

1 BACKGROUND.

At page 2, lines 4-7, the Amended Order provides, inter alia, that "...potential parties desiring to file a response to any [previously filed] legal memorandum...should do so by 5:00 p.m. on Friday, April 7, 2017." SunZia has filed an Application For Leave To Intervene in the Instant Proceeding, which to date has not been ruled upon. Thus, as of this juncture, SunZia is a "potential party" within the meaning of the Amended Order.

8 On April 3, 2017, Pinal Central Energy Center, LLC ("Applicant") filed a Memorandum of 9 Law/Motion In Limine ("Memorandum/Motion") seeking a ruling from the Siting 10 Committee which would preclude evidence of the nature discussed in Applicant's 11 Memorandum/Motion from being offered or admitted into evidence and considered by the 12 Siting Committee during the forthcoming evidentiary hearing in the Instant Proceeding. 13 Such Memorandum/Motion is in the nature of "any legal memorandum" referred to in the 14 above-quoted portion of the Amended Order.

15

As SunZia interprets the discussion, legal arguments and conclusions set forth in 16 Applicant's Memorandum/Motion, they are not intended by Applicant to address or apply 17 to the right of SunZia to present evidence during the forthcoming evidentiary hearing in the 18 19 Instant Proceeding as to potential adverse impacts of the currently proposed 230 kV gen-tie line upon SunZia's rights and options under the Certificate of Environmental Compatibility 20 21 ("CEC") granted to SunZia by Decision No. 75464 in Siting Case No. 171. However, out of an abundance of caution, SunZia is submitting this Memorandum On Evidence at this 22 23 time, with the objective of avoiding any controversy on that subject as it relates to SunZia once the aforementioned evidentiary hearing has begun. 24

25

26 DISCUSSION.

27 A.R.S. 40-360.06(A)(1) provides in pertinent part that "The committee...shall consider the

28

following factors as a basis for its action with respect to the suitability of ... transmission line 1 siting plans: [1] Existing plans of ... private entities for other developments at or in the 2 vicinity of the proposed site." As discussed at length in SunZia's Application For Leave To 3 Intervene in the Instant Proceeding, SunZia's rights and options under the CEC granted to it 4 by Decision No. 75464 constitute "existing plans...of private entities" within the 5 contemplation and meaning of A.R.S. 40-360.06(A)(1). Moreover, SunZia's planned 6 facilities in the vicinity of the Pinal Central Substation are physically "in the vicinity of the 7 proposed site" for the 230 kV gen-tie line here in question. Further, given that SunZia's 8 9 plans for its two 500 kV transmission lines and the DC/AC converter station option have been approved by both the Siting Committee and the Arizona Corporation Commission 10 11 ("Commission") in Decision No. 75464, it conceivably also might be said that they are "existing plans of the state...government" as well under A.R.S. 40-360.06(A)(1). 12

13

14 Thus, in order for the Siting Committee to fully discharge its responsibilities under A.R.S. 40-360.06(A)(1), it MUST consider any potential impact of Applicant's currently proposed 15 16 230 kV gen-tie line upon SunZia's "existing plans" for the SunZia Transmission Project. In turn, in order for the Siting Committee to be fully apprised as to the nature and scope of 17 any potential impacts upon SunZia's "existing plans" from Applicant's proposed 230 kV 18 19 gen-tie line, SunZia itself MUST be allowed to present its own evidence upon the subject, if necessary. Otherwise, the underlying spirit and purpose of the statute simply cannot be 20 21 achieved.

22

As previously noted in the Background section of this Memorandum On Evidence, SunZia
does not interpret Applicant's Memorandum/Motion as setting forth a line of argument or
contention to the effect that SunZia does not possess this evidentiary right. However, "for
the record," SunZia concluded that it would be appropriate to set forth its position on the
subject at this time.

28

1 CONCLUSION.

As discussed elsewhere in its Application For Leave To Intervene, representatives of 2 3 SunZia and Applicant have been engaged in ongoing discussions to determine if a mutually acceptable solution can be reached which would allow Applicant to obtain a CEC for its 4 5 230 kV gen-tie line, without (i) obstructing or impairing SunZia's rights and options under Decision No. 75464 for its SunZia Transmission Project, or (ii) causing an increase in 6 SunZia's costs to exercise such rights and options. In the event that the aforementioned 7 8 discussions result in a mutually acceptable solution, SunZia conceivably might exercise its 9 right to present evidence at the hearing solely for the purpose of supporting such solution 10 and explaining why approval of the same by the Siting Committee (and ultimately the Commission) would be important from SunZia's perspective and consistent with SunZia's 11 "existing plans." Conversely, if such discussions are unsuccessful, then SunZia would 12 13 exercise its right to present evidence (i) explaining how approval of Applicant's 230 kV gen-tie line request could adversely impact SunZia's "existing plans", and (ii) proposing an 14 15 acceptable alternative for the 230 kV gen-tie line, if there is one.

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

17 In connection with the foregoing, SunZia takes no position with respect to the legal
18 arguments set forth and conclusions reached in Applicant's Memorandum/Motion as to
19 other "potential parties."

DATED this 6th day of April, 2017.

Respectfully Submitted,

LAWRENCE V. ROBERTSON, JR. Of Counsel to Munger Chadwick, PLC 210 West Continental Road, Suite 216A Green Valley, AZ 85622

And

4

1	DOUG FANT
2	SunZia Transmission, LLC 3610 N. 44 th Street, Suite 250
3	Phoenix, AZ 85018
4	Attorneys for SunZia Transmission, LLC
5	
6	By: LAWRENCE V. ROBERTSON, JR.
7	By: LAWRENCE V. ROBERTSON, JR.
8	
9	
10	
11	CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE
12	ORIGINAL and 25 copies filed this 6 th day of April, 2017, with:
13	
14	Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission
15	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
16	
17	COPY of the foregoing hand-delivered this 6th day of April, 2017, to:
18	Chairman Thomas Chenal Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee ARIZONA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 1275 West Washington Street
19	
20	
21	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
22	Jeffrey W. Crockett
23	Crockett Law Group, PLLC 2198 East Camelback Road, Suite 305
24	Phoenix, Arizona 85016-4747
25	Andy Kvesic, General Counsel and Director of Legal Division
26	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street
27	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
28	
	5

1	Elijah O. Abinah, Acting Director
2	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
3	1200 West Washington Street
	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
4	COPY of the foregoing sent via email this 6th day of April, 2017, to:
5	Tom C. Wray, Project Manager
6	SUNZIA TRANSMISSION, LLC
7	3610 N. 44 th Street, Suite 250 Phoenix, Arizona 85018
8	Email: twray@southwesternpower.com
9	Gilberto V. Figueroa, Esq. Attorney at Law
10	P.O. Box 1248
11	Casa Grande, Arizona 85130
12	Email: gvfigueroa@yahoo.com
	Attorneys for Potential Intervenor Lynda Williams
13	Rodney Q. Jarvis, Esq.
14	EARL, CURLEY & LAGARDE, P.C.
15	3101 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1000 Phoenix, Arizona 85012
16	Email: rjarvis@ecllaw.com
17	Attorneys for Potential Intervenor Lynda Williams
	COPY of the foregoing sent via email this 6th day of April, 217, to:
18	
19	Marta T. Hetzer
20	COASH & COASH, INC. 1802 N. 7 th Street
21	Phoenix, Arizona 85006
22	Email: <u>mh@coashandcoash.com</u>
23	P 7. Rox 9
	Laurence D. Robertran, Jr. By:
24	By: LAWRENCE V. ROBERTSON, JR.
25	
26	
27	
28	
1997 (1997) 1997 (1997)	
	6