## **EXCEPTION**



ORIGINAL

2

3

4

5

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

<u>COMMISSIONERS</u>

DOUG LITTLE - Chairman BOB STUMP BOB BURNS TOM FORESE ANDY TOBIN Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JUL 29 2016

DOGKETED BY

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL
2016 JUL 29 P 2: 4:9

7 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
8 UNS ELECTRIC. INC. FOR THE
ESTABLISHMENT OF JUST AND
REASONABLE RATES AND CHARGES
DESIGNED TO REALIZE A REASONABLE

AND FOR RELATED APPROVALS.

DESIGNED TO REALIZE A REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN ON THE FAIR VALUE OF THE PROPERTIES OF UNS ELECTRIC, INC. DEVOTED TO ITS OPERATIONS THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF ARIZONA DOCKET NO. E-04204A-15-0142

WAL-MART STORES, INC.'S EXCEPTIONS TO RECOMMENDED OPINION AND ORDER

Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. ("Walmart") hereby files these Exceptions to the Recommended Opinion and Order filed in this matter on July 20, 2016 (the "RO&O").

Walmart has three stores in UNS Electric Inc.'s ("UNSE") service territory, which currently take service on the Large Power Service schedule ("LPS"). UNSE proposes to move these stores to the Large General Service schedule ("LGS") as part of this proceeding. Exh. Walmart-2 at 4 (Hendrix direct).

20

21

22

23

24

25

## **ALTERNATIVE GENERATION SERVICE**

As part of the settlement agreement of the application of UNS Energy to be acquired by Fortis (approved in Decision No. 74689 (August 12, 2014)), UNSE agreed to propose a "buythrough" tariff available to LPS customers. Decision No. 74689, Exhibit A, Attachment A, Condition No. 31. UNSE proposed Experimental Rider 14, Alternative Generation Service ("AGS") in this proceeding. UNSE therefore proposed that the AGS would be available for a

maximum of 10 MW of peak load, that it be available for no more than four years, and that it would be available only to LPS and LPS-TOU customers with peak demands of 2,500 kW or more. Exh. UNSE-31 at 56-57 (Jones). While UNSE proposed AGS to satisfy its commitments under the settlement agreement, it did not support implementation of the tariff. Exh. UNSE-31 at 56 (Jones).

Freeport Minerals Corporation and Arizonans for Electric Choice and Competition (collectively, "AECC"), Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC (collectively with AECC, "AECC/Noble") and Walmart each proposed certain modifications to AGS. Nucor Corp. also found one or more of the AGS proposals to be a workable option for a buy-through tariff. The RO&O declines to adopt any buy-through proposal, based on concerns that a buy-through tariff may adversely impact UNSE's other customers. RO&O at 96.

An AGS program would not harm other non-AGS customers. Rather, an AGS program would replace UNSE's own wholesale market purchases with those of the customers participating in AGS, and shift the risk of the UNSE's wholesale market purchases from the its ratepayers to the AGS customers. Exh. Walmart-2 at 9 (Hendrix). There is ample evidence in Arizona from Arizona Public Service Company's AG-1 program, and in various other jurisdictions from around the country (including in the territory in New York served by Central Hudson which is also owned by Fortis) and the world (including provinces of Alberta and Ontario in Canada, where Fortis operates distribution utilities) that permitting customers to choose their generation service providers is an effective way for customers to manage their electricity needs to better suit their business needs. Exh. Walmart-3 at 6 (Hendrix).

The Commission should modify the RO&O to adopt a buy-through tariff. Additionally, rather than limiting AGS to only the LPS and LPS-TOU classes, AGS should be available to all commercial and industrial customer classes. UNSE objected to allowing all rate classes to participate, because the Fortis settlement specified only that a buy-through program be proposed for the LPS class. Exh. UNSE-32 at 52 (Jones). Nothing about the Fortis settlement prohibited

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

13

14

12

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

the Commission from requiring that a buy-through program be available to a broader class of customers, however. Allowing a significant number of customers the opportunity to participate in AGS would attract more generation service providers and create a more robust and vibrant marketplace from which AGS customers could obtain their electric generation service. Exh. Walmart-2 at 7 (Hendrix).

Further, UNSE simultaneously proposes to shrink the LPS class, by moving ten LPS customers (including Walmart) to the LGS class. Exh. Walmart-3 at 5 (Hendrix). As a result, only 4 customers will remain in the LPS and LPS-TOU classes. Id. and Exh. Walmart-2 at 6 (Hendrix); Tr. at 2032:10 – 2033:7 (Jones). Nothing about the operational characteristics of Walmart's three locations in the UNSE service territory will have changed since the time of the Fortis settlement - only the definition (by UNSE's tariff) of an LPS customer. Given these circumstances, at the very least, AGS should be available to all LPS and LGS customers.

In addition, the program cap of a buy-through should be set at 150 MW, rather than the 10 MW proposed by UNSE. The 10 MW limit is completely arbitrary and not justified by UNSE. Exh. Walmart-2 at 7 (Hendrix). UNSE's proposed cap, along with the limited number of proposed customers eligible, would severely restrict the number of generation service providers that would be interested in participating in the AGS program. Exh. Walmart-2 at 7 (Hendrix direct). A program cap of 150 MW is appropriate, as UNSE is already purchasing 175MW from the wholesale power market. Exh. Walmart-2 at 7 (Hendrix). Allowing 150 MW to participate in AGS would significantly reduce UNSE's reliance on the wholesale market and transfer the market risk to customers who are willingly participating in the AGS program, while sheltering UNSE's other ratepayers from market risk and volatility related to its wholesale purchases. Exh. Walmart-2 at 7 (Hendrix). Even after its recent acquisition of Gila River Unit 3 Generating Station, UNSE relies on wholesale market purchases to a greater extent than other Arizona electric utilities. Exh. Walmart-2 at 8 (Hendrix).

The Commission should establish the threshold for a customer's participation in AGS at

 1,000 kW. This minimum size would ensure that the participant is sufficiently large enough to be a sophisticated user of electricity and not require any consumer protection requirements. Exh. Walmart-2 at 6 (Hendrix). Further, a customer should be allowed to aggregate utility accounts within its corporate family to meet the peak demand threshold. This will allow participating customers to leverage economies of scale to reduce their generation supply costs. Exh. Walmart-2 at 6 (Hendrix).

The Commission should not restrict the AGS program to 4 years, as UNSE had proposed. Limiting the term of a buy-through program to 4 years eliminates the ability of customers to purchase long-term contracts, especially for off-site renewable contracts like solar and wind, due to the length of contract term needed by renewable developers to build new projects. Exh. Walmart-2 at 7-8 (Hendrix). Many customers would like to purchase more renewables than UNSE's forecasted 5% Utility Scale Renewables of its total resource mix. *Id.* Adopting a buy-through program without a limited term will enable customers to purchase large scale off-site renewables if they desire and it fits their business needs. *Id.* And such purchases of additional renewable resources through the AGS program would be at the AGS customer's own choosing and cost, and would not harm any other UNSE customer. *Id.* 

UNSE proposes a management fee for the AGS tariff of \$0.0040 per kWh. Exh. UNSE-31 at Exhibit CAJ-4, AGS Tariff Original Sheet No. 714-2 (Jones). While UNSE should be allowed to recover the actual just and reasonable costs of providing AGS services, UNSE has not provided any documentation supporting its proposed management fee. Exh. Walmart-2 at 6 (Hendrix). The Commission should approve a cost-based management for the AGS.

## **CONCLUSION**

The Commission should modify the RO&O to approve a buy-through program of 150 MW that is available to at least all LPS and LGS customers (if not all commercial and industrial customers) with demand of 1,000 kW or greater, and permit aggregation of accounts within a

corporate family to meet that threshold. The program should not be limited to a specific duration, and should provide a fair management fee to cover UNSE's costs of providing the program.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 29th day of July, 2016.

HIENTON & CURRY, P.L.L.C.

By

Scott S. Wakefield
5045 North 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 11
Phoenix, Arizona 85014

Attorneys for Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.

1 ORIGINAL and 13 copies filed this 29th day of July, 2016 with: 2 3 **Docket Control** Arizona Corporation Commission 4 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 5 COPY of the foregoing HAND-6 DELIVERED this 29th day of July, 2016 to: 7 Dwight Nodes 8 Chief Administrative Law Judge 9 **Hearing Division** Arizona Corporation Commission 10 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 11 Jane Rodda 12 Administrative Law Judge 13 Hearing Division Arizona Corporation Commission 14 400 W. Congress Tucson, AZ 85701-1347 15 jrodda@azcc.gov 16 Janice Alward, Chief Counsel 17 Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 18 1200 West Washington Street 19 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 20 Thomas M. Broderick, **Director Utilities Division** 21 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street 22 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 23

24

25

1	COPY of the foregoing mailed/e-mailed this	
2	29th day of July, 2016 to:	
3	Dun Harr C. Coursell	D 1 (136/1)
4	Bradley S. Carroll UNS Electric, Inc.	Robert J. Metli Munger Chadwick PLC
	88 E. Broadway, MS HQE910	2398 E. Camelback Road, Suite 240
5	PO Box 711	Phoenix, AZ 85016
6	Tucson, AZ 85702	Attorneys for Nucor
	bcarroll@tep.com	rjmetli@mungerchadwick.com
7	Consented to Service by Email	Consented to Service by Email
8	Michael W. Patten	Lawrence V. Roberson, Jr.
9	Jason D. Gellman	PO Box 1448
	Snell & Wilmer LLP	Tubac, AZ 85646
10	One Arizona Center	Attorney for Noble Solutions
11	400 E. Van Buren Street	tubaclawyer@aol.com
11	Phoenix, AZ 85004	G G P I
12	Attorneys for UNSE	Court S. Rich
12	mpatten@swlaw.com jgellman@swlaw.com	Rose Law Group PC 7144 E. Stetson Drive, Suite 300
13	jhoward@swlaw.com	Scottsdale, AZ 85251
14	docket@swlaw.com	Attorneys for TASC
1.5	Consented to Service by Email	crich@roselawgroup.com
15		Consented To Service By Email
16	Daniel W. Pozefsky, Chief Counsel	
	RUCO	Thomas A. Loquvam
17	1110 W. Washington, Suite 2200	Melissa M. Krueger
18	Phoenix, AZ 85007	Pinnacle West Capital Corporation
ľ	dpozefsky@azruco.gov  Consented to Service by Email	PO Box 53999, MS 8695
19	Consented to Service by Eman	Phoenix, AZ 85072-3999 Thomas.Loquvam@pinnaclewest.com
20	Nucor Steel Kingman LLC	Melissa.Kruger@pinnaclewest.com
21	c/o Doug Adams	Consented to Service by Email
21	3000 W. Old Hwy 66	
22	Kingman, AZ 86413	
23		
24		
25		
26		

l II		
1	Eric J. Lacey	Timothy M. Hogan
1	Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC	Arizona Center for Law in the
2	1025 Thomas Jefferson St. NW	Public Interest
	8 <sup>th</sup> Floor, West Tower	514 W. Roosevelt Street
3	Washington DC 2007-5201	Phoenix, AZ 85003
4	Attorneys for Nucor	Attorneys for SWEEP, Vote Solar, WRA and
7	EJL@smxblaw.com	ACAA
5	Consented to Service by Email	thogan@aclpi.org
		Consented to Service by Email
6	Michael Alan Hiatt	
7	Earthjustice	Gregory Bernosky
′	633 17 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1600	Arizona Public Service Company
8	Denver, CO 80202	PO Box 53999, M 9712
	mhiatt@earthjustice.org	Phoenix, AZ 85072-3999
9	jtauber@earthjustice.org	gregory.bernosky@aps.com
10	Consented To Service By Email	
10		Jeff Schlegel
11	Rick Gilliam	SWEEP Arizona Representative
	Director of Research and Analysis	1167 W. Samalayuca Drive
12	The Vote Solar Initiative	Tucson, AZ 85704-3224
12	1120 Pearl Street, Suite 200	schlegelj@aol.com
13	Boulder, CA 80302	711 77 1
14	rick@votesolar.org	Ellen Zuckerman
· .	Consented to Service by Email	SWEEP Senior Associate
15	7111 cm 1	4231 E. Cataline Drive
1.0	Jill Tauber	Phoenix, AZ 85018
16	Managing Attorney, Clean Energy program	ezuckerman@swenergy.org
17	Chinyere A. Osula, Associate Attorney	G W-11 G1-4
	Earthjustice Washington DC Office	C. Webb Crockett
18	1625 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 702	Patrick J. Black
	Washington DC 20036-2212	Fennemore Craig, PC
19	77 - 37/1	2394 E. Camelback Road, Suite 600
20	Ken Wilson	Phoenix, AZ 85016-3429
	Western Resource Advocates	Attorneys for AECC
21	2260 Baseline Road, Suite 200	wcrockett@fclaw.com
	Boulder, CO 80302	pblack@fclaw.com Concepted To Service By Emeil
22	ken.wilson@westernresources.org	Consented To Service By Email
23	Consented to Service by Email	
24		
25		

	l	
1	Steve W. Chriss	Meghan H. Grabel
1	Senior Manager, Energy Regulatory Analysis	Osborn Maladon, PA
2	Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	2929 N. Central Avenue, #2100
	2011 S.E. 10 <sup>th</sup> Street	Phoenix, AZ 85012
3	Bentonville, AR 72716-0550	Attorneys for AIC
4	Stephen.Chriss@wal-mart.com	mgrabel@omlaw.com
4		Consented to Service by Email
5	Cynthia Zwick, Executive Director	
_	Arizona Community Action Association	Gary Yaquinto, President & CEO
6	2700 N. 3 <sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 3040	Arizona Investment Council
7	Phoenix, AZ 85004	2100 N. Central Avenue
′	czwick@azcaa.org	Suite 210
8	Consented to Service by Email	Phoenix, AZ 85004
		gyaquinto@arizonaic.org
9	Craig A. Marks	Consented to Service by Email
10	Craig A. Marks, PLC	** . *** .
10	10645 N. Tatum Blvd, Suite 200-676	Kevin Higgins
11	Phoenix, AZ 85028	Energy Strategies, LLC
	Attorney for AURA	215 S. State Street, Suite 200
12	Craig.Marks@azbar.org	Salt Lake City, UT 84111
13	Consented To Service By Email	khiggins@energystrat.com
13	Pot Ouinn	Inv.I. Movos
14	Pat Quinn President and Managing Partner	Jay I. Moyes Jason Moyes
1.5	Arizona Utility Ratepayer Alliance	Moyes, Sellers & Hendricks, Ltd.
15	5521 E. Cholla Street	1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1100
16	Scottsdale, AZ 85254	Phoenix, AZ 85004
	patt.quinn47474@gmail.com	Attorneys for FFPA
17	pattiquini 17 17 (to, giriani.com	jimoyes@law-msh.com
10	Briana Kobor	jasonmoyes@law-msh.com
18	Vote Solar	kes@krsaline.com
19	Program Director – DG Regulatory Policy	Consented To Service By Email
	360 22 <sup>nd</sup> Street, Suite 730	
20	Oakland, CA 94612	Jeffrey W. Crockett
21	Briana@votesolar.org	CROCKETT LAW GROUP PLLC
21	Consented to Service by Email	2198 E. Camelback Road, Suite 305
22		Phoenix, Arizona 85016-4747
	Timothy Sabo	Attorney for Sulphur Springs Valley
23	Snell & Wilmer LLP	Electric Cooperative, Inc.
24	One Arizona Center	jeff@jeffcrockettlaw.com
<b>4</b> ¬	400 East Van Buren Street	kchapman@ssvec.com
25	Phoenix, AZ 85004	<b>Consented To Service By Email</b>
~ [	Attorneys for Trico	
26	tsabo@swlaw.com	1

1	Robert (Kip) Martin	Kirby Chapman, CPA
1	Coogan & Martin, PC	Sulphur Springs Valley
2	825 North Grand Avenue, Suite 200	Electric Cooperative, Inc.
•	Nogales, AZ 85621	311 E. Wilcox
3	Attorneys for FPAA	Sierra Vista, AZ 85635
4	T. H	kchapman@ssvec.com
	Tom Harris, Chairman	
5	Arizona Solar Energy Industries Association	Gary D. Hays
6	2122 W. Lone Cactus Drive, Suite 2 Phoenix, AZ 85027	Law Office of Garry D. Hays, PC
U	Tom.Harris@AriSELA.org	2198 E. Camelback Road, Suite 305 Phoenix, AZ 85016
7	Consented To Service By Email	Attorney for the Arizona Solar Deployment
8		Alliance
8	Vincent Nitido	ghays@lawgdh.com
9	Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc.	
10	8600 West Tangerine Road	
10	Marana, AZ 85653	
11	vnitido@trico.coop	
1.2		
12	By:	
13	Бу	
14		
15		
16		