



Memorandum From the office of Chairman Doug Little Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. WASHINGTON PHOENIX, ARIZONA (602) 542-0745

TO: Docket Control

DATE: October 4, 2016

- FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office
- SUBJECT: UNS E-04204A-15-0142

Chairman Little's office received the attached documents that pertain to the above docket numbers. The documents can be viewed in Docket, or on the Commission website via the eDocket link.

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED DCT 0 4 2016 DOGNETED BY







On this 4th day of October, 2016, the foregoing document was filed with Docket Control as a Correspondence from Chairman Doug Little, and copies of the foregoing were mailed on behalf of Chairman Doug Little to the following who have not consented to email service. On this date or as soon as possible thereafter, the Commission's eDocket program will automatically email a link to the foregoing to the following who have consented to email service.

Eric J. Lacey STONE MATTHEIS XENOPOULOS & BREW, PC 1025 Thomas Jefferson ST, NW, 8th FL West Tower Washington District of Columbia 20007 EJL@smxblaw.com Consented to Service by Email

Steve W. Chriss WAL-MART STORES, INC. 2011 S.E. 10th Street Bentonville Arkansas 72716

Michael Hiatt EARTHJUSTICE 633 17th ST Suite #1600 Denver Colorado 80202 mhiatt@earthjustice.org jtauber@earthjustice.org Consented to Service by Email

Ken Wilson WESTERN RESOURCE ADVOCATES 2260 Baseline Road, Suite 200 Boulder Colorado 80302 ken.wilson@westernresources.org **Consented to Service by Email**

Rick Gilliam THE VOTE SOLAR INITIATIVE 1120 Pearl St, Ste 200 Boulder Colorado 80302 rick@votesolar.com **Consented to Service by Email** Timothy M. Hogan ARIZONA CENTER FOR LAW IN THE PUBLIC INTERST 514 W. Roosevelt St. Phoenix Arizona 85003 thogan@aclpi.org **Consented to Service by Email**

Timothy J. Sabo SNELL & WILMER, LLP One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren, 19th Floor Phoenix Arizona 85004

Michael Patten SNELL & WILMER, LLP One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 1900 Phoenix Arizona 85004 mpatten@swlaw.com jhoward@swlaw.com docket@swlaw.com **Consented to Service by Email**

Jason D. Gellman SNELL & WILMER, LLP Michael Patten 400 East Van Buren Street Phoenix Arizona 85004

Jason Moyes MOYES SELLERS & HENDRICKS 1850 North Central, Suite 1100 Phoenix Arizona 85004 jasonmoyes@law-msh.com kes@krsaline.com jimoyes@law.msh.com **Consented to Service by Email** Cynthia Zwick ARIZONA COMMUNITY ACTION ASSOCIATION 2700 N. Third St. - 3040 Phoenix Arizona 85004 czwick@azcaa.org **Consented to Service by Email**

Gary Yaquinto ARIZONA INVESTMENT COUNCIL 2100 North Central Avenue, Suite 210 Phoenix Arizona 85004 gyaquinto@arizonaic.org Consented to Service by Email

COASH & COASH COURT REPORTING, VIDEO AND VIDEOCONFERENCING 1802 North 7th Street Phoenix Arizona 85006

Janice Alward ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 W. Washington Phoenix Arizona 85007

Daniel Pozefsky RUCO 1110 West Washington, Suite 220 Phoenix Arizona 85007 dpozefsky@azruco.com **Consented to Service by Email**

Thomas Broderick ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 W. Washington St. Phoenix Arizona 85007

Meghan H. Grabel OSBORN MALADON, PA 2929 N. Central Avenue Suite 2100 Phoenix Arizona 85012 mgrabel@omlaw.com **Consented to Service by Email**

Scott S. Wakefield HIENTON & CURRY, PLLC 5045 N 12th Street, Suite 110 Phoenix Arizona 85014-3302 Jeffrey Crockett CROCKETT LAW GROUP, PLLC 2198 E. Camelback Rd., Suite 305 Phoenix Arizona 85016 jeff@jeffcrockettlaw.com kchapman@ssvec.com **Consented to Service by Email**

Garry D Hays LAW OFFICES OF GARRY D. HAYS, PC 2198 East Camelback Road, Suite 305 Phoenix Arizona 85016

Robert J. Metli MUNGER CHADWICK, PLC 2398 E. Camelback Rd., Ste. 240 Phoenix Arizona 85016 rjmetli@mungerchadwick.com **Consented to Service by Email**

C. Webb Crockett FENNEMORE CRAIG, PC 2394 E. Camelback Rd, Ste 600 Phoenix Arizona 85016 wcrocket@fclaw.com pblack@fclaw.com **Consented to Service by Email**

Ellen Zuckerman SWEEP SENIOR ASSOCIATE 4231 E Catalina Dr. Phoenix Arizona 85018

Tom Harris ARIZONA SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION 2122 W. Lone Cactus Dr. Suite 2 Phoenix Arizona 85027 tom.harris@ariSEIA.org **Consented to Service by Email**

Mark Holohan ARIZON SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION 2122 West Lone Cactus Drive, Suite 2 Phoenix Arizona 85027

Craig A. Marks CRAIG A. MARKS, PLC 10645 N. Tatum Blvd. Suite 200-676 Phoenix Arizona 85028 Craig.Marks@azbar.org **Consented to Service by Email** Thomas A Loquvam PINNACLE WEST CAPITAL CORPORATION P.O. Box 53999, MS 8695 Phoenix Arizona 85072 thomas.loquvam@pinnaclewest.com melissa.krueger@pinnaclewest.com **Consented to Service by Email**

Court S. Rich ROSE LAW GROUP, PC 7144 E. Stetson Drive, Suite 300 Scottsdale Arizona 85251 crich@roselawgroup.com **Consented to Service by Email**

Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr. PO Box 1448 Tubac Arizona 85646 Vincent Nitido TRICO ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC 8600 West Tangerine Road Marana Arizona 85658 Bradley S. Carroll UNS GAS, INC. 88 East Broadway, MS HQE910 P.O Box 711 Tucson Arizona 85702 bcarroll@tep.com **Consented to Service by Email**

Jeff Schlegel SWEEP ARIZONA REPRESENTATIVE 1167 W. Samalayuca Dr. Tucson Arizona 85704-3224

Briana Kobor VOTE SOLAR 360 22nd St. Suite 730 Oakland California 94602 briana@votesolar.org **Consented to Service by Email**

By:

Andrea Gaston Executive Aide to Chairman Doug Little

Pro-Solar. Pro-Grid. Pro-Consumer.

Solar energy technology has the power to change the face of modern electricity generation dramatically. From rooftop to community to largescale projects, consumers across the country are realizing the awesome potential that solar brings to them in the form of clean, affordable, and reliable energy. To ensure that solar energy technology thrives, and that consumers are able to access it, federal, state, county, and even local governments have created incentives to encourage solar technology.

Accordingly, Consumer Energy Alliance (CEA) commissioned Borlick Associates to provide a report that describes and quantifies the amount of incentives consumers have access to in various states across the country. From California to Massachusetts, and from Maine to Arizona, this comprehensive view of solar incentives should help lawmakers, policymakers, regulators, utilities, and consumers at the federal, state, and local level



SUMER ENERGY ALLIANCE

make informed policy, legal, and investment decisions based on the most current information available to ensure the proliferation of solar technology, the continued efficiency of a robust electric grid, and increased access to clean, affordable, and reliable energy sources for all American consumers.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

To stimulate renewable energy development, governments at the local, state and federal level have provided a myriad of incentives for residential electricity customers who install solar panels on their roofs, some of which overlap. The combined effect of these incentives is quite significant – particularly in light of the dramatic decline in the cost of solar panels that has recently occurred.

This report aims to inform policymakers by quantifying the total incentives as a percentage of the installed cost of a typical residential solar facility located in each of 15 states, including: Arizona, California, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Louisiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Nevada, and North Carolina. These states were selected to capture diversity in location, state-level incentive policies, retail tariff designs, and wholesale electricity prices. Accordingly, this report focuses on the following:

Nature of the Incentives

While a number of financial incentives exist for rooftop residential solar PV users, this report explores the four most prominent and significant types of incentives:

- Incentives provided to residential customers who own solar PV facilities, through tax credits and monetary payments from federal and state governmental entities and electric utilities,
- Incentives provided through state "net energy metering" (NEM) policies,
- Incentives provided to third party owners (TPOs) of residential rooftop solar PV facilities that either lease them or sell the energy they produce to their residential customers through long-term contracts,
- Incentives provided through Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs) that can be sold.

Direct Incentives

This is all residential customers that own solar PV receive the Residential Energy Efficiency Property Credit (REEPC), which is a federal tax credit equal to 30 percent of the solar PV facility's installed cost. In addition to the REEPC, many customers receive one or more of the following incentives:

- · State income tax credits and/or deductions,
- State and/or local sales and/or property tax exemptions,
- State renewable energy payments,
- State Public Utility Commission (PUC)-approved incentives provided by the utilities they regulate.

In some states, owners of residential solar PV also receive incentives from their local governmental entities. To simplify the analyses, this report excludes these incentives.

Net Energy Metering (NEM) Incentives

In 44 states and the District of Columbia, residential customers with solar PV can participate in NEM programs offered by their respective electric utilities. These programs bill the customer for the net amount of electricity consumed, i.e., what the customer consumes less the amount the customer produces onsite. Any excess energy produced flows back to the utility and the customer receives a bill credit that is applied to future bills. In effect, the utility purchases all of the customer's solar energy at the energy prices in the customer's retail tariff, which almost always exceed the utility's avoided costs. This report defines the NEM incentive as the present value of the customer's bill savings derived from the NEM program, less the present value of the costs the utility avoids due to the customer's onsite generation, over the 25-year expected economic life of the solar facility.

ONSUMER **ENERGY** ALLIANC

Third Party Ownership Incentives

Recently, a new business model has emerged - the third party ownership model - where a business entity owns the solar PV system installed on a homeowners' rooftop and either leases the system to the homeowner or sells the energy it produces to the homeowner through a long-term contract. This arrangement creates additional incentives because the third party owner (TPO) depreciates the solar facility as a business asset over just 5 years. In addition, the TPO bases the depreciation deductions and the federal ITC on the facility's fair market value (FMV), which is higher than the installed cost.

Renewable Energy Certificates

A renewable energy certificate (REC) is a property right created for the owner of a renewable resource when it produces one MWh of energy that is certified and reported to one of nine regional tracking systems. RECs created by solar facilities are a special subset often referred to as "Solar Renewable Energy Certificates (SRECs)." RECs have monetary value primarily because the electricity suppliers serving retail customers in 29 states and the District of Columbia must acquire them in order to comply with the renewable portfolio standards (RPS) adopted by these political jurisdictions. Owners of rooftop solar facilities can sell their RECs into one or more regional markets at the prevailing market prices. In addition, in some states, the owners can sell their RECs directly to their host utilities through PUC-mandated programs that pay above-market prices.

Estimates of Incentive Values

Figure 1 illustrates the installed cost and incentives available for a typical nominal 4 KW-dc residential solar PV facility. The incentives shown are simple averages of the 15 state-specific results obtained for residential customers served under their respective utilities' standard tariffs. For comparison, it also presents the installed cost and incentives available for a third party-owned 4 KW-dc residential solar PV facility and by an equivalent amount of capacity from a typical, large-scale fixed-tilt solar PV facility.

As Figure 1 shows, the installed cost of an equivalent amount of utility-scale solar PV capacity (also reported by SEIA for Q1-2015) is about half that of the residential solar PV facility. It also reveals that large-scale solar PV facilities receive incentives (all from the federal government) equal to only about 58 percent of installed cost. Because a solar PV facility's initial investment essentially determines the resource cost of the electricity it produces, large-scale solar PV produces electricity at a much lower resource cost than residential solar PV.

Figures 2 and 2A present the state-by-state incentive estimates for customer-owned residential solar PV in each of the 15 selected states. The incentives to customer-owned residential solar PV in 8 of the 15 states cover more than the customer's cost of installing the facilities. An additional 7 states provide incentives that cover more than three-quarters of the installed cost of the solar PV facilities.



Conclusions

Based on the various incentives and certificates at the federal, state, and local levels offered to solar PV rooftop users, this report will demonstrate the following conclusions to provide a foundation and context for policymakers to make well-reasoned and informed decisions regarding solar policy within their jurisdiction.

Existing Incentives For Residential Solar PV Are Significant

The combined effect of the incentives in many states collectively exceeds the total cost of installing a solar PV facility – particularly for third party-owned facilities.

Third Party-Owned Solar PV Facilities Receive Significant Incentives

When a customer leases a solar PV facility or purchases its energy output through a long-term contract, the TPO receives the federal ITC and 5-year accelerated depreciation, significantly enhanced by basing them on the fair market value of the facility, rather than its installed cost.

Existing Incentives May Change the Economics of Future Investments in Solar

The non-incentivized cost of producing a kWh of energy with residential solar PV is much higher than the non-incentivized cost of producing a kWh of energy with a large-scale solar PV; consequently, incentivizing residential solar PV may not be the economically efficient way to increase solar penetration.

The NEM Incentive Shifts Costs onto Less Affluent Customers

Net metering programs, which pay residential PV solar customers high rates for their excess electricity production, shift fixed utility infrastructure costs onto non-solar customers, who a number of reports show are typically less affluent than customers with solar PV.

Incentives For Residential Solar PV Vary Widely Among The States

The total incentives for customer-owned residential solar PV facilities vary significantly among the states. Four factors create these disparities: (1) different state direct and REC incentives for residential solar energy, (2) different residential retail tariff designs, (3) different avoided utility costs and, (4) (for third partyowned facilities) different contract pricing strategies. Still, on a dollar per-kW basis, even the smallest package of total incentives far exceeds the incentives provided to large-scale solar PV projects.





Figure 1. Incentives Available for a 3.9 kW-dc Residential Solar PV Facility and an Equivalent Amount of Utility-scale Solar PV Capacity (\$/Wdc)

1. NEM incentive is the difference between the present values of the customer's bill savings and the utility's avoided costs over the facility's life. For Rooftop Leased, the incentive flows to the homeowner and is largely passed through to the Third-Party Owner as a lease or PPA payment.

2. Renewable Energy Certificates / Credits are incentives available through applicable programs.

3. Incentives mandated by state legislatures are upfront and/or performance-based compensation, often through the state tax code.

4. Depreciation is based on renewable-specific 5-year MACRS.

Figure 2. Incentives Available for Customer-Owned Residential Solar PV in Selected States, as a Percentage of Installed Cost (3.9 kW)



1. NEM incentive is the difference between the present values of the customer's bill savings and the utility's avoided costs over the facility's life. For the typical lease, the incentive flows to the homeowner and is largely passed through to the Third-Party Owner as a lease or PPA payment.

2. Renewable Energy Certificates are incentives available through applicable programs.

3. Incentives mandated by state legislatures are upfront and/or performance-based compensation, often through the state tax code.



Figure 2A. Incentives Available for Customer-Owned Residential Solar PV in Selected States, as a Percentage of Installed Cost (6 kW)



Figure 3. Total Incentive (\$) for Typical Rooftop Owned System (3.9kW)





Figure 3A. Total Incentive (\$) for Typical Rooftop Owned System (6kW)





Incentives for Typical Installations across the Nation

	Incentive (\$/W)	=	Installed Cost (\$/W)	*	Subsidized Percentage
Utility-scale Solar	\$0.93	=	\$1.60	*	58%
Owned Rooftop Solar (15 state average)	\$3.94	=	\$3.50	*	113%
Leased Rooftop Solar (15 state average)	\$5.46	=	\$2.95	*	185%



Conclusion

Solar electricity generation can improve our environment and reduce everyone's electricity bills, but only with the right set of policies. In Arizona, these policies must reflect the potential for solar to play an increasing role in the state's electricity portfolio and the need to maintain a robust electric grid for all utility consumers.

Understanding these conclusions and considerations – and making policy, law and investment decisions based upon them – will lay the foundation for a solar energy future in Arizona that is vibrant, clean, reliable, and provides affordable energy to all consumers. And that is certainly **pro-solar**, **pro-grid**, **and pro-consumer**.

JMER **ENERGY** ALLIANCE

- Arizona has adopted an RPS of 15 percent to be attained by 2025, which includes a carve-out (i.e., 30 percent of 15 percent) for distributed renewable generation.
- A single 6,000-watt rooftop solar system in Arizona receives \$26,710 in taxpayer and net metering incentives, or about \$4.45 in incentives per watt, representing 127% of the actual cost of the system.
- A single 3,900-watt rooftop solar system in Arizona receives \$17,602 in taxpayer and netmetering incentives, or about \$4.54 in incentives per watt, representing 130% of the actual cost of the system.

	Incentive (\$) (Typical 6.0kW system)	Incentive (\$/W)	Percentage of Cost
Federal	\$6,300	\$1.05	30%
State	\$1,000	\$0.17	5%
NEM	\$19,410	\$3.24	92%
Total	\$26,710	\$4.45	127%

Incentives for Typical Rooftop Solar in Arizona (6.0kW)

Incentives for Typical Rooftop Solar in Arizona (3.9kW)

	Incentive (\$) (Typical 3.9kW system)	Incentive (\$/W)	Percentage of Cost
Federal	\$4,068	\$1.04	30%
State	\$1,000	\$0.26	7%
NEM	\$12,534	\$3.21	92%
Total	\$17,602	\$4.54	130%



ARIZONA'S SOLAR INCENTIVES

Pro-Solar. Pro-Grid. Pro-Consumer.

Solar energy technology has the power to change the face of modern electricity generation. From rooftop to community to utility-scale projects, consumers across the country are realizing the awesome potential that solar brings to them in the form of clean, affordable, and reliable energy. To ensure that solar energy technology thrives, and that consumers are able to access it, federal, state, county, and even local governments have created incentives to encourage solar technology.

Accordingly, Consumer Energy Alliance (CEA) commissioned Borlick Associates to provide a report that describes and quantifies the amount of incentives that consumers have access to in various states across the country. This comprehensive view of solar incentives should help lawmakers, policymakers, regulators, utilities, and consumers at the federal, state, and local level make informed policy, legal, and investment decisions based on the most current information available to ensure the proliferation of solar technology, the continued efficiency of a robust



electric grid, and increased access to clean, affordable, and reliable energy sources for all American consumers.

Solar Incentives in Arizona

Among the report's key findings:

- Existing Incentives for Residential Solar PV are Significant
- Third Party-Owned Solar PV Facilities Receive Significant Incentives
- Existing Incentives May Change the Economics of Future Investments in Solar
- The NEM Incentive Shifts Costs onto Less Affluent Customers
- · Incentives for Residential Solar PV Vary Widely Among the States

