

**ORIGINAL**  
COMMISSIONERS  
DOLIE LIND - Chairman  
BOB STUMP  
BOB BURNS  
TOM FORESE  
ANDY TOBIN

# OPEN MEETING ITEM



0000171071

## ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Executive Director  
**RECEIVED**

JUN 17 2016 A 10:43

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

DATE: JUNE 17, 2016  
DOCKET NO.: W-03945A-16-0044  
TO ALL PARTIES:

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Belinda Martin. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Order on:

FRANCESCA WATER COMPANY, INC.  
(RATES)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and thirteen (13) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by **4:00** p.m. on or before:

JUNE 27, 2016

The enclosed is NOT an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has tentatively been scheduled for the Commission's Open Meeting to be held on:

JULY 12 AND 13, 2016

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602) 542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602) 542-4250. For information about the Open Meeting, contact the Executive Director's Office at (602) 542-3931.

JODI A. JERICHI  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Arizona Corporation Commission  
**DOCKETED**  
JUN 17 2016

DOCKETED BY

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

COMMISSIONERS

DOUG LITTLE – Chairman  
BOB STUMP  
BOB BURNS  
TOM FORESE  
ANDY TOBIN

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF  
FRANCESCA WATER COMPANY, INC. FOR A  
PERMANENT RATE INCREASE.

DOCKET NO. W-03945A-16-0044

DECISION NO. \_\_\_\_\_

ORDER

Open Meeting  
July 12 and 13, 2016  
Phoenix, Arizona

**BY THE COMMISSION:**

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Arizona Corporation Commission (“Commission”) finds, concludes, and orders that:

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

**Procedural History**

1. On February 10, 2016, Francesca Water Company, Inc. (“FWC” or “Company”) filed an application with the Commission for a permanent rate increase, and on February 24, 2016, the Company filed an amendment to the application (collectively, the “Application”). FWC attached a copy of the notice provided to customers on February 10, 2016, regarding the Application. Two customers filed opinions with the Commission objecting to the Company’s proposed rate increase.
2. On March 10, 2016, the Commission’s Utilities Division (“Staff”) filed its Sufficiency Letter stating the Application was sufficient pursuant to Arizona Administrative Code (“A.A.C.”) R14-2-103, and classifying FWC as a Class E utility.
3. On May 9, 2016, Staff submitted its Staff Report, recommending approval of the Application using Staff’s proposed rates and charges, subject to certain terms and conditions.
4. On May 26 2016, FWC docketed its Response to Staff Report, objecting to various Staff recommendations (“Response”).

1           5.       On June 10, 2016, Staff filed a Stipulation Concerning Staff's Response to Francesca  
2 Water Company's Response to Staff Report ("Stipulation") revising certain recommendations made in  
3 its Staff Report.

4       **Company Background**

5           6.       FWC is an Arizona C corporation providing water service to customers in the Three  
6 Points area of Pima County, approximately 20 miles southwest of Tucson. The Commission approved  
7 FWC's current rates and charges in Decision No. 74397 (March 19, 2014).

8           7.       FWC operates two separate water systems situated approximately four miles apart. The  
9 Talaway Water System consists of one well yielding 25 gallons of water per minute ("gpm"), one  
10 40,000 gallon storage tank, a pressure tank, two booster pumps and the distribution system, and serves  
11 approximately 69 connections. The Amber Ann Water System consists of one well yielding 25 gpm,  
12 one 25,000 gallon storage tank, a pressure tank, two booster pumps and the distribution system, and  
13 serves approximately 32 connections. Staff concluded that FWC's systems each have adequate  
14 production and storage capacities to serve existing customers and reasonable growth. However, Staff  
15 noted that based on the data contained in the Company's Annual Reports, there is no-to-negative  
16 growth in both system areas.

17           8.       In Decision No. 74397 the Commission directed FWC to monitor its water systems to  
18 ensure that water loss for each system remained below 10 percent. In the Staff Report for this matter,  
19 Staff observed that FWC had to estimate the monthly water pumped for the Talaway System four times  
20 during the test year due to well meter malfunction. Although the Company believes the meter has been  
21 repaired, Staff states that there is no water use data to support this claim.

22           9.       As such, Staff recommends that the Company continue monitoring its water systems closely  
23 to ensure that water loss for each system remains below 10 percent. Staff also recommends that FWC  
24 coordinate its reading of well meters and customer meters on a monthly basis and report this data in its  
25 Annual Reports to the Utilities Division beginning with its 2016 Annual Report to be filed in 2017.  
26 According to Staff's recommendation: if the reported water loss is greater than 10 percent, FWC should  
27 prepare a report containing a detailed analysis and plan to reduce water loss to less than 10 percent; if  
28 the Company believes it is not cost effective to reduce the water loss to less than 10 percent, the

1 Company should submit a detailed cost/benefit analysis to support its opinion; in no case should the  
2 Company allow water loss to be greater than 15 percent; and if it is necessary, the water loss reduction  
3 report or the detailed analysis, whichever is submitted, should be filed with Docket Control, as a  
4 compliance item in this docket, before the Company files its next rate application. Staff also  
5 recommends that any future rate case filed by the Company may be found insufficient if these items  
6 are not properly submitted.

7 10. FWC is within an Arizona Department of Water Resources (“ADWR”) active  
8 management area. In a Compliance Status Report dated February 17, 2016, ADWR determined that  
9 both water systems are currently in compliance with departmental requirements governing water  
10 providers and/or community water systems.

11 11. Staff observed that in a Compliance Status Report dated March 10, 2016, the Arizona  
12 Department of Environmental Quality (“ADEQ”) determined that FWC is in full compliance with  
13 ADEQ requirements and is currently delivering water that meets water quality standards required by  
14 A.A.C., Title 18, Chapter 4.

15 12. FWC has Commission-approved Backflow, Curtailment, and Best Management  
16 Practices Tariffs.

17 13. Staff’s review of the Commission’s Consumer Services records shows that between  
18 January 1, 2013, and April 14, 2016, there were two complaints filed against FWC. All complaints  
19 have been resolved and closed. The Commission’s Corporations Division reports that FWC is in good  
20 standing, and the Utilities Division Compliance Section database reflects that FWC has no delinquent  
21 compliance items.

22 14. FWC is current on its property and sales taxes.

23 15. In Decision No. 74397, the Commission ordered FWC to file annually, as part of its  
24 Utilities Division Annual Report, an affidavit attesting that the Company is current in paying its  
25 Arizona property taxes. We believe it is reasonable to require the Company to continue to do so.

26 ...

27 ...

28 ...

1 **Rate Application**

2 16. During the test year ending December 31, 2015, FWC served 104 customers on 5/8 x  
3 3/4-inch meters.<sup>1</sup> The average and median water use by customers on the 5/8 x 3/4-inch meters were  
4 6,259 gallons and 4,697 gallons per month, respectively.

5 17. In the Company’s Response to Staff Report, FWC proposed a rate design different from  
6 that offered in the Application. FWC asserted that its revised rate design would create a more reliable  
7 revenue stream for the Company, but it would still encourage conservation. The Company also  
8 proposed a revenue shortage surcharge, to be implemented in the event FWC had a revenue shortfall  
9 during a calendar year of new rates being in place.

10 18. After review of the Response and consultation with the Company, Staff filed the  
11 Stipulation revising a number of its recommendations contained in the original Staff Report. Staff  
12 related that based on Staff’s revenue recommendations, FWC had withdrawn its proposed revenue  
13 shortfall surcharge.<sup>2</sup>

14 19. FWC’s present rates and charges, as proposed by FWC in its Response to Staff Report,  
15 and as recommended by Staff in its Stipulation, are as follows:

	<u>Present Rates</u>	<u>Proposed Rates Company</u>	<u>Proposed Rates Staff</u>
16			
17	<b><u>MONTHLY USAGE CHARGE:</u></b>		
18	\$ 22.00	\$30.00	\$27.50
19	30.00	45.00	41.25
20	50.00	75.00	68.75
21	100.00	150.00	137.50
22	160.00	240.00	220.00
23	320.00	480.00	440.00
24	500.00	750.00	687.50
25	1,000.00	1,500.00	1,375.00
26	...		
27	...		

25 <sup>1</sup> In prior Decisions, it was noted that FWC had one customer on a 1-inch meter. However, in its Response, FWC noted:

26 During the course of this rate case, Francesca discovered that the sole 1-inch meter is actually a 5/8 x 3/4-  
27 inch meter. Apparently, this meter was downsized under previous ownership and the change in records did  
28 not occur. The Company is in the process of determining when the change occurred and is offering the  
customer a rebate. Nevertheless, the single 1-inch meter has been reclassified as a 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter.

28 <sup>2</sup> The Stipulation did not state that the Company had agreed to Staff’s revised rate design.

**COMMODITY CHARGE:**  
(Per 1,000 gallons)

**5/8-inch x 3/4-inch Meter, Residential**

0 to 3,000 gallons	\$2.30	\$3.30	\$ 3.13
3,001 to 10,000 gallons	4.00	4.45	4.65
Over 10,000 gallons	4.73	5.35	5.45

**3/4-inch Meter, Residential**

0 to 3,000 gallons	\$2.30	\$3.30	\$3.13
3,001 to 10,000 gallons	4.00	4.45	4.65
Over 10,000 gallons	4.73	5.35	5.45

**3/4-inch Meter, Commercial and Industrial**

0 to 10,000 gallons	\$4.35	\$4.45	\$4.65
Over 10,000 gallons	6.70	5.35	6.90

**1-inch Meter, All Classes**

0 to 17,000 gallons	\$4.35	\$4.45	\$5.45
Over 17,000 gallons	6.70	5.35	7.68

**1 1/2-inch Meter, All Classes**

0 to 37,000 gallons	\$4.35	\$4.45	\$5.45
Over 37,000 gallons	6.70	5.35	7.68

**2-inch Meter, All Classes**

0 to 61,000 gallons	\$4.35	\$4.45	\$5.45
Over 61,000 gallons	6.70	5.35	7.68

**3-inch Meter, All Classes**

0 to 127,000 gallons	\$4.35	\$4.45	\$5.45
Over 127,000 gallons	6.70	5.35	7.68

**4-inch Meter, All Classes**

0 to 200,000 gallons	\$4.35	\$4.45	\$5.45
Over 200,000 gallons	6.70	5.35	7.68

**6-inch Meter**

0 to 410,000 gallons	\$4.35	\$4.45	\$5.45
Over 410,000 gallons	6.70	5.35	7.68

**SERVICE LINE AND METER INSTALLATION CHARGES:**

(Refundable pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-405)

	<u>Company Proposed</u>				<u>Staff Recommended</u>		
	Current Rates	Proposed Service Line Charge	Meter Installation Charge	Total Recommended Charges	Proposed Service Line Charge	Meter Installation Charge	Total Recommended Charges
5/8" x 3/4 " Meter	\$ 560.00	\$ 490.00	\$ 132.00	\$ 622.00	\$ 490.00	\$ 132.00	\$ 622.00
3/4 " Meter	660.00	490.00	233.00	723.00	490.00	233.00	723.00
1" Meter	770.00	547.00	293.00	840.00	547.00	293.00	840.00
1-1/2" Meter	1,035.00	610.00	506.00	1,116.00	610.00	506.00	1,116.00
2" Meter Turbine	1,835.00	927.00	1,031.00	1,958.00	927.00	1,031.00	1,958.00
2" Meter Compound	2,680.00	927.00	1,884.00	2,811.00	927.00	1,884.00	2,811.00
3" Meter Turbine	2,675.00	1,171.00	1,662.00	2,833.00	1,171.00	1,662.00	2,833.00

1	3" Meter Compound	3,670.00	1,308.00	2,546.00	3,854.00	1,308.00	2,546.00	3,854.00
	4" Meter Turbine	4,080.00	1,661.00	2,647.00	4,308.00	1,661.00	2,647.00	4,308.00
2	4" Meter Compound	5,235.00	1,866.00	3,632.00	5,498.00	1,866.00	3,632.00	5,498.00
	6" Meter Turbine	7,155.00	2,479.00	5,026.00	7,505.00	2,479.00	5,026.00	7,505.00
3	6" Meter Compound	9,170.00	2,615.00	6,939.00	9,554.00	2,615.00	6,939.00	9,554.00
	Over 6"	At Cost						

	Present Rates	Proposed Rates Company	Proposed Rates Staff
5			
6	<b><u>SERVICE CHARGES:</u></b>		
	Establishment	\$40.00	\$40.00
7	Service Charge (After Hours)	30.00	50.00
	Reconnection (Delinquent)	35.00	45.00
8	Meter Test (If Correct)	30.00	30.00
	Meter Re-Read (If Correct)	20.00	20.00
9	NSF Check	25.00	25.00
	Deposit	*	*
10	Deposit Interest	*	*
	Re-establishment (Within 12 months)	**	**
11	Deferred Payment (Per Month)	1.50%	1.50%
	Late Fee (Per Month)	***	***

\* Per Commission Rule A.A.C. R-14-2-403(B).

\*\* Months off system times the monthly minimum per Commission Rule A.A.C. R14-2-403(D).

\*\*\* \$5.00 or 1.50 percent, whichever is greater.

20. Staff determined FWC's original cost rate base to be \$74,721, which is the same as its fair value rate base ("FVRB"). This is a \$238 increase to FWC's proposed FVRB of \$74,483, due to Staff's adjustments to the Company's proposed plant-in-service and accumulated depreciation. The Company did not object to Staff's adjustments.

21. We find that Staff's adjustments to FWC's FVRB are reasonable and we adopt Staff's proposed FVRB of \$74,721.

22. Staff adopted FWC's proposed test year operating revenues of \$57,247.

23. Staff made several adjustments to FWC's proposed test year operating expenses, resulting in an increase of \$728, from \$46,613 to \$47,341, due to Staff's adjustments to FWC's proposed repairs and maintenance, water testing expense, and income tax. The Company accepted Staff's test year operating expense adjustments.

24. Based on Staff's analysis, FWC's test year operating revenues of \$57,247, and adjusted test year operating expenses of \$47,341, resulted in a test year operating income of \$9,906, and a cash flow of \$5,849.

25. In its Application, FWC proposed a \$15,000 increase over test year revenues, or 26.20

1 percent, for total operating revenues of \$72,247. After projected operating expenses of \$49,862, FWC  
 2 would achieve operating income of \$22,385, for a 30.98 percent operating margin and a cash flow of  
 3 \$18,328.<sup>3</sup>

4 26. Staff recommended a \$12,189 increase over adjusted test year revenues, or 22.47  
 5 percent, for total revenues of \$69,436. With recommended operating expenses of \$53,353, FWC would  
 6 receive \$16,083 in operating income, resulting in a 23.16 percent operating margin. Staff's proposed  
 7 rates would provide the Company with a cash flow of \$12,026.<sup>4</sup>

8 27. We find that Staff's proposed revenues will provide the Company with sufficient funds  
 9 to meet its operating needs and contingencies.

10 28. We find Staff's operating revenue, operating expense, rate of return and revenue  
 11 recommendations are reasonable and we adopt them.

12 29. FWC's proposed rates would increase the average monthly customer (6,259 gallons)  
 13 water bill by \$11.58, or 27.5 percent, from \$42.14 to \$53.72, and increase the median monthly customer  
 14 (4,697 gallons) water bill by \$10.40, or 29.02 percent, from \$35.83 to \$46.23.

15 30. Staff's proposed rates would increase the average monthly customer water bill by  
 16 \$10.14, or 24.1 percent, from \$42.14 to \$52.28, and increase the median monthly customer water bill  
 17 by \$9.12, or 25.44 percent, from \$35.83 to \$44.95.

18 31. We believe that Staff's rate design will provide FWC with a reliable revenue Stream  
 19 and encourage conservation. We find that Staff's proposed rate design, rates, and charges are  
 20 reasonable.

21 32. Staff recommends that the Company file with Docket Control, as a compliance item in  
 22 this docket, within 30 days of the effective date of this Decision, a schedule of the approved rates and  
 23 charges.

24 33. In addition, Staff recommends that FWC notify its customers of the authorized rates and  
 25 charges approved in this Decision, and their effective date, in a form acceptable to Staff, by means of

26 <sup>3</sup> Staff states that based on the Company's low level of investment in rate base, use of the rate base approach to calculate  
 27 the revenue requirement would not produce sufficient operating margin to allow for possible contingencies. As a result,  
 Staff calculated the Company's revenue requirement by focusing on the Company's cash flow needs.

28 <sup>4</sup> In Decision No. 63660 (May 4, 2001), the Commission authorized FWC to obtain a \$97,000 loan, financed over 20 years,  
 from the Water Infrastructure Finance Authority of Arizona. Annual debt service on the loan is \$9,414.

1 an insert in its next regular billing cycle, and should file a copy of the notice with Docket Control, as a  
 2 compliance item in this docket, within 10 days of the notice being sent to customers.

3 34. Staff further recommends that FWC adopt the typical and customary depreciation rates  
 4 shown on Exhibit 5 in Attachment A to the Staff Report on a going-forward basis.

5 35. Staff's recommendations are reasonable and shall be adopted.

6 36. We also believe it is reasonable to allow FWC to collect from its customers a  
 7 proportionate share of any privilege, sales or use tax as provided for in A.A.C. R14-2-409(D).

8 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

9 1. FWC is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona  
 10 Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-250 and 40-251.

11 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over FWC and the subject matter of the Application.

12 3. FWC provided notice of the Application in accordance with Arizona law.

13 4. The rates and charges authorized herein are just and reasonable and should be approved  
 14 without a hearing.

15 5. The recommendations stated herein are reasonable and should be adopted.

16 **ORDER**

17 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Francesca Water Company, Inc. is directed to file with  
 18 Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, by July 31, 2016, revised schedules setting forth  
 19 the following rates and charges:

20 **MONTHLY USAGE CHARGE:**

21 5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter	\$27.50
22 3/4-inch Meter	41.25
23 1-inch Meter	68.75
24 1 1/2-inch Meter	137.50
2-inch Meter	220.00
3-inch Meter	440.00
4-inch Meter	687.50
6-inch Meter	1,375.00

25 **COMMODITY CHARGE:**  
 26 **(Per 1,000 gallons)**

27 **5/8-inch x 3/4-inch Meter, Residential**

28 0 to 3,000 gallons	\$ 3.13
3,001 to 10,000 gallons	4.65
Over 10,000 gallons	5.45

1	<b><u>3/4-inch Meter, Residential</u></b>	
	0 to 3,000 gallons	\$3.13
2	3,001 to 10,000 gallons	4.65
	Over 10,000 gallons	5.45
3	<b><u>3/4-inch Meter, Commercial and Industrial</u></b>	
4	0 to 10,000 gallons	\$4.65
	Over 10,000 gallons	6.90
5	<b><u>1-inch Meter, All Classes</u></b>	
6	0 to 17,000 gallons	\$5.45
	Over 17,000 gallons	7.68
7	<b><u>1 1/2-inch Meter, All Classes</u></b>	
8	0 to 37,000 gallons	\$5.45
	Over 37,000 gallons	7.68
9	<b><u>2-inch Meter, All Classes</u></b>	
10	0 to 61,000 gallons	\$5.45
	Over 61,000 gallons	7.68
11	<b><u>3-inch Meter, All Classes</u></b>	
12	0 to 127,000 gallons	\$5.45
	Over 127,000 gallons	7.68
13	<b><u>4-inch Meter, All Classes</u></b>	
14	0 to 200,000 gallons	\$5.45
	Over 200,000 gallons	7.68
15	<b><u>6-inch Meter, All Classes</u></b>	
16	0 to 410,000 gallons	\$5.45
	Over 410,000 gallons	7.68

17 **SERVICE LINE AND METER INSTALLATION CHARGES:**  
 18 (Refundable pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-405)

	<u>Service Line Charge</u>	<u>Meter Installation Charge</u>	<u>Total Charges</u>
19			
20			
21	5/8" x 3/4" Meter	\$ 490.00	\$ 622.00
	3/4" Meter	490.00	723.00
	1" Meter	547.00	840.00
22	1-1/2" Meter	610.00	1,116.00
	2" Meter Turbine	927.00	1,958.00
23	2" Meter Compound	927.00	2,811.00
	3" Meter Turbine	1,171.00	2,833.00
24	3" Meter Compound	1,308.00	3,854.00
	4" Meter Turbine	1,661.00	4,308.00
25	4" Meter Compound	1,866.00	5,498.00
	6" Meter Turbine	2,479.00	7,505.00
26	6" Meter Compound	2,615.00	9,554.00
27	Over 6"	At Cost	At Cost

28 ...

**SERVICE CHARGES:**

1	Establishment	\$40.00
	Service Charge (After Hours)	40.00
2	Reconnection (Delinquent)	40.00
	Meter Test (If Correct)	30.00
3	Meter Re-Read (If Correct)	20.00
	NSF Check	25.00
4	Deposit	*
	Deposit Interest	*
5	Re-establishment (Within 12 months)	**
	Deferred Payment (Per Month)	1.50%
6	Late Fee (Per Month)	***

- 7 \* Per Commission Rule A.A.C. R-14-2-403(B).
- 8 \*\* Months off system times the monthly minimum per Commission Rule A.A.C. R14-2-403(D).
- 9 \*\*\* \$5.00 or 1.50 percent, whichever is greater.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in addition to collection of its regular rates and charges,  
10 Francesca Water Company, Inc. shall collect from its customers a proportionate share of any privilege,  
11 sales or use tax per A.A.C. R14-2-409(D).

12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the above rates and charges shall be effective for all service  
13 provided on and after August 1, 2016.

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Francesca Water Company, Inc. shall notify its customers of  
15 the authorized rates and charges, and their effective date, by means of an insert in its next regular billing  
16 cycle, in a form acceptable to Staff, and shall, within 10 days after the date notice is sent to its  
17 customers, file with the Commission’s Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, a copy of  
18 the notice provided to customers.

19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Francesca Water Company, Inc. shall use the depreciation  
20 rates shown on Exhibit 5 in Attachment A to the Staff Report on a going-forward basis.

21 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Francesca Water Company, Inc. shall coordinate its reading  
22 of well meters and customer meters on a monthly basis and report this data in its Annual Reports to the  
23 Utilities Division, beginning with its 2016 Annual Report to be filed in 2017.

24 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Francesca Water Company, Inc. shall continue to monitor its  
25 water systems closely to ensure that water loss for each system remains below 10 percent. In the event  
26 water loss for either system is greater than 10 percent, Francesca Water Company, Inc. shall prepare a  
27 report containing a detailed analysis and plan to reduce water loss to less than 10 percent. If Francesca  
28 Water Company, Inc. believes it is not cost effective to reduce the water loss to less than 10 percent,

1 the Company shall submit a detailed cost/benefit analysis to support its opinion. In no case shall the  
2 Company allow water loss to be greater than 15 percent. If one is necessary, the water loss reduction  
3 report or the detailed analysis, whichever is submitted, shall be filed with Docket Control as a  
4 compliance item in this docket before the Company files its next rate application.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Francesca Water Company, Inc. shall continue to file  
6 annually, as part of its Utilities Division Annual Report, an affidavit attesting that it is current in paying  
7 its property taxes in Arizona.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

9 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.

10  
11  
12 CHAIRMAN \_\_\_\_\_ COMMISSIONER

13  
14 COMMISSIONER \_\_\_\_\_ COMMISSIONER \_\_\_\_\_ COMMISSIONER

15  
16 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, JODI JERICH, Executive Director  
17 of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my  
18 hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed  
19 at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this \_\_\_\_\_ day  
20 of \_\_\_\_\_ 2016.

21 \_\_\_\_\_  
22 JODI JERICH  
23 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

24 DISSENT \_\_\_\_\_

25 DISSENT \_\_\_\_\_

26 BM:rt

27  
28

1 SERVICE LIST FOR:

FRANCESCA WATER COMPANY, INC.

2 DOCKET NO.:

W-03945A-16-0044

3 Steve Wene

MOYES, SELLERS & HENDRICKS, LTD.

1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 1100

4 Phoenix, AZ 85004

5 Janice Alward, Chief Counsel

Legal Division

6 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

1200 West Washington Street

7 Phoenix, AZ 85007

8 Thomas Broderick, Director

Utilities Division

9 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

1200 West Washington Street

10 Phoenix, AZ 85007

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28