

ORIGINAL

GILA BEND POWER PARTNERS, LL
5949 Sherry Lane, Suite1900
Dallas, Texas 75225-6553
Telephone: (214) 210-5000
Facsimile: (214) 210-5087



July 27, 2016

VIA OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Division
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Attention: Ernest Johnson, Director

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

AUG 03 2016

DOCKETED BY

RECEIVED
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL
2016 AUG - 3 P 3:49

Re: Self-Certification Letter
Arizona Corporation Commission – Decision #63552, as amended by Decision #69177, and 72189; Docket Control #L-00000V-00-0106-00000, and Docket Control #L-00000V-01-0109-~~00000~~

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Gila Bend Power Partners, LLC (“GBPP” or “Applicant”) submits this self-certification letter pursuant to the above Decision Number for the Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (“CEC”) for GBPP’s project in Gila Bend, AZ.

On or about December 5, 2006, the Arizona Corporation Commission issued Decision Number 69177 extending the expiration date of this CEC until February 7, 2011 (the “First Extension Order”), and the CEC was subsequently extended to February 7, 2018 pursuant to ACC Decision Number 72189 docketed February 15, 2011 (the “Second Extension Order”). The First Extension Order added nine additional conditions to the existing CEC, including among them the requirement that GBPP file a self-certification letter on or before August 1, 2007 and each August 1st thereafter describing the conditions met as of June 30 for the reporting year. The First Extension Order did not specifically state whether the new August self-certification letter was *in addition to* or *in lieu of* the annual certification letter GBPP has filed each February, nor did it indicate which of the CEC conditions were to be addressed in each letter. As it has in years past, GBPP has previously filed its annual certification letter in February of this year addressing the original CEC conditions and is filing this additional letter addressing GBPP’s compliance efforts as of June 30th with the CEC conditions contained in the First Extension Order. The Second Extension Order does not add any additional conditions necessitating self-certification.

The activities relating to the conditions established by the First Extension Order are as follows and the reference numbers correspond to the conditions as numbered in the Extension Order:

19. GBPP is filing this self-certification letter prior to August 1st, describing conditions that have been met as of June 30. This letter with the documents enclosed herewith explains or demonstrates compliance efforts for those conditions fulfilled or in the process of being fulfilled.
20. GBPP reports the status of its continuing actions to comply with Condition Numbers 1, 3, 4, 14 and 17 from Decision # 63552:

Condition 1. The construction of the power generation station has been delayed due to market conditions; however, its construction and operation will comply with applicable air and water pollution control standards and regulations, and with all applicable ordinances, master plans, and regulations of the State of Arizona, the County of Maricopa, the United States, and any other governmental entity having jurisdiction.

Condition 3. Not applicable at this time. GBPP is still in the planning phase and has not yet commenced construction of the plant and therefore a technical study regarding the sufficiency of the transmission capacity to the plant is premature and study results would be uncertain. GBPP will provide the Commission with such a study 12 months prior to the commercial operation of the plant.

Condition 4. GBPP has not yet entered into an interconnection agreement with a transmission provider. The interconnect agreement with the transmission provider will be submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission when completed and signed.

Condition 14. GBPP has identified several researchers at nearby Arizona institutions of higher education as potential partners for research on salt cedar-resistant vegetation. As GBPP has not yet begun construction of its facilities, it has not yet established new vegetation to be subject to such study.

Condition 17. GBPP continues to monitor the energy needs in the area and recognizes the importance of the transmission line being completed in accordance with the needs of the integrated transmission grid. GBPP has met with representatives from SRP and a solar power project in the Gila Bend area to assess and evaluate the market as well as explore opportunities for synergy in the transmission of power in the integrated transmission grid. In addition, GBPP intends to monitor and participate in discussions regarding the Gila Bend Transmission Initiative. Gila Bend met with Albengoa Solar, Inc. to discuss the interconnection needs of the solar provider and the parties entered into an Encroachment Permit and Indemnification Agreement in May, 2012.

21. GBPP has annually filed all required ten-year plans with the Commission in accordance with A.R.S. §40-360-2.A., a copy of the most recent of which is enclosed. Historical copies of ten year plans are available on request.
22. GBPP has not entered into a contract for capacity and energy production out of its plant.
23. GBPP has not identified an appropriate workshop or other assessment of the natural gas infrastructure. GBPP will participate in any upcoming Commission-sponsored natural gas infrastructure workshops and requests the Staff advise of those that would be appropriate for GBPP to attend.
24. GBPP will pursue all necessary steps to ensure a reliable supply and delivery of natural gas for its plant.
25. GBPP made the required request to El Paso Natural Gas Company and filed its letter and El Paso's response with the Commission on or about March 12, 2007.
26. Once operational, GBPP will offer as Ancillary Services, a total of 10% of its total plant capacity to: (a) the local control area with which it is interconnected, and (b) Arizona's regional ancillary services market (i) once a Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) is declared operational by FERC order, and (ii) until such time that an RTO is so declared, to a regional reserve sharing pool.
27. GBPP has not initiated or pursued a legal challenge to any of the conditions contained in the First Extension Order.

Should you need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Regards,

GILA BEND POWER PARTNERS, LLC

By: Sammons Power Development, Inc.,

Its: Its Managing Member

By:  _____
Heather Kreager, President

Enclosures

cc: Arizona Attorney General (w/encls.)
Department of Commerce Energy Office (w/encls.)
Arizona Department of Water Resources (w/encls.)

GILA BEND POWER PROJECT

**2016
10-YEAR TRANSMISSION PLAN**

Prepared for the:

**ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
UTILITY DIVISION**

BY: GILA BEND POWER PARTNERS, LLC

Report on the Gila Bend Power Partners, LLC.'s Generation Project System Impact Study

**Prepared For the
Industrial Power Technology
And
Palo Verde E & O Committee**

**By
James C. Hsu
Salt River Project**

November 1, 2001

Version (C)

Gila Bend Power Partners Generation Project System Impact Study Report

I. Introduction

Industrial Power Technology (IPT), on behalf of the Gila Bend Power Partners, LLC (GBPP) has requested Salt River Project (SRP) to perform a system impact study that will assist GBPP in the determination of the Palo Verde transmission system and the WSCC interconnected system impact of interconnecting the proposed GBPP Generation Project with the another proposed Panda Gila River Generation Project's planned Gila River-Jojoba 500 kV double circuit lines. These double circuit 500 kV lines will be tied to the existing Hassayampa-Kyrene 500 kV line. Currently, GBPP has proposed to build a combined cycle power plant of 833 MW in addition to the 2080 MW of new generation power plant proposed by the Gila River Panda Project (Panda) in the same vicinity. In response to this request, SRP has carried out the study work accordingly, and documented the study results in this brief report.

For this analysis, the proposed size of the GBPP project was assumed to be 833 MW. Coincident with the development of the GBPP project, a separate generation proposal called the Gila River Panda Project (2080 MW) is also being developed and it will be interconnected to the Palo Verde transmission system via a double circuit 500kV line from the Gila River generation site to Jojoba, a new switchyard that is being developed to interconnect the two 500kV lines with the existing Palo Verde – Kyrene 500kV line. The GBPP project will interconnect with the system via a new, single circuit 500kV line to Watermelon substation, a new switchyard the GBPP plans to build, located approximately 2 miles from the Gila River Power facility. The Gila River – Jojoba 500kV lines will be looped into the Watermelon switchyard. SRP's system analysis assessed the system impact of both the Gila River Panda and GBPP generation projects on the interconnected WSCC system.

SRP's analysis focused on the capability of the Palo Verde area transmission system to deliver a total of 2913 MW of new generation from both proposed projects (GBPP and Gila River Panda) into the interconnected system. The scope of the study was to identify any significant system impacts that may be caused by interconnecting the GBPP generation project with the Jojoba-Gila River double circuit 500 kV lines, the Hassayampa-Kyrene 500 kV line, and their associated switchyards. This study did not identify any mitigation measures that may be required as a result of system impacts attributable to the GBPP Generation Project. Therefore, neither a preliminary plan of service nor a cost estimate for interconnecting the Proposed Generation Project with the existing and planned 500 kV transmission system was provided.

The purpose of this System Study was to assess the impact of the GBPP project on the Palo Verde transmission and the integrated WSCC EHV transmission system. The study is comprised of limited power flow and stability studies, but does not include any short circuit, post-transient power flow or subsynchronous resonance studies. Any conclusions presented from this System Impact Study represent the opinion of SRP and not necessarily the opinion of the Palo Verde Transmission System Engineering and Operating Committee.

The following two transmission configurations were assessed in this analysis:

Configuration 1:

The GBPP Project will be interconnected to the planned Jojoba-Gila River 500 double circuit lines at a location approximately 2 miles from the Gila River 500 kV switchyard (Watermelon substation). This transmission configuration assumed that the Gila River Generating Project would install a 500/230 kV transformer at their Gila River substation to accommodate an interconnection of the existing Liberty-Gila Bend 230 kV line.

Configuration 2:

Configuration 2 represents the same 500 kV transmission configuration as Configuration 1, however, the 500/230 kV transformer at the Gila River 500kV substation was not modeled.

II. Review of Panda System Development and Pertinent Study Results

Included in the "Report on the Preliminary Study For the Palo Verde Interconnection" and "Report on the Panda Generation Project Sensitivity Study", some technical study results pertinent to the Panda Generation Project and the impact assessment of its system development were documented in a number of different sections throughout these reports. It should be pointed out that these study results varied depending upon the system conditions, system models and the Panda's transmission network used in those studies. The following table summarizes the study results, associated information, and specific references from these reports.

New Generation Accommodated	Panda Interconnection To Palo Verde	Panda 500/230 KV Transformer	Transmission Constraint	Reference
4,850 MW (Including Panda 1250 MW & PDE 550 MW GEN)	Panda Project Looping in & out of PV-KY line	No	Thermal and Stability	PV Interconnection Study Report Section.III.B2 (Pg.27) Exhibit.2
5,240 MW (Including Panda 1640 MW & PDE 550 MW GEN)	Building Jojoba-Panda 500 KV double circuit lines and Jojoba cutting into PV-Kyrene line	Yes (with 390 MW flow)	Thermal and Stability	Panda Project Sensitivity Study Report Section III.1&2 (Pg.4) Tables PF-7 & TS-15

These previous study results revealed the following observations:

1. For the 2003 heavy summer condition with the addition of Palo Verde-Estrella line, "New Generation" in the amount of 4,850 MW can be accommodated by the Palo Verde transmission system without installation of a Panda 500/230 kV transformer.
2. Approximately 390 MW increase in the Panda Gila River Generation Plant output can be dispatched if the Panda project is interconnected with the Arizona local 230 kV transmission system by installing a 500/230 kV transformer.
3. The Palo Verde transmission thermal limits were constrained by the respective continuous rating of either the Hassayampa-N. Gila 500 kV line or the Hassayampa-Kyrene 500 kV line.
4. The Palo Verde stability limit was determined by a three-phase fault on the Palo Verde 500 kV bus and a subsequent loss of both Palo Verde-Westwing 500 kV lines.

As mentioned in the summary table above, the Panda sensitivity studies were performed based on the following assumptions:

1. The Panda Gila River Generation Project (Panda Gen) was the only project to interconnect with the Hassayampa-Kyrene 500 kV line.
2. The GBPP Generation Project was interconnected to the Hassayampa 500 kV Switchyard via a single circuit 500 kV line.
3. The generation output for the Panda Gen and GBPP projects were not maximized. The Panda Gen Project was dispatched in the ranges of 1250 MW to 1640 MW and PDE Gen Project was dispatched at 550 MW.

The current plan, as proposed by GBPP, is to interconnect with the Jojoba-Gila River 500 kV double circuit lines at an intersection about 2 miles north of the Gila River 500 kV Switchyard (Watermelon). Given these modifications in system representation, it was necessary to perform additional study work to assess the impact of these system modifications on the Palo Verde and the interconnected WSCC system with an emphasis on dispatching the maximum generation for both Panda Gen Project (2080 MW) and GBPP Generation Project (833 MW).

III. Conclusions

Based on the results of this impact study, the following was concluded:

1. The maximum generation that can be scheduled out of the Gila River vicinity to the Arizona and California load centers is a function of the capability of some of the Palo Verde transmission system components. This transmission capability is based on a thermal limitations on either the Hassayampa- N. Gila line 500 kV line or the Hassayampa-Kyrene 500 kV line.

- a) The maximum GBPP generation that can be accommodated by the Configuration 1 transmission system (without Panda 500/230 kV transformer) is about 583 MW if the Panda Gila River generation is maximized at 2080 MW output.
- b) The maximum new GBPP generation can be increased to 683 MW for the Configuration 2 transmission system (with Panda 500/230 kV transformer) if the Panda generation was still at its maximum output of 2080 MW.
2. The interconnection of the proposed GBPP Generation Project with the respective amount of power schedule noted in 1.a and 1.b above will not have any adverse impact on the Palo Verde Nuclear Plant, its associated transmission system, and the WSCC interconnected system.
3. The common corridor outage for a simultaneous loss of both Jojoba-Gila River double circuit 500 kV lines and a subsequent trip of combined maximum generation output (a total of 2911 MW) will not cause a stability problem. The interconnected transmission system can withstand such critical outage without causing wide spread cascading outages. The consequence of this double circuit outage is comparable to the result of a simultaneous trip of two Palo Verde generators. Both double contingencies are acceptable and meet the WSCC Performance Criteria Level C.
4. The stability performance resulting from a three-phase fault on the Palo Verde 500 kV bus and fault cleared by loss of both two Palo Verde-Westwing 500 kV lines became less severe due to power flow displacement for these two critical lines when more Panda and GBPP generation was dispatched at the Gila River location, which is further away from the Palo Verde vicinity.

IV. Discussion on Study Results

(A) Power Flow Impact

The following technical discussion is based on the various system conditions studied and demonstrate no adverse power flow impact on the Palo Verde and the Southwest interconnected transmission system due to the Gila River interconnection of the GBPP Generation Project.

1. Configuration 1 (Without Panda 500/230 kV Connection):

(See PF-TABLE 1)

Benchmark System (Without GBPP Project):

For base case conditions, that included accommodation of new generation of 4,650 MW by the Palo Verde transmission system, the heaviest loadings on both the Hassayampa-N. Gila and Jojoba-Kyrene 500 kV lines were occurred. They were reached at 100.5% and 100.4% of their continuous ratings, respectively. Neither N-1 contingency problems nor low system voltages were noted.

Post-GBPP System (With GBPP Project):

For base case conditions with 4,650 MW of new generation that included the power schedule of 833 MW of GBPP generation and 2080 MW of Panda Gila River generation to deliver to the Palo Verde transmission system, the heaviest loadings on both the Hassayampa-N. Gila and Jojoba-Kyrene 500 kV lines occurred. Flow on these lines reached 100.6% and 106.4% of their continuous ratings, respectively. A slight overload also occurred on the remaining Jojoba-Gila River Tap 500 kV line (101.1% of its emergency rating) for loss of one Jojoba-Gila River Tap 500 kV line.

Further studies indicated that these overloading problems could be overcome if the GBPP generation output was reduced to 583 MW. As a result, the loading on the Jojoba-Kyrene 500 kV line was reduced to 100.3% of its continuous rating. The remaining Gila River Tap-Jojoba 500 kV line loading was reduced to 91.5% of its emergency rating for a loss of one Gila River Tap-Jojoba 500 kV line.

1. Configuration 2 (With Panda 500/230 kV Connection):

(See PF-TABLE 2)

Benchmark System (Without GBPP Project):

For base case conditions, that included accommodation of new generation of 5,040 MW by the Palo Verde 500 kV and local 230 kV transmission systems, the heaviest loadings on both the Hassayampa-N. Gila and Jojoba-Kyrene 500 kV lines occurred. Flows on these lines reached 100.1% and 100.0% of their continuous ratings, respectively. No N-1 contingency problems or low system voltages were noted.

Post-GBPP System (With GBPP Project):

For base case conditions with 5,070 MW of new generation that included the power schedule of 833 MW of GBPP generation and 2080 MW of Panda Gila River generation to deliver to the Palo Verde 500 kV and local 230 kV transmission systems, the heaviest loadings on both the Hassayampa-N. Gila and Jojoba-Kyrene 500 kV lines occurred. They reached 100.2% and 104.6% of their continuous ratings, respectively. No overload occurred on the remaining Jojoba-Gila River Tap 500 kV line (84.1% of its emergency rating) for loss of one Jojoba-Gila River Tap 500 kV line. No voltage problems were detected for any N-1 contingencies.

Further studies indicated that this overloading problem could be overcome if the GBPP generation output was reduced to 683 MW. As a result, the loading on the Jojoba-Kyrene 500 kV line was reduced to 100.3% of its continuous rating. The remaining Gila River Tap-Jojoba 500 kV line loading was reduced to 79.0% of its emergency rating for a loss of one Gila River Tap-Jojoba 500 kV line.

(B) Transient Stability Impact

The stability analysis based on the following various system conditions indicated that no adverse impact on the Palo Verde plant stability and the integrated WSCC transmission system due to the interconnection of the GBPP Generation Project to the Palo Verde transmission system.

1. Configuration 1 (Without Panda 500/230 kV Connection):

(See TS-TABLE 1)

Benchmark System (Without GBPP Gen Project):

The following three N-2 contingency outages were established for stability benchmark performance using the pre-GBPP Project power flow limit case:

- (a) Three-phase fault at the Jojoba 500 kV bus with outage of two Jojoba-Gila River 500 kV lines and a subsequent trip Panda generation of 2080 MW
- (b) A simultaneous trip of two Palo Verde generators (loss of 2909 MW generation)
- (c) Three-phase fault at the Palo Verde 500 kV bus with outage of two Palo Verde-Westwing 500 kV lines

For the Pre-GBPP Project benchmark system, the stability results showed that all three N-2 contingency outages were stable and damped. The worst case was a simultaneous loss of two Palo Verde generators (loss of 2809 MW generation). This case resulted in a maximum transient voltage dip of 0.86 P.U. (22% deviation) at the Malin 500 kV bus. The next worst case was a three-phase fault at the Palo Verde 500 kV bus and fault cleared by the loss of two Palo Verde-Westwing 500 kV circuits. This case resulted in maximum voltage dips of 0.91 P.U. (15% deviation) and 0.92 P.U. (16% deviation) respectively, at the Palo Verde and Malin 500 kV buses. The least critical case was a three-phase fault at the Jojoba 500 kV bus with outage of two Jojoba-Gila River 500 kV circuits and a subsequent trip of 2080 MW of Panda generation. This case caused a maximum transient voltage dip of 0.95 P.U. (13% deviation) at the Malin 500 kV bus.

Post-GBPP(833 MW) Project System (With GBPP Project):

All three contingency outages simulated for the Pre-Project system were also tested in the Post-Project system. All stability results were stable and damped. The worst case was a three-phase fault at the Jojoba 500 kV bus with outage of two Jojoba-Gila River 500 kV circuits and a subsequent trip of about 2900 MW of combined Panda and GBPP generation. This case resulted in a maximum transient voltage dip of 0.81 P.U. (27% deviation) at the Malin 500 kV bus. The next worst case was a simultaneous loss of two Palo Verde generators (loss of 2809 MW generation). This case resulted in a maximum transient voltage dip of 0.86 P.U. (22% deviation) at the Malin 500 kV bus. The least critical case was a three-phase fault at the Palo Verde 500 kV bus with fault cleared by the loss of two Palo Verde-Westwing 500 kV circuits. This case resulted in maximum voltage dips of 0.95 P.U. (11% deviation) and 0.98 P.U. (10% deviation) respectively, at the Palo Verde and Malin 500 kV buses.

2. Configuration 2 (With Panda 500/230 kV Connection):

(See TS-TABLE 2)

Benchmark System (Without GBPP Project):

The following three N-2 contingency outages were established for stability benchmark performance using the pre-GBPP Project power flow limit case:

- (a) Three-phase fault at the Jojoba 500 kV bus with outage of two Jojoba-Gila River 500 kV lines and a subsequent trip Panda generation of 1560 MW
- (b) A simultaneous trip of two Palo Verde generators (loss of 2809 MW generation)
- (c) Three-phase fault at the Palo Verde 500 kV bus with outage of two Palo Verde-Westwing 500 kV lines

For the Pre-GBPP Project benchmark system, the stability results showed that all three N-2 contingency outages were stable and damped. The worst case was a simultaneous loss of two Palo Verde generators (loss of 2809 MW generation). This case resulted in a maximum transient voltage dip of 0.86 P.U. (22% deviation) at the Malin 500 kV bus. The next worst case was a three-phase fault at the Palo Verde 500 kV bus and fault cleared by the loss of two Palo Verde-Westwing 500 kV circuits. This case resulted in maximum voltage dips of 0.95 P.U. (11% deviation) and 0.98 P.U. (10% deviation) respectively, at the Palo Verde and Malin 500 kV buses. The least critical case was a three-phase fault at the Jojoba 500 kV bus with outage of two Jojoba-Gila River 500 kV circuits and a subsequent trip of 1560 MW of Panda generation. This case caused a maximum transient voltage dip of 0.98 P.U. (13% deviation) at the Malin 500 kV bus.

Post-GBPP(833 MW) Project System (With GBPP Project):

All three contingency outages simulated for the Pre-Project system were also tested in the Post-Project system. All stability results were stable and damped. The worst case was a simultaneous loss of two Palo Verde generators (loss of 2809 MW). This case resulted in a maximum transient voltage dip of 0.86 P.U. (22% deviation) at the Malin 500 kV bus. The next worst case was a three-phase fault at the Jojoba 500 kV bus with outage of two Jojoba-Gila River 500 kV circuits and a subsequent trip of about 2393 MW of combined Panda and GBPP generations. This case caused a maximum transient voltage dip of 0.90 P.U. (18% deviation) at the Malin 500 kV bus. The least critical case was a three-phase fault at the Palo Verde 500 kV bus with fault cleared by the loss of two Palo Verde-Westwing 500 kV circuits. This case resulted in maximum voltage dips of 0.95 P.U. (11% deviation) and 0.98 P.U. (10% deviation) respectively, at the Palo Verde and Malin 500 kV buses.

V. Exhibit

Exhibit 1 shows a one-line system diagram of transmission alternatives associated with the GBPP interconnection.


VI. Summary Tables of Study Results

(The attached tables summarize the study results)

1. PF-Table 1: Power Flow Impact With And Without GBPP (833 MW) Project
(Without the Panda Gila River 500/230 KV Transformer)
2. TS-Table1: Stability Impact With And Without GBPP (833 MW) Project
(Without the Panda Gila River 500/230 KV Transformer)
3. PF-Table 2: Power Flow Impact With And Without GBPP (833 MW) Project
(With the Panda Gila River 500/230 KV Transformer)
2. TS-Table 2: Stability Impact With And Without GBPP (833 MW) Project
(With the Panda Gila River 500/230 KV Transformer)


GILA BEND POWER PARTNERS (GBPP) GENERATION PROJECT TRANSMISSION ALTERNATIVE 1

Configuration 1: GBPP Project w/o Panda 500/230kV Transformer



GILA BEND POWER PARTNERS (GBPP)
GENERATION PROJECT TRANSMISSION
ALTERNATIVE 2

Configuration 2: GBPP Project w/ Panda 500/230kV Transformer



PF-TABLE 1
POWER FLOW IMPACT WITH AND WITHOUT THE GBPP(833MW) GEN PROJECT
(WITHOUT THE PANDA GILA RIVER 500/230 KV TRANSFORMER)

BENCH MARK	CASE DESCRIPTION	EOR		PANDA		PV		PV		PV		PV		PV		PV		PPK 230KV (PU)	KYR 230KV (PU)	COMMENTS	
		FLOW (MW)	GEN (MW)	GEN (MW)	GEN (MW)	GEN (MW)	DV (MW)	WVG#1 (MW)	WVG#2 (MW)												
2003HS-PDE-01	WITHOUT GBPP GEN PROJECT	6022	0	2080	3991	4650	0	1263	1341	1528	1528	1528	1784	1009	1182	1.03	1.01	5% MAX	5% MAX		
	BASE CASE FLOW																				
	FACILITY RATING																				
	CONTINUOUS RATING																				
	EMERGENCY RATING																				
	BASE CASE FLOW																				
	% OF CONTINUOUS RATING																				
	OUTAGE CASE FLOW																				
	ONE PALO VERDE-WWG OUT																				
	% OF EMERGENCY RATING																				
ALT A	PALO VERDE-ESTRELLA OUT																				
	% OF EMERGENCY RATING																				
ALT B	JOJOBA-KYRENE OUT																				
	% OF EMERGENCY RATING																				
ALT C	ONE PALO VERDE-WWG OUT																				
	% OF EMERGENCY RATING																				
ALT D	ONE PALO VERDE-WWG OUT																				
	% OF EMERGENCY RATING																				
2003HS-PDE-02	WITH GBPP GEN PROJECT	6042	833	2080	3991	4650	0	1265	1343	1489	1489	1489	1884	1431	1154	1.03	1.01	EXCEEDS N-0 LIMITATION	EXCEEDS N-0 LIMITATION		
	BASE CASE FLOW																				
	FACILITY RATING																				
	CONTINUOUS RATING																				
	EMERGENCY RATING																				
	BASE CASE FLOW																				
	% OF CONTINUOUS RATING																				
	OUTAGE CASE FLOW																				
	ONE PALO VERDE-WWG OUT																				
	% OF EMERGENCY RATING																				
ALT A	PALO VERDE-ESTRELLA OUT																				
	% OF EMERGENCY RATING																				
ALT B	JOJOBA-KYRENE OUT																				
	% OF EMERGENCY RATING																				
ALT C	ONE PALO VERDE-WWG OUT																				
	% OF EMERGENCY RATING																				
ALT D	ONE PALO VERDE-WWG OUT																				
	% OF EMERGENCY RATING																				
PDE-02R	BASE CASE (IN MW)	6037	583	2080	3991	4400	0	1257	1330	1440	1440	1440	1792	1308	1128	1.03	1.01	EXCEEDS N-1 LIMITATION	EXCEEDS N-1 LIMITATION		
	BASE CASE FLOW(IN AMP)																				
	% OF CONTINUOUS RATING																				
ALT D	ONE PALO VERDE-WWG OUT																				
	% OF EMERGENCY RATING																				
ALT D	ONE JOJOB- GILA RIVER OUT																				
	% OF EMERGENCY RATING																				

TS-TABLE 1

STABILITY IMPACT WITH AND WITHOUT THE GBPP(833 MW) GENERATION PROJECT
(WITHOUT THE PANDA GILA RIVER 500/230 KV TRANSFORMER)

WITHOUT GBPP GEN PROJECT		POWER FLOW (MW)										STABILITY RESULTS		
CASE NO.	CASE DESCRIPTION	SCIT FLOW	EBR FLOW	COI FLOW	GBPP GEN	PANDA GEN	PVNG GEN	PVNG MARG	NEW GEN	PV /NEW TOT	PANDA 500/230	PV500 (P.U.)	MA500 (P.U.)	COMMENTS
2003HS	BASE CASE (2003HS-PDE-01)	12201	6022	4205	0	2080	3991	0%	4650	8641	0	1.06	1.08	
STAB-1	3 PH FLT @ JOJOBA 500KV BUS L/O TWO JOJOBA-GILA RIVER (TRIP PANDA GENERATION OF 2080 MW)											1.03 3% Dip	0.95 13% Dip	STABLE & DAMPED
STAB-2	L/O TWO PALO VERDE UNITS (TRIP A TOTAL OF 2809 MW GEN)											1.04 2% Dip	0.86 22% Dip	STABLE & DAMPED
STAB-3	3 PH FLT @ PV 500 KV BUS L/O TWO PV-VWVG											0.91 15% Dip	0.92 16% Dip	STABLE & DAMPED
WITH GBPP GEN PROJECT		POWER FLOW (MW)										STABILITY RESULTS		
CASE NO.	CASE DESCRIPTION	SCIT FLOW	EBR FLOW	COI FLOW	GBPP GEN	PANDA GEN	PVNG GEN	PVNG MARG	NEW GEN	PV /HSP TOT	PANDA 500/230	PV500 (P.U.)	MA500 (P.U.)	COMMENTS
ADDED	NO ADDITIONAL NEW GEN.													
2003HS	BASE CASE (2003HS-PDE-02)	12233	6043	4209	833	2080	3991	0%	4650	8641	0	1.06	1.08	
STAB-1	3 PH FLT @ JOJOBA 500KV BUS L/O TWO JOJOBA-GILA RIVER (TRIP PDE & PANDA GENERATION A TOTAL OF 2911 MW)											1.03 3% Dip	0.81 27% Dip	STABLE & DAMPED
STAB-2	L/O TWO PALO VERDE UNITS (TRIP A TOTAL OF 2809 MW GEN)											1.04 2% Dip	0.86 22% Dip	STABLE & DAMPED
STAB-3	3 PH FLT @ PV 500 KV BUS L/O TWO PV-VWVG											0.95 11% Dip	0.98 10% Dip	STABLE & DAMPED

PF-TABLE 2
POWER FLOW IMPACT WITH AND WITHOUT THE GBPP(833MW) GEN PROJECT
(WITH THE PANDA GILA RIVER 500/230 KV TRANSFORMER)

BENCH MARK	CASE DESCRIPTION	EOR FLOW (MW)		PANDA 500/230 (MW)		NEW GEN (MW)		PV GEN (MW)		GBPP PANDA GEN (MW)		PV GEN (MW)		PANDA 500/230 (MW)		PV N.G. (MW)		PV-DV (MW)		PV-WWG#1 (MW)		PV-WWG#2 (MW)		JOJOBA KYR (MW)		GILA RV-JOJOBA#1 (MW)		PV-EST (MW)		PPK 230KV (PU)		KYR 230KV (PU)		COMMENTS		
		5994	0	2080	3991	5040	402	1259	1336	1518	1518	1518	1518	1518	1518	1518	1518	1772	808	1194	5% MAX 1.02	5% MAX 1.02	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				
2003HS-PDE-03	WITHOUT GBPP GEN PROJECT	5994	0	2080	3991	5040	402	1259	1336	1518	1518	1518	1518	1518	1518	1518	1772	808	1194	5% MAX 1.02	5% MAX 1.02	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	NO PROBLEM		
ALT A	FACILITY RATING CONTINUOUS RATING EMERGENCY RATING BASE CASE FLOW(AMP) % OF CONTINUOUS RATING OUTAGE CASE FLOW(AMP) ONE PALO VERDE-WWG OUT % OF EMERGENCY RATING	1400 1890	1402 100.10%	1471 77.40%	1675 55.70%	1675 55.70%	1675 55.70%	1675 55.70%	1675 55.70%	1675 55.70%	1675 55.70%	1675 55.70%	1675 55.70%	1675 55.70%	1675 55.70%	1675 55.70%	2000 100.00%	894 42.60%	1361 68.20%	2000 5% MAX 1.02	2000 5% MAX 1.02	2000 1.00	2000 1.00	2000 1.00	2000 1.00	2000 1.00	2000 1.00	2000 1.00	2000 1.00	2000 1.00	2000 1.00	2000 1.00	2000 1.00	N-O THERMAL LIMITATIONS		
ALT B	PALO VERDE-ESTRELLA OUT % OF EMERGENCY RATING	1467 77.60%	1583 65.10%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2377 94.30%	866 27.50%	OUT	1467 77.60%	1583 65.10%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	2105 65.80%	NO PROBLEM		
ALT C	JOJOBA-KYRENE OUT % OF EMERGENCY RATING	1474 78.00%	1586 65.30%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	OUT	793 25.20%	1870 74.20%	1474 78.00%	1586 65.30%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	2274 71.10%	NO PROBLEM		
ALT D	ONE JOJOB- GILA RIVER OUT % OF EMERGENCY RATING	1400 74.10%	1469 60.50%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1989 78.90%	1761 55.50%	1358 53.80%	1400 74.10%	1469 60.50%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	1668 52.10%	NO PROBLEM		
2003HS-PDE-04	WITH GBPP GEN PROJECT	6013	833	2080	3991	5070	439	1259	1336	1486	1486	1486	1486	1486	1486	1486	1850	1213	1159	1.02	1.02	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	EXCEEDS N-O LIMITATION		
ALT A	BASE CASE FLOW % OF CONTINUOUS RATING OUTAGE CASE FLOW ONE PALO VERDE-WWG OUT % OF EMERGENCY RATING	1402 100.20%	1472 77.50%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	2093 104.60%	1345 64.10%	1322 66.10%	1402 100.20%	1472 77.50%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	1630 54.30%	EXCEEDS N-O LIMITATION	
ALT B	PALO VERDE-ESTRELLA OUT % OF EMERGENCY RATING	1473 78.00%	1594 65.60%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2323 92.10%	1324 42.00%	1547 61.40%	1473 78.00%	1594 65.60%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	2043 63.90%	NO PROBLEM	
ALT C	JOJOBA-KYRENE OUT % OF EMERGENCY RATING	1486 78.60%	1605 66.00%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	OUT	1243 39.50%	1845 73.20%	1486 78.60%	1605 66.00%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	2251 70.30%	NO PROBLEM	
ALT D	ONE JOJOB- GILA RIVER OUT % OF EMERGENCY RATING	1400 74.10%	1469 60.50%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	2078 82.40%	2646 84.01%	1317 52.20%	1400 74.10%	1469 60.50%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	1621 50.70%	NO PROBLEM	
PDE-04R	BASE CASE (IN MW)	6011	683	2080	3991	4920	429	1257	1333	1463	1463	1463	1463	1463	1463	1463	1793	1143	1141	1.03	1.03	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	N-O THERMAL LIMITATIONS	
	BASE CASE FLOW(IN AMP) % OF CONTINUOUS RATING	1400 100.00%	1468 77.20%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	2007 100.30%	1265 60.30%	1300 65.00%	1400 100.00%	1468 77.20%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	1604 53.50%	N-O THERMAL LIMITATIONS
ALT D	ONE JOJOB- GILA RIVER OUT % OF EMERGENCY RATING	1398 74.00%	1466 60.30%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1993 79.10%	2489 79.00%	1294 51.40%	1398 74.00%	1466 60.30%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	1596 49.90%	NO PROBLEM

TS-TABLE 2

STABILITY IMPACT WITH AND WITHOUT THE GBPP(833 MW) GENERATION PROJECT
(WITH THE PANDA GILA RIVER 500/230 KV TRANSFORMER)

WITHOUT GBPP GEN PROJECT		POWER FLOW (MW)										STABILITY RESULTS		
CASE NO.	CASE DESCRIPTION	SCIT FLOW	EOR FLOW	COI FLOW	GBPP GEN	PANDA GEN	PVNG GEN	PVNG MARG	NEW GEN	PV /NEW TOT	PANDA 500/230	PV500 (P.U.)	MA500 (P.U.)	COMMENTS
2003HS	BASE CASE (2003HS-PDE-03)	12203	5994	4208	0	2080	3991	0%	5040	9031	402	1.06	1.08	
STAB-1	3 PH FLT @ JOJOBA 500KV BUS L/O TWO JOJOBA-GILA RIVER (TRIP PANDA GENERATION OF 1560 MW; 3 UNITS OUT OF TOTAL4)											1.03 3% Dip	0.98 10% Dip	STABLE & DAMPED
STAB-2	L/O TWO PALO VERDE UNITS (TRIP A TOTAL OF 2809 MW GEN)											1.04 2% DIP	0.86 22% DIP	STABLE & DAMPED
STAB-3	3 PH FLT @ PV 500 KV BUS L/O TWO PV-WWG											0.95 11% Dip	0.98 10% Dip	STABLE & DAMPED
WITH GBPP GEN PROJECT		POWER FLOW (MW)										STABILITY RESULTS		
CASE NO.	CASE DESCRIPTION	SCIT FLOW	EOR FLOW	COI FLOW	GBPP GEN	PANDA GEN	PVNG GEN	PVNG MARG	NEW GEN	PV /HSP TOT	PANDA 500/230	PV500 (P.U.)	MA500 (P.U.)	COMMENTS
2003HS	NO ADDITIONAL NEW GEN. BASE CASE (2003HS-PDE-04)	12235	6013	4209	833	2080	3991	0%	5070	9061	439	1.06	1.08	
STAB-1	3 PH FLT @ JOJOBA 500KV BUS L/O TWO JOJOBA-GILA RIVER (TRIP PDE=833MW & PANDA=1560 MW; A TOTAL OF 2393 MW GEN)											1.03 3% Dip	0.90 18% Dip	STABLE & DAMPED
STAB-2	L/O TWO PALO VERDE UNITS (TRIP A TOTAL OF 2809 MW GEN)											1.04 2% Dip	0.86 22% Dip	STABLE & DAMPED
STAB-3	3 PH FLT @ PV 500 KV BUS L/O TWO PV-WWG											0.95 11% Dip	0.98 10% Dip	STABLE & DAMPED

