**ORIGINAL** 

NEW APPLICATION





RECEIVED AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

Oklahoma City, Ok 73142

Judith A. Riley, J.D.

March 6, 2017

Via UPS Overnight Delivery

Docket Control Center Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAR 07 2017

DOCKETED BY

RE:

Application of TCO Network, Inc. for Rescission of Bond in Arizona Corporation

Commission Decision No. 73133
Docket No. T-20552A-17-0067

Dear Commission:

Enclosed for filing please find the original and thirteen (13) copies of the Application for Rescission of Bond in Arizona Corporation Commission Decision No. 73133 submitted on behalf of TCO Network, Inc.

Please direct any questions you may have to my attention at 405-755-8177 x103 or by email at mdean@telecompliance.net.

Respectfully Submitted,

Mether. Dr

Matt W. Dean

Regulatory Agent to TCO Network, Inc.

#### BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION	)	
OF TCO NETWORK, INC. FOR	)	
RESCISSION OF BOND REQUIREMENT	) DOCKET NO.	
CONTAINED IN ARIZONA CORPORATION )	Was a superior a commence of the superior of t	
COMMISSION DECISION NO. 73133		

## APPLICATION

TCO Network, Inc. (hereinafter "TCO Network") requests rescission of the bond requirement included in Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") Decision No. 73133.

### BACKGROUND

TCO Network is a provider of competitive resold long distance and resold local exchange telecommunications services in the State of Arizona. TCO Network was issued a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to provide competitive resold long distance and resold local exchange telecommunications services by the Commission on May 1, 2012 (Decision NO. 73133). TCO Network does not serve residential customers.

When TCO Network was certificated by the Commission on May 1, 2017, that Order, ACC Decision 73133, required TCO Network to obtain and submit to the Commission a \$25,000 performance bond in order to protect the Company's resold local exchange customers. TCO Network has complied with its obligation to maintain the aforementioned performance bond and currently maintains a bond in the amount of \$25,000.

The bond in place has never been invoked and no customer complaint brought into question TCO Network's conduct as a public service corporation. During this period, it was general policy of the Commission to require a bond without a specific inquiry into the track record of the company. Because TCO Network has a track record of good performance and the bond is not needed to ensure TCO Network's compliance with Commission orders, TCO Network respectfully asks that the Commission issue an order relieving TCO Network of its bond obligation.

## **ANALYSIS**

"In appropriate circumstances, the Commission may require, as a precondition to certification, the procurement of a performance bond sufficient to cover any advances or deposits the telecommunications company may collect from its customers, or order that such advances or deposits be held in escrow or trust". A.A.C. R14-2-1105(D). TCO Network is subject to the Arizona Competitive Telecommunications Rules, A.A.C. R14-2-1101-1115, and must comply with all rules applicable to the provision of intrastate telecommunications services under the terms of certification. AAC Decision No 61373, p.4, para. 19(j)(1999). While the Commission may require a performance bond prior to certification, for the reasons set forth below continuing this requirement for TCO Network, an established competitive telecommunications company, is unnecessary and costly.

#### 1. Record of Compliance

TCO Network has been a certificated carrier in Arizona since 2012. Throughout this period, TCO Network has complied with the requirements of it certification, including filing annual reports, paying annual assessments for funding the ACC and RUCO (A.R.S. §40-401; §40-401.01), and funding Arizona universal service. Any complaints against TCO Network have been resolved and closed with no formal litigation and without penalty to TCO Network. TCO Network is available to respond in a timely and responsive manner to any questions or concerns regarding customer service.

The bond that TCO Network has had on file with the Commission has never been drawn upon or requested. Obtaining and maintaining this bond created a significant expense for TCO Network and continues to do so. Moreover, it diverts monies that TCO Network could use to grow its network or improve its systems.

### 2. The Bond is Not Necessary or Reasonable

The Commission "may require ... the procurement of a performance bond sufficient to cover any advances or deposits the company may collect from its customers." A.A.C. R14-2-1105(D) (emphasis added). This rule was invoked by the Commission, as early as 2000, to protect consumers in the event a telecommunications carrier declared bankruptcy or abandoned service. See, e.g., Decision No. 62751 (2000) (Eschelon Telecom of Arizona CC&N Application). At that time, many providers were new to Arizona and few carriers had invested in equipment and facilities. The new competitive local exchange

carriers ("CLECs") did not have demonstrable operating histories, nor could the offer track records of

customer satisfaction. During this period, a bond requirement was the vehicle selected by Commission

Staff to protect consumers in the event a provider could not meet its legal obligations. Bonds were one way

for the Commission to protect consumers from companies who requested or required customer deposits or

advances. TCO Network does not request or require customer deposits or advances; therefore a bond is not

necessary, given TCO Network's history.

3. The Commission is Moving Towards Bonds Only When Necessary

In October 2015, the Commission approved the CAN Communication Services' application to be

relieved of its bond requirement. The Commission concluded that it was in the public interest to approve

the ACN application. See Decision No. 73518. Likewise, the Commission has recently approved a carrier

certification request without requiring a bond of the applicant. See TNCI Operating Company, LLC T-

20882A-13-0108. In recommending approval of the TNCI certification, Staff recommended no bond

reflecting an appropriate reaction to changes in the competitive telecommunications market. Staff has

recommended a "case by case" analysis for assessing the need for a bond. This makes sense. The

Commission retains full authority to impose a bond if Staff is concerned about a company's managerial or

technical ability to provide services in Arizona. Companies like TCO Network, however, that have been

providing service for years, show no history of customer complaints or problems, and have demonstrated

their technical and managerial expertise, should not be required to post or maintain a bond.

4. Bond Documents

If this application is approved, TCO Network requests that the bond documents be returned to the

following TCO Network representative:

William F. Linsmeier

TCO Network, Inc.

13400 Bishops Lane, Suite 295

Brookfield, WI 53005

# CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, TCO Network respectfully requests an order cancelling the bond requirements in Decision No. 73133.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 6th Day of March, 2017.

By:

Matt W. Dean

Consultant to TCO Network, Inc. 12316 Hidden Forest Blvd. Oklahoma City, OK 73142 Phone: (405) 755-8177 x103

mdean@telecompliance.net

ORIGINAL and thirteen (13) copies of the foregoing Was filed this 6<sup>th</sup> Day of March 2017 with:

Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoneix, Arizona 85007