

COMMISSIONERS
DOUG LITTLE - Chairman
BOB STUMP
BOB BURNS
TOM FORESE
ANDY TOBIN

ORIGINAL



0000173174

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RECEIVED
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

2016 SEP 2 PM 2 29

September 2, 2016

To: Docket Control

RE: Tucson Electric Power – Customer Comments

Docket No. E-01933A-15-0322 & E-01933A-15-0239

Consumer Services Section received 17 emails referencing, and in opposition of, the above docket numbers. The emails can be viewed in eDocket or on the Commission website via the eDocket link.

Filed by: Utilities Division – Consumer Services

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

SEP 02 2016

DOCKETED BY 

Al Amezcua

From: Utilities Div - Mailbox
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 8:08 AM
To: Al Amezcua
Subject: FW: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

From: Charles Young [mailto:charlesyoung907@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 10:03 PM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>
Subject: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

TEP's demand charge scheme makes it almost impossible to control high charges. Demand charges are additional charges that could result in higher bills even if you use less electricity. It's like being charged for your most expensive dinner every night of the month.

TEP's proposal will kneecap the Arizona solar industry. Demand charges and the elimination of net metering have already shown to eliminate growing solar markets in the US, in both Nevada and SRP. UNS's requests both.

TEP's proposal will eliminate local Arizona jobs. Solar brings jobs, innovation and competition to sunny Arizona. UNS's proposal denies Arizona's fair energy choice and access to solar energy

TEP's proposal is not based on persuasive data. The proposed discriminatory fees, rate design and elimination of the most fundamental solar policy comes before the Arizona Corporation Commission has even studied the benefits of solar.

TEP is requesting a rate hike at the same time its proposal would undermine our ability to save with solar.

Regards,
Charles Young
2844 N La Cienega Dr
Tucson, AZ 85715

Al Amezcua

From: Utilities Div - Mailbox
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 2:11 PM
To: Al Amezcua
Subject: FW: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

From: Janet Miller [mailto:janetkmiller@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 2:04 PM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>
Subject: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Don't let TEP monkeywrench the Arizona solar industry.
Let's look to the future in Arizona, and do everything we can to incentivize solar power. We can lead the country!

Regards,
Janet Miller
522 S 5th Ave
Tucson, AZ 85701

Al Amezcua

From: Utilities Div - Mailbox
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 4:04 PM
To: Al Amezcua
Subject: FW: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

From: Dan Edmondson [mailto:daniel.edmondson@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 4:03 PM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>
Subject: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I feel that you must think had about how you will vote on kill solar power in the state Az. That is what is facing you. We will be watching and we will know who to not vote for in the near future.

Regards,
Dan Edmondson
4420 S Paseo Don Carlos
Tucson, AZ 85757

Al Amezcua

From: Utilities Div - Mailbox
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 8:08 AM
To: Al Amezcua
Subject: FW: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

From: James Eshleman [mailto:eshlemaj@outlook.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 9:52 PM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>
Subject: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

As a long time customer of TEP, I am deeply disturbed by TEP's actions regarding Solar Power. They are showing that they don't have the best interests of their customers and other residents of AZ by opposing Solar Energy development in our state which should be a model for the utilization of the Solar Energy that we are blessed with.

Regards,
James Eshleman
210 E Paseo Chuparosas
Green Valley, AZ 85614

Al Amezcua

From: Utilities Div - Mailbox
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 8:08 AM
To: Al Amezcua
Subject: FW: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

From: Jane Heaton [mailto:biz@pnj89.net]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 8:05 PM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>
Subject: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

We have invested in clean energy, we have paid our monthly rates for use of the grid despite using ZERO electricity from TEP for the last 2 1/2 yrs. Why should you resist moving into the 21st century when your clients are anxious to have low cost, clean energy and are willing to put their money where their mouth is?

Regards,
Jane Heaton
2901 N Santa Rosa Pl
Tucson, AZ 85712

Al Amezcua

From: Utilities Div - Mailbox
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 8:09 AM
To: Al Amezcua
Subject: FW: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

From: Judith Clark [mailto:judlyclark@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 7:52 PM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>
Subject: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

How dare you people try to keep us in the dark ages? Arizona=Solar Paradise. Just stop what you're doing and quit favoring big business.

Regards,
Judith Clark
16285 S Mann Ave
Sahuarita, AZ 85629

Al Amezcua

From: Utilities Div - Mailbox
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 8:09 AM
To: Al Amezcua
Subject: FW: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

From: Judith Salzman [mailto:judiths345@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 7:41 PM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>
Subject: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

We have the best sun on the planet! Every roof should have solar. TEP doesn't want that because it would cost them money. Too bad!!!

Regards,
Judith Salzman
3262 S Lakeside Ridge Loop
Tucson, AZ 85730

Al Amezcua

From: Utilities Div - Mailbox
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 8:09 AM
To: Al Amezcua
Subject: FW: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

From: MICHELLE LIVINGSTON [mailto:michelleleelivingston5@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 7:37 PM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>
Subject: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Regards,
MICHELLE LIVINGSTON
3549 E Willard St
Tucson, AZ 85716

Al Amezcua

From: Utilities Div - Mailbox
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 8:09 AM
To: Al Amezcua
Subject: FW: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

From: rodney smith [mailto:rodneyleesmith@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 7:16 PM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>
Subject: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

roof top solar supports TEP's poor infrastructure. they have not produced capacity to match demand. Solar helps them with that a low cost and solar produces should be left to the agreements signed

Regards,
rodney smith
9206 E Palm Tree Dr
Tucson, AZ 85710

Al Amezcua

From: Utilities Div - Mailbox
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 8:09 AM
To: Al Amezcua
Subject: FW: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

From: Shawn Foreman [mailto:shawn@f1cstucson.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 6:59 PM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>
Subject: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject TEP's latest proposal. Solar is a vital resource, and allowing anyone, especially a corporation, put a stranglehold on it is morally reprehensible.

Regards,
Shawn Foreman
5811 E 33rd St
Tucson, AZ 85711

Al Amezcua

From: Utilities Div - Mailbox
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 8:10 AM
To: Al Amezcua
Subject: FW: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

From: Andre Pernet [mailto:apernet1@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 6:53 PM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>
Subject: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

.Dear ACC

TEP does not own electricity. They just made billions of dollars burning carbon and maintaining the grid for 150 years. They should give up their sense of ownership of electricity. They are like the company that sold buggy whips They should realized that it is a new era and that their ONLY right is to charge us for maintaining the grid for as long as we still need it. We should not pay for dead horses.

Best Regards

Dr Andre Pernet

Regards,
Andre Pernet
4845 N Cam Escuela
Tucson, AZ 85718

Al Amezcua

From: Utilities Div - Mailbox
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 8:10 AM
To: Al Amezcua
Subject: FW: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

From: DeWayne Halfen [mailto:halfendt@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 6:53 PM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>
Subject: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I oppose the new rate scheme and demand charges. I have solar panels on my roof. I would not be able to afford this if you change the current system. Arizona is an obvious location to lead the solar energy industry in the US and the proposed changes will greatly hinder this industry.

Regards,
DeWayne Halfen
1737 N Cloverland Ave
Tucson, AZ 85712

Al Amezcua

From: Utilities Div - Mailbox
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 8:10 AM
To: Al Amezcua
Subject: FW: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

From: Federico Bresani [mailto:bresani@verizon.net]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 6:22 PM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>
Subject: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

.My wife and I are retired and live on a fixed income. We had solar panels installed to lower our electric bills and to comply with our clean environmental laws and the policy of the federal government to foster renewable energy resources.

We are opposed to any changes presented by TEP, our utility, and stand against the elimination of net metering. We need to be protected as consumers of predatory practices of the utilities that service our community

Regards,
Federico Bresani
13050 N Lindbergh Dr
Oro Valley, AZ 85755

Al Amezcua

From: Utilities Div - Mailbox
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 8:10 AM
To: Al Amezcua
Subject: FW: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

From: Steve Curry [mailto:joocurry1@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 6:19 PM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>
Subject: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am at a loss for words. The federal government offers incentives for people to go solar to conserve energy, improve the environment and as a bonus the consumer might actually save some money. Yet ur own power company wants to undermine that effort for the sake of profits. Not to mention contribute to the pollution in Tucson with their coal fired electric plant. Makes no sense to me. Please stop it.

Regards,
Steve Curry
4800 W Crestview Dr
Tucson, AZ 85745

Al Amezcua

From: Utilities Div - Mailbox
Sent: Thursday, September 01, 2016 8:25 AM
To: Al Amezcua
Subject: FW: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

From: Irene mcmillan [mailto:imcmill@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 5:40 PM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>
Subject: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

.please do not inflict higher charges on solar customers.

Regards,
Irene mcmillan
2460 E Glen Canyon Rd
Green Valley, AZ 85614

Al Amezcua

From: Utilities Div - Mailbox
Sent: Thursday, September 01, 2016 8:25 AM
To: Al Amezcua
Subject: FW: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

From: Susan Cordell [mailto:susancordell@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 5:56 PM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>
Subject: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please make Arizona a leader in solar and the state leading the way in innovations to save our planet. Please reject TEP's proposal! I

Regards,
Susan Cordell
5151 N Calle La Cima
Tucson, AZ 85718

Al Amezcua

From: Utilities Div - Mailbox
Sent: Thursday, September 01, 2016 8:25 AM
To: Al Amezcua
Subject: FW: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

From: Amanda Gavel [mailto:amandakreutner@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 6:11 PM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>
Subject: E-01933A-15-0322 - I oppose TEP's demand charge and elimination of nem

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please vote against TEP's request for demand charges and reduction of net metering for rooftop solar. I find it ridiculous that TEP is requesting these 2 items AND increasing fixed charges, all of which seem like an excessive way to make sure to eliminate the competition. We should be capitalizing on solar power-- a renewable resource that is readily available in Tucson--in order to reduce pollution and conserve our precious water. We should be embracing progress, helping to preserve our environment & resources, both for ourselves, and for future Arizonans.

Regards,
Amanda Gavel
10320 E Valley Quail Dr
Tucson, AZ 85747