

ORIGINAL



0000171533

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CO

COMMISSIONERS

DOUG LITTLE – Chairman
BOB STUMP
BOB BURNS
TOM FORESE
ANDY TOBIN

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JUL 6 2016

DOCKETED BY [Signature]

RECEIVED
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

2016 JUL 6 AM 11 03

IN THE MATTER OF:

TRACY N. WENGERT – CRD #3182678 and
JEANETTE WENGERT, husband and wife,

Respondents.

DOCKET NO. S-20973A-16-0199

PROCEDURAL ORDER
(Sets Pre-Hearing Conference)

BY THE COMMISSION:

On June 22, 2016, the Securities Division (“Division”) of the Arizona Corporation Commission (“Commission”) filed a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing Regarding Proposed Order to Cease and Desist, of Revocation, for Restitution, for Administrative Penalties, and for Other Affirmative Action (“Notice”) against Tracy N. Wengert and Jeanette Wengert, husband and wife (the “Wengerts” or “Respondents”) in which the Division alleged violations of the Arizona Securities Act (“Act”) in connection with the offer and sale of securities.

The spouse of Tracy N. Wengert, Jeanette Wengert (“Respondent Spouse”) is joined in the action pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2031(C) solely for the purpose of determining the liability of the marital community.

The Respondents were duly served with a copy of the Notice.

On July 1, 2016, Respondents filed a Request for Hearing pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-306.

Accordingly, a pre-hearing conference should be scheduled.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that a **pre-hearing conference** shall be held on **July 27, 2016, at 10:00 a.m.**, at the Commission’s offices, 1200 West Washington Street, Hearing Room No. 2, Phoenix, Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113-Unauthorized Communications) is in effect and shall remain in effect until the Commission’s Decision in this matter

1 is final and non-appealable.

2 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Rules 31 and 39 of the Rules of
3 the Arizona Supreme Court and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to the practice of law and admission *pro*
4 *hac vice*.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal of representation must be made in compliance
6 with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Rule 42 of the
7 Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court). Representation before the Commission includes appearances at
8 all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is scheduled
9 for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the
10 Administrative Law Judge or the Commission.

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, as permitted under A.A.C. R14-3-107(B), each party to this
12 matter may opt to receive service of all filings in this docket, including all filings by parties and all
13 Procedural Orders and Recommended Opinions and Orders/Recommended Orders issued by the
14 Commission's Hearing Division, via email sent to an email address provided by the party rather than
15 via U.S. Mail. To exercise this option, a party shall:

- 16 1. Ensure that the party has a valid and active email address to which the party has regular
17 and reliable access ("designated email address");
- 18 2. Complete a Consent to Email Service using the form available on the Commission's
19 website (www.azcc.gov) or a substantially similar format;
- 20 3. File the original and 13 copies of the Consent to Email Service with the Commission's
21 Docket Control, also providing service to each party to the service list;
- 22 4. Send an email, containing the party's name and the docket number for this matter, to
23 HearingDivisionServicebyEmail@azcc.gov from the designated email address, to allow
24 the Hearing Division to verify the validity of the designated email address;
- 25 5. Understand and agree that service of a document on the party shall be complete upon
26 the sending of an email containing the document to the designated email address,
27 regardless of whether the party receives or reads the email containing the document;
28 and

1 6. Understand and agree that the party will no longer receive service of filings in this
2 matter through First Class U.S. Mail or any other form of hard-copy delivery, unless
3 and until the party withdraws this consent through a filing made in this docket.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a party's consent to email service shall not become effective
5 until a Procedural Order is issued approving the use of email service for the party. The Procedural
6 Order shall be issued only after the party has completed steps 1 through 4 above, and the Hearing
7 Division has verified receipt of an email from the party's designated email address.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a party's election to receive service of all filings in this matter
9 via email does not change the requirement that all filings with the Commission's Docket Control must
10 be made in hard copy and must include an original and 13 copies.

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter,
12 amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by
13 ruling at hearing.

14 DATED this 6TH day of July, 2016.

15
16 
17 _____
18 MARK PRENY
19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

18 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered
19 this 6th day of July, 2016, to:

20 Shane D. Buntrock
21 BUNTROCK & GARNER LAW, PLLC
22 2158 N. Gilbert Road, Suite 119
23 Mesa, AZ 85203
24 Attorney for Respondents Tracy N. Wengert
25 and Jeanette Wengert

COASH & COASH, INC.
Court Reporting, Video and
Videoconferencing
1802 North 7th Street
Phoenix, AZ 85006

23 Matthew Neubert, Director
24 Securities Division
25 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
26 1200 West Washington Street
27 Phoenix, AZ 85007

26 By: RTallman
27 Rebecca Tallman
28 Assistant to Mark Preny