electric Power Line upgrade	N/A	5,000.00
	Subtotal	9,200.00	8,000.00
	Contingency (10%)	N/A	800.00
	Engineering/Administration/Legal fee (15%)	N/A	1,200.00
	Total	9,200.00	10,000.00
		4.700.00	4 700 00
6	On-site Piping	4,700.00	4,700.00 4,700.00
	Subtotal	4,700.00	4,700.00
700		le	

²¹ Staff inadvertently miscalculated the 10 percent contingency amount for the Permanent Power improvement. The number should be \$16,500 rather than \$1,650. The same error occurred in the calculation of the 15 percent Engineering/Administration/Legal Fees for the Permanent Power improvements. The number should be \$24,750 rather than \$2,475, which would result in a total cost of \$206,250 for the Permanent Power improvements. Therefore, the total cost of the projects is estimated to be \$347,557 and that amount will be used in this proceeding.

DECISION NO. 76072

27

28

2	

3

Notes:

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Engineering/Administration/Legal fee (15%)	N/A	705.00
Total	4,700.00	5,875.00
Total	248,944.00	347,557.0022

- Per conversation with Drill Tech Inc. (the Vendor), no new concrete footing will be needed. 1.
- Based on the Response to Data Request No. STF1.4, the Company suggested 10 contingency costs. 2. Staff is recommending 15 percent to be added to cover Engineering and Legal Fees.
- Based on the Response to Data Request No. STF 1.17 and 1.18, the Company cost estimate assumes the 3. installation of two used natural gas operated 35 KVA portable generators and no warranty.
- The Company did not provide any information to support its estimated cost. 4.
- Staff's cost estimate is based on a quote provided by Mohave Electric Cooperative on December 13, 5. 2016.
- 6. Staff's estimate is based on \$4,000 per generator for a total cost of \$8,000.
- 16. The Company supports Staff's adjustments to the total cost of the proposed improvements.23
- Staff supports the Company's proposal allocating 30 percent of the surcharge to the five 17. meters serving the VVPOA golf course and 70 percent to Truxton's other customers. Staff stated that it based its cost allocation conclusion on the Hualapai 1 Well pumping data provided by the Company, showing the amount of water used by the VVPOA golf course from April 2016 to November 2016.²⁴
- Staff's proposed adjustments to the cost of the proposed capital improvement projects, 18. using the 70/30 cost allocation, may result in estimated WIFA loan surcharges of \$2.01 for a 3/4-inch residential customer and a \$456.00 surcharge for a 6-inch golf course meter. 25

DSC Surcharge

Truxton states that it had a revenue shortfall of approximately \$92,000 in 2015.26 19. Truxton states that in order to qualify for the WIFA loan it must increase its operating revenues to insure WIFA that the debt can be repaid.²⁷ Therefore, Truxton is requesting approval of an increase in its base rates to meet the 1.2 minimum DSC WIFA requirement.²⁸ Truxton requests an increase in base rates in the amount of \$92,437 to meet the DSC requirement.

²² Based on the error noted above, the total estimated amount for the capital improvement projects is \$347,557.

²³ Tr. at 7. 24 Tr. at 36.

²⁵ These estimated surcharges have been revised to take into account the total cost of the improvements in the amount of \$347,557. 26 Tr. at 20.

²⁸ The Debt Service Method equates revenue requirements to the total of operating expenses (other than depreciation) and the amount necessary to meet debt-service (principal and interest) requirements.

 29 \$92,437 x .7 = \$64,706/ 12 mo. = \$5,392 \$5,392/5 meters = \$1,078/ mo.

20. Truxton proposes implementing a DSC surcharge that will be allocated between the VVPOA golf course and Truxton's other customers on a 30/70 split, respectively. Under Truxton's proposal, the DSC surcharge would be applicable to the five meters that serve the VVPOA golf course at an estimated surcharge of \$1,078.40 per month²⁹ and Truxton's other 962 customer accounts at \$2.40 per month.³⁰

- 21. Staff recommends approval of the Company's proposed \$92,437 increase in base rates to meet the DSC requirements.³¹
- 22. Staff also recommends allocating 30 percent of the \$92,437 increase to the five meters that serve water to the VVPOA golf course and 70 percent to Truxton's other customers.
- 23. Further, as illustrated in Staff's Schedule CSB-1, attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit A, Staff also recommends approval of the application using Staff's recommended DSC monthly surcharge of \$5.50 for 3/4-inch meter residential customers and a \$1,249.15 monthly surcharge for 6-inch metered customers serving water to the golf course.

Resolution

24. Attorney General ("AG") Opinion No. 71-17 (May 25, 1971) states, it is appropriate for the Commission to grant interim rates as an emergency measure when (1) sudden change brings hardship to a company, (2) the company is insolvent, (3) the condition of the company is such that its ability to maintain service pending a formal rate determination is in serious doubt, or (4) the Commission will be unable to grant permanent rate relief within a reasonable time. In *Scates v. Arizona Corporation Commission*, the Arizona Court of Appeals recognized this standard and, additionally, that (1) a bond must be posted to protect the company's customers and allow for refund in the event that the interim rates are excessive, and (2) the granting of interim rates must be followed by a full rate case in which just and reasonable rates are established after the fair value of the company's property is determined.³² The *Scates* test was cited with approval in *Residential Utility Consumer Office v. Arizona Corporation Commission*, 20 P.3d 1169, 1173 (Ariz. App. 2001) ("*Rio Verde*").

^{27 30} Exhibit A-1 at 2.

³¹ Exhibit S-1 at 1.

³² 578 P.2d 612, 616 (Ariz. App. 1978).

- 25. We find that Truxton's need to replace the two diesel engines including other associated capital improvements constitutes an "emergency" within the definition set forth in AG Opinion No. 71-17, as discussed and approved in the *Scates* and *Rio Verde* cases cited herein, and that the replacement of the diesel engines and associated capital improvements is an appropriate emergency response to ensure that the Company is able to provide uninterrupted service to its customers.
- 26. We also find that it is just and reasonable, and in the public interest, to authorize Truxton to implement an interim emergency DSC monthly surcharge as described in Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein.
- 27. Further, we find it appropriate to authorize Truxton to obtain a 20-year amortizing loan from WIFA in an amount not to exceed \$347,557, to fund the construction of the improvements at the Hualapai 1 Well and well site. We also find it just and reasonable, and in the public interest, to authorize Truxton to implement an interim emergency WIFA loan surcharge, as illustrated in Exhibit B attached hereto and incorporated herein, for an estimated amount of \$2.01 for a 3/4 –inch residential customer and an estimated surcharge of \$456.00 for a 6-inch golf course meter.
- 28. In addition, we will require the Company to file, no later than June 30, 2018, a full permanent rate case, using a test year ending December 31, 2017.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- Truxton is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-285, 40-301, and 40-303.
 - 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Truxton and the subject matter of the application.
 - 3. Notice of the emergency rate application was provided in accordance with the law.
- Truxton is facing an "emergency" within the definition set forth in AG Opinion No. 71 17, as discussed and approved in the *Scates* and *Rio Verde* cases cited herein.
- 5. It is just and reasonable and in the public interest to adopt the Company's emergency rate application, as discussed herein.
- 6. Approval of the Company's application for emergency interim rate relief, as described herein, is consistent with the Commission's authority under the Arizona Constitution, applicable statutes, and applicable case law.

11

9

14 15

16

17 18

20

21

19

22 23

24 25

27 28

7. The interim rates approved herein are reasonable and in the public interest subject to the requirements discussed herein.

- 8. The financing approved herein is for lawful purposes, within Truxton's corporate powers, is compatible with the public interest, with sound financial practices, and with the proper performance by Truxton as a public service corporation, and will not impair Truxton's corporate ability to perform that service.
- 9. The financing approved herein is for the purposes stated in the financing application and is reasonably necessary for those purposes and such purposes are not, wholly, or in part, reasonably chargeable to operating expenses or to income.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc. is hereby authorized to obtain a 20-year amortizing loan through the Water Infrastructure Finance Authority, for an amount not to exceed \$347,557, and an interest rate not exceed the current Water Infrastructure Finance Authority subsidized rate at the time the loan is executed, to finance the capital improvements described herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the financing authority granted herein is expressly contingent on Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc.'s use of the proceeds to finance the capital improvement projects described herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc. is authorized to engage in any transactions and execute any documents necessary to effectuate the financing authorizations granted herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a Water Infrastructure Finance Authority surcharge mechanism is authorized to meet Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc.'s principal and interest, and annual income tax obligations on the loan as described herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc. shall within ten (10) days of closing on the Water Infrastructure Finance Authority loan, file an application in this docket, to implement the surcharge. The Company shall also include with its application, calculation of the surcharge based upon the actual terms of the Water Infrastructure Finance Authority loan and the actual

granted.

revenue needed to pay the debt service, reserve, and taxes using an allocation of 30 percent to the five meters that serve water to the Valle Vista Property Owners Association golf course and 70 percent to Truxton's other customers.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc., shall file as a compliance item in this docket, within fifteen (15) days of the execution of any financing transaction

number of customers at the time of the loan closing. In addition, the Company shall calculate the total

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc., shall provide to the Commission's Utilities Division, upon request, a copy of any loan documents executed pursuant to the authorizations granted herein.

authorized herein, a notice confirming that such execution has occurred and a certification by an

authorized Company representative that the terms of the financing fully comply with the authorizations

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that approval of the Water Infrastructure Financing Authority surcharge shall be rescinded if Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc. has not drawn funds from the Water Infrastructure Finance Authority loan within one year from the effective date of this Decision.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc., shall file, as a compliance item in this docket, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Decision, proof that it has completed the required customer notice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc., shall track and separately record as a regulatory liability the surcharge proceeds associated with the debt service reserve fund. The Company should maintain an accurate balance of the regulatory liability until its obligation to ratepayers is completely satisfied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc., shall set up a separate interest bearing bank account the same month the Water Infrastructure Finance Authority surcharge mechanism takes effect, and starting the first month the Water Infrastructure Finance Authority loan surcharge is collected from Truxton Canyon Water Company's customers, the Company shall deposit all revenues collected from the Water Infrastructure Finance Authority surcharge mechanism in that separate interest bearing account, to be used only for making payments on the Water Infrastructure

 Financing Authority loan and the annual income taxes related to the loan for the capital improvement projects described herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc. request for an increase in its base rates in the amount of \$92,437 also needed to meet Debt Service Coverage for the Water Infrastructure Finance Authority requirements, is hereby approved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc. is authorized to assess, as a separate line item on each metered customer's monthly bill, interim emergency Debt Service Coverage surcharge, as described in Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc.'s Debt Service Coverage surcharge request shall be effective on the first of the month following the month in which the Company files its application to implement the surcharge, but no earlier than thirty (30) days after the filing. Upon compliance, the surcharge shall become effective without further action of the Commission unless Staff files an objection or request for additional time to analyze the proposed surcharges within 30 days of the filing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc. shall, within thirty (30) days after the effective date of this Decision, mail, or deliver notice of the approved interim emergency surcharges to its customers, in a form and manner acceptable to Staff, by means of an insert in the Company's next regularly scheduled billing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc. shall, within thirty (30) days after the effective date of this Decision, file with the Commission's Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, a revised tariff reflecting the approved interim emergency surcharges.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc. shall, before implementing the interim emergency Debt Service Coverage surcharge approved herein, provide to the Commission's Business Office the original of a cashier's check made out to the Arizona Corporation Commission in the amount of \$10.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc. shall, on the same date the original cashier's check is provided to the Commission's Business Office, file with the Commission's Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, an original and 1 copy of a notice

stating that the cashier's check has been provided to the Commission's Business Office. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc. shall, no later than June 30, 2018, in a new docket, file with the Commission's Docket Control a full permanent rate case application prepared using a test year ending December 31, 2017. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc. shall, on the same date that it files a full permanent rate case application in a new docket, file with the Commission's Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, notice that the full permanent rate case application has been filed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the emergency surcharges approved herein shall be interim and that funds collected through the emergency surcharge rates are subject to true-up and refund if necessary. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, TED VOGT, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed TED VOGT EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DISSENT DISSENT YK/rt

	SERVICE LIST FOR:	TRUXTON CANYON WATER COMPANY, INC.
1	DOCKET NO.:	W-02168A-17-0042
2		
3	Steve Wene	
4	MOYES SELLERS & HENDRICKS LTD 1850 N. Central Ave., Suite 1100	
5	Phoenix, AZ 85004	T
6	Attorneys for Truxton Canyon Water Comp	any, inc.
7	Timothy La Sota, Acting Director Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIO	Đ
8	1200 West Washington Street)N
9	Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Attorneys for Utilities Division	
10	LegalDiv@azcc.gov utildivservicebyemail@azcc.gov	
11	Consented to Service by Email	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Truxton Canyon Water Company, Inc. Docket No. W-02168A-17-0042 Emergency Rate Surcharge Financing

13

28

29 30

31 32

33

34

49 50 51

52

EXHIBIT A

Schedule CSB-1 Page 1 of 3

Line MINIMUM DSC (I.E. BASE RATE INCREASE) SURCHARGE No. 1 Step 1 - Revenue Increase 2 \$92,437.00 Total Increase in Base Rates (Includes Taxes) 3 Step 2 - Annual Surcharge Rev. Requ. for All Truxton's Customers EXCEPT 5 Meters Serving Golf Course 4 5 \$92,437.00 From Line 2 70% Multiplied by 70% 6 7 \$64,705.90 8 9 Step 3 - Annual Surcharge Revenue Requirement for the 5 Meters Serving the Golf Course \$92,437.00 From Line 2 10 30% Multiplied by 30% 11 \$27,731.10 12

14 Step 4 - Equivalent Bills for All Truxton's Customers EXCEPT the 5 Meters Serving the Golf Course

15	Col A	Col B		Col C		Col D	0	ColE
16						Number of		Equivalent
17		NARUC		Number of		Months in		Bills
18	Meter Size	Multiplier		Customers		Year		ColBxCXD
19	5/8"x 3/4" Meter	1	X	0	X	12	=	3.75
20	3/4" Meter	1.5	X	953	X	12	=	17,154
21	1" Meter	2.5	X	4	X	12	=	120
22	11/2" Meter	5	X	0	X	12	==	9 <u>4</u>
23	2" Meter	8	X	4	X	12	=	384
24	3" Meter	15	X	0	X	12	=	9 8
25	4" Meter	25	X	0	X	12	=	ii e
26	6" Meter	50	x	0	X	12	=	1120
27				961				17,658

Step 5 - Monthly Surcharge for the 5/8 x 3/4-Inch Meter Size Customers EXCEPT Golf Course

\$64,705.90 Total Annual Surcharge Revenue Requirement (from Step 2) 17,658 Divided by: Total Number of Equivalent Bills (from Step 4)

\$3.66 Monthly Surcharge for 5/8 x 3/4-Inch Customers

Step 6 - Monthly Surcharge for All Meter Size Customers EXCEPT those serving Golf Course

36	Col A	Col B		Col C		Col D
37				5/8" x 3/4"		Surcharge by
38		NARUC		Customers'		Meter Size
39	Meter Size	Multiplier		Surcharge		Col B x C
40	5/8"x 3/4" Meter	1	X	\$3.66	=	\$3.66
41	3/4" Meter	1.5	X	\$3.66	=	\$5.50
42	1" Meter	2.5	×	\$3.66	=	\$9.16
43	11/2" Meter	5	X	\$3.66	=	\$18.32
44	2" Meter	8	×	\$3.66	=	\$29.32
45	3" Meter	15	X	\$3.66	=	\$54.97
46	4" Meter	25	×	\$3.66	=	\$91.61
47	6" Meter	50	×	\$3.66	=	\$183.22

54 Step 7 - Equivalent Bills for the 5 Meters Serving the Golf Course

Schedule CSB-1 Page 2 of 3

55	Col A	Col B		Col C		Col D		Col E
56	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1					Number of		Equivalent
57		NARUC		Number of		Months in		Bills
58	Meter Size	Multiplier		Customers		Year		Col B x C X D
59	5/8"x 3/4" Meter	1	×	0	x	12	=	, , ,
60	3/4" Meter	1.5	X	1	X	12	=	18
61	1" Meter	2.5	X	0	X	12	=	= :=0
62	11/2" Meter	5	x	0	X	12	=	2
63	2" Meter	8	X	2	X	12	=	192
64	3" Meter	15	X	0	X	12	=	151
65	4" Meter	25	X	1	X	12	=	300
66	6" Meter	50	x	1	Х	12	=	600
67				5				1,110
68								

Step 8 - Monthly Surcharge for the 5/8 x 3/4-Inch Golf Course Meter Size Customers

\$27,731.10 Total Annual Surcharge Revenue Requirement (from Step 3)

1,110 Divided by: Total Number of Equivalent Bills (from Step 7)

\$24.98 Monthly Surcharge for 5/8 x 3/4-Inch Customers

Step 9 - Monthly Surcharge for All Meter Sizes for the Golf Course

76	Col A	Col B		Col C		Col D
77				5/8" x 3/4"		Surcharge by
78		NARUC		Customers'		Meter Size
79	Meter Size	Multiplier		Surcharge		Col B x C
80	5/8"x 3/4" Meter	1	×	\$24.98	=	\$24.98
81	3/4" Meter	1.5	X	\$24.98	=	\$37.47
82	1" Meter	2.5	X	\$24.98	=	\$62.46
83	11/2" Meter	5	X	\$24.98	=	\$124.91
84	2" Meter	8	X	\$24.98	=	\$199.86
85	3" Meter	15	X	\$24.98	=	\$374.74
86	4" Meter	25	X	\$24.98	=	\$624.57
87	6" Meter	50	X	\$24.98	=	\$1,249.15

Step 10 - Verify Annual Surcharge Revenue for All Truxton's Customers EXCEPT 5 Meters Serving Golf Course

91	Col A	Col B		Col C		Col D		Col E
92				Number of		Surcharge		Annual
93		Number of		Months in		by		Surcharge Rev
94	Meter Size	Customers		Year		Meter Size		Col B x C X D
95	5/8"x 3/4" Meter	0	X	12	X	\$3.66	=	-
96	3/4" Meter	953	X	12	X	\$5.50	=	62,859
97	1" Meter	4	Х	12	X	\$9.16	=	440
98	1½" Meter	0	X	12	X	\$18.32	=	i e
99	2" Meter	4	X	12	X	\$29.32	=	1,407
100	3" Meter	0	X	12	X	\$54.97	=	1000 1000 000 1000
101	4" Meter	0	X	12	X	\$91.61	=	
102	6" Meter	0	X	12	X	\$183.22	=	250
103		961						64,706 (from Step 2)

111112 Step 11 - Verify Annual Surcharge Revenue for 5 Meters Serving the Golf Course

Schedule CSB-1 Page 3 of 3

113	Col A	Col B		Col C		Col D		Col E
114				Number of		Surcharge		Annual
115		Number of		Months in		by		Surcharge Rev
116	Meter Size	Customers		Year		Meter Size		Col B x C X D
117	5/8"x 3/4" Meter	0	X	12	X	\$24.98	=	,
118	3/4" Meter	1	X	12	X	\$37.47	=	450
119	1" Meter	0	x	12	X	\$62.46	=	~
120	11/2" Meter	0	×	12	X	\$124.91	=	¥.
121	2" Meter	2	X	12	X	\$199.86	=	4,797
122	3" Meter	0	X	12	X	\$374.74	=	
123	4" Meter	1	X	12	X	\$624.57	=	7,495
124	6" Meter	1_	X	12	X	\$1,249.15	=	14,990_
125		5						27,731 (from Step 3)

EXHIBIT B

RESIDENTIAL

Meter	AWWA	Residential
<u>Size</u>	<u>Multiplier</u>	<u>Surcharge</u>
5/8-inch	1	\$1.34
3/4-inch	1.5	\$2.01
1-inch	2 <i>.</i> 5	\$3.35
1.5-inch	5	\$6.70
2-inch	8	\$10.72
3-inch	16	\$21.44
4-inch	25	\$33.50
6-inch	50	\$67.00

GOLF

Meter	AWWA	Golf
<u>Size</u>	<u>Multiplier</u>	Surcharge
5/8-inch	1	\$9.12
3/4-inch	1.5	\$13.68
1-inch	2.5	\$22.80
1.5-inch	5	\$45.60
2-inch	8	\$72.96
3-inch	16	\$145.92
4-inch	25	\$228.00
6-inch	50	\$456.00