

ORIGINAL



0000174315

**Memorandum**  
From the office of  
**Chairman Doug Little**  
**Arizona Corporation Commission**  
1200 W. WASHINGTON  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA  
(602) 542-0745

2016 OCT 28 PM 2 47  
AZ CORP COM  
DOCKET CONTROL  
RECEIVED

TO: Docket Control  
DATE: October 28, 2016  
FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office  
SUBJECT: SSVEC E-01575A-15-0312

---

Chairman Little's office received 5 emails referencing, and in support of, the above docket number. The correspondence can be viewed in Docket, or on the Commission website via the eDocket link.

Arizona Corporation Commission

**DOCKETED**

OCT 28 2016

DOCKETED BY	<i>ML</i>
-------------	-----------

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Kathleen Buonocore <bogracie@me.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 27, 2016 4:37 PM  
**To:** Little-Web; RBurns-Web; Forese-Web; Stump-Web; Tobin-Web  
**Subject:** SSVEC Rate Case E-01575A-15-0312

Commissioners:

I am a DG-solar system owner in the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC) service area and am writing regarding the rate case before you.

I STRONGLY ENCOURAGE you to accept these recommendations from Judge Belinda Martin:

- REJECTING SSVEC's attempt to set a retroactive grandfathering date of April 15, 2015, and setting as default Commission policy that any grandfathering policies will be effective only on the date of the Commission's final decision.
- Directing that DG-solar customers NOT be segregated into a separate rate class but be treated the same as other residential customers.
- Rejecting SSVEC's claim that DG-solar customers are the sole cause of the \$1.13M "under-collection" the co-op reported in 2014. (I would remind you that during that "test year," SSVEC still made \$7M above their expenses.)
- Directing that determining the rates for DG-solar customers be delayed into a second phase of this case that will begin only after the Value of Solar rate case is completed.
- Directing that any new Net Metering tariff for DG customers, and for that matter any other rate changes, be phased in over time.

I generally support the concept of adjusting service availability and energy charges to better reflect the costs these charges are meant to pay for, but I would remind the Commission that even the residential rate structure Judge Martin recommends approving will reward those who use more energy than average by actually lowering what they pay in their combined service availability and energy fees while INCREASING that amount for those who use less energy. If SSVEC is genuinely interested in encouraging customer and energy savings, this should be reversed.

I would also encourage you to support the following ACC staff recommendations:

- Moving to fewer inter-class subsidies.
- New Service Charges roll-out and handling recommendations: informing customers of service costs in advance, placing all service charges on SSVEC's website, not charging customers for issues on the co-op side of meter or for normal maintenance. These practices should be models for these and other types of changes.

DG-solar customers are not SSVEC's enemy. We deserve to be treated with respect and charged rates that are reasonable and appropriate. Judge Martin's and the staff's recommendations above move this case in that direction for all SSVEC residential customers and I again encourage you to support them.

Sincerely,

Kathleen M. Buonocore

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** ROBERT STACY HARDY <hdallcow4@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 27, 2016 6:13 PM  
**To:** Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web  
**Subject:** SUPPORT SSVEC

Dear Commissioners:

**Please support the position of SSVEC, the Commission staff, as well as the judge's recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312.**

We agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to accept this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the Judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperative's members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Betsy <stevenbets@vtc.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 27, 2016 7:21 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** SSVEC Docket E-01575A-15-0312

Dear Commissioners:

**Please support the position of SSVEC, the Commission staff, as well as the judge's recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312.**

We agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to accept this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the Judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperative's members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.

E.A. Estelle

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** John Ricuito <jarnslr@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 27, 2016 8:56 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket No. WS-01303A-16-0145

Dear Commissioner Little,

As a resident of Cross River, a community in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I want the record to show my unconditional support for full consolidation of the EPCOR waste water districts. It is a fair and equitable solution to a major discriminatory and economic problem which has been plaguing our communities for many, many years. Other utility companies, welcomed growth into their systems. As with those utility customers, full consolidation treats all consumers on an equal basis, is economically viable to all parties and is acceptable to the utility company, EPCOR. Our property values are suffering due to these high water and waste water rates. Some consumers may see an increase but all consumers will be treated the same and uniformity and fairness is paramount. Please support full consolidation as requested by EPCOR.

Thank you in advance.

Sincerely,  
John & Sherry Ricuito  
11968 W Patrick Ln  
Sun City, AZ 85373

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Cory Stromberg <letour04@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 27, 2016 10:58 PM  
**To:** RBurns-Web; Stump-Web; Utilities Div - Mailbox; Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket # WS-01303A-16-0145 / concerned citizen and voter

Dear Commissioner –

As a resident of Dos Riosinsert, a community in the Agua Fria wastewater district, I want the record to show my unconditional support for full consolidation of the EPCOR waste water districts. It is a fair and equitable solution to a major discriminatory and economic problem which has been plaguing our communities for many, many years. Other utility companies, welcomed growth into their systems. As with those utility customers, full consolidation treats all consumers on an equal basis, is economically viable to all parties and is acceptable to the utility company, EPCOR. Our property values are suffering due to these high water and waste water rates. Some consumers may see an increase but all consumers will be treated the same and uniformity and fairness is paramount. Please support full consolidation as requested by EPCOR.  
Thank you in advance.

Cory Stromberg