

ORIGINAL



RECEIVED
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Memorandum

From the office of
Chairman Doug Little
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. WASHINGTON
PHOENIX, ARIZONA
(602) 542-0745

JUN 16 PM 2 18

TO: Docket Control
DATE: June 16, 2016
FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office
SUBJECT: UNSE- Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
JUN 16 2016

DOCKETED BY *AL*

Chairman Little's office received 40 emails referencing, and in opposition of, the above docket number. The emails can be viewed with the Docket Number either on the website via the eDocket link, or in Docket.

Andrea Gaston

From: Stephanie Bader <sbader0826@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2016 8:16 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Restricting the renewable energy process is literally a vote for killing the planet. Think about what you will leave your children and grandchildren. Don't do this. Please.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Bader

11381 E Calle del Rincon
Tucson, AZ 85749

520-749-3143

Andrea Gaston

From: Chessa Frei <Chessafrei@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 12, 2016 6:14 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Chessa Frei

2409 ajo dr
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403

928-486-2947

Andrea Gaston

From: Thomas Oviatt <toviatt@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 12, 2016 5:34 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Thomas Oviatt

6391 W Angela Dr
Glendale, AZ 85308-3685

602-460-0333

Andrea Gaston

From: James Meyer <Jmeyer0529@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 12, 2016 3:16 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

James Meyer

6721 N. Paddock Place
Tucson, AZ 84743

52074r9478

Andrea Gaston

From: David Boone <dlboon@att.net>
Sent: Sunday, June 12, 2016 1:02 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Demand charges are an unprecedented userous cost structure clearly intended to reduce consumer choice by making sustainable alternatives no longer cost effective to consumers. While many public utilities are private entities, they are also publicly regulated so as to operate in the public interest because of their monopoly nature. What demand charger represent are an attempt to circumvent the increasingly attractive sustainable energy sources such as solar and wind power, and thus limit consumer chive and maintain power company's monopoly. This is unacceptable in a free market economy. And, given that more carbon free energy energy technologies are essential to the world as a whole, anti-consumer market structures such as monopolies should not be used to dis-incentivize consumer participation in bring that about.

Sincerely,

David Boone

4807 W Mescal St
Glendale, AZ 85304

623-930-7245

Andrea Gaston

From: James Jean <shemani666@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 12, 2016 10:29 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

James Jean

PO Box 382
Cornville, AZ 86325

928-649-2541

Andrea Gaston

From: Nicholas Acciardo <nacciardo@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 12, 2016 10:26 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

After a careful review...You all need to be replaced!!! In our country, so trying to exist...the last thing we need is your incompetence!!!

Please step aside to allow for GROWTH!!!

Sincerely,

Nicholas Acciardo

11243 So. Santa Margarita Lane

602-205-4451

Andrea Gaston

From: Steve Austin <Huzzinbornet@zianet.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 11, 2016 11:47 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Steve Austin

17596 W Marconi Ave
Surprise, AZ 85388

575 693 3491

Andrea Gaston

From: Craig Sellman <Craig.sellman@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 11, 2016 9:40 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Craig Sellman

11160 E Laurel Ln
Scottsdale, AZ 85259

6028850512

Andrea Gaston

From: Lynn Worland <lmworland@msn.com>
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2016 6:35 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Lynn Worland

9858 N. 103rd Ave
Sun City

Andrea Gaston

From: Mary Wilson <mcwilson120@cox.ney>
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2016 5:38 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Mary Wilson

1920 w Steinbeck dr
Anthem, AZ 85086

6233747577

Andrea Gaston

From: Isaac Armour <Ikearmour@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 11, 2016 9:14 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

This is an obvious money grab and is the opposite of the direction we should be moving in regards to power generation. Arizona needs to take advantage of the economic benefits of the Sun we have- in both commercial and residential power production. Profits of large power producers should not eclipse the common good.

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Isaac Armour

4600 Tiemann Lane
Rimrock, AZ 86335

Andrea Gaston

From: Kenneth Heffley <kenskunky39@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2016 9:18 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Heffley

31672 N. 131 ave
Peoria, AZ 85383

Andrea Gaston

From: Charissa Wilson <cwilson2484@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 12, 2016 4:10 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

"Under terms of the companies' settlement agreement, bill credits totaling \$30 million will be distributed over five years to TEP customers and to UES gas and electric customers. Both companies will remain headquartered in Tucson under local control with current management and staffing levels and no planned changes to existing operations."

Do they expect to make way more than \$30 million over 5 years with these new rates??

How dare the Arizona Corporate Commission choose to change the terms of the agreement after publicly declaring a vested interest in securing discounts for their constituents?

I see no reason why a company (FORTIS) with less than 6,000 employees and \$137 million in profits in one year alone has any need to disrupt the fragile, essential, and growing industry that Arizona should be leading the world in.

We NEED to look to the disruption of the solar energy market in Nevada to see that any rate changes that do not provide substantial savings and benefits to the populace will only cause negative outcomes and hardships for AZ Solar Technology companies and employees. The families and communities that are dependent on the continued growth and existence of strong infrastructures for superior technologies, and desperately needed emerging markets, will pay unnecessary price gouging for the sole benefit of increased shareholder profits.

We cannot, should not, and will not, allow our communities to forgo a technological progress for the sake of the enrichment of a few on the backs of citizens in a state with one of the lowest average GDP's in the nation.

It is time for elected officials to do their job in representing their constituents and securing benefits and progress for their communities instead of kowtowing to "monied incorporations" that seek to remove our access to affordable energy technologies.

Sincerely,

Charissa Wilson

P.O.Box 24166
Tucson, AZ 85734

5204776920

Andrea Gaston

From: Gary Lape <Sheandi89@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 12, 2016 3:02 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Gary Lape

4365 Lazy Lariat Lane
Rimrock, AZ 86335

9283007609

Andrea Gaston

From: Connie Tolleson <connietolleson@mac.com>
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2016 11:28 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Connie Tolleson

644 Roadrunner Drive
Bullhead City, AZ 86442

9254586603

Andrea Gaston

From: Nicholas Acciaro <nacciardo@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2016 5:41 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice decision! It's wrong!!!

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Acciaro

11243 So. Santa Margarita Lane
Goodyear, AZ 85338

602-205-4451

Andrea Gaston

From: Jim Coleman <mowejim@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 12, 2016 5:50 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

stop it

Sincerely,

Jim Coleman

6849E. Camino Del Dorado
Tucson, 85715

520-909-0137

Andrea Gaston

From: Thomas Smith <thomasearl2006@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2016 2:16 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please help us move into a future where our children can live, breath and know that this country stands for we the people, not the wealthy few. Solar must be made affordable for our children's future.

Sincerely,

Thomas Smith

3425 E. Mesquite Trl
Camp Verde, AZ 86322

Andrea Gaston

From: Tom Stangel <t.stangel@outlook.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2016 9:32 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Tom Stangel

6133 W. Tucson Est. Pkwy
Tucson, AZ 85713

5208917678

Andrea Gaston

From: Michele von Kampen <trustnotaz@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2016 6:28 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Michele von Kampen

3217 e Shea Blvd 210
phoenix, AZ 85028

Andrea Gaston

From: Victoria stahl <victoria.stahl@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2016 12:04 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

This is YOUR watch, this is MY watch. We must to EVERYTHING we can to support clean, renewable, sustainable energy. Any thing other is unconscionable.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Victoria stahl

4318 e. 14th Street
Tucson, AZ 85711

5206038266

Andrea Gaston

From: Tim Miller <Karytim520@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2016 8:02 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Here we go again more fleecing of the public ! Why do you insist on these constant attacks. Is it to much to ask to have fair policies that benefit both sides ?

Tim Miller
85205

Sincerely,

Tim Miller

6132 e. Fairfield
Mesa, AZ 85205

480 205-7152

Andrea Gaston

From: Kimberly Pierce <kpierce_2007@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2016 8:04 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Pierce

4738 South San Joaquin Road
tucson, AZ 85735

5202308260

Andrea Gaston

From: Devra Lucas <devra.lucas@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2016 9:46 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Devra Lucas

445 E McKinley St
Tempe, AZ 85281

2489304613

Andrea Gaston

From: Dan Taylor <Tcmtucson@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2016 4:32 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Dan Taylor

3427 n Wilson ave
Tucson, AZ 85719

Andrea Gaston

From: Sonya Guerrero <Sagguerrero427@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2016 11:02 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Sonya Guerrero

3266 W Montana St
Tucson, AZ 85746

5209775315

Andrea Gaston

From: Robert Brainard <Rockyhoundrobert@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2016 8:56 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Robert Brainard

18354 W Maui Ln
Surprise, AZ 85388

602-820-8135

Andrea Gaston

From: gerald Harding <patspunkin@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2016 5:40 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

gerald Harding

30170 W Cheery lynn rd
Buckeye, AZ 85396

Andrea Gaston

From: Stan Rylands <Stanry@live.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 3:04 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Stan Rylands

8057 N. Painted Feather Dr
Tucson, AZ 85743

401-932-2042

Andrea Gaston

From: Stephen Knutson <Arcoknuti@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 9:14 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you fxor your time.

Sincerely,

Stephen Knutson

2918 n Tindle blvd
Flagstaff, AZ 86004

Andrea Gaston

From: Ben Renner <ellipse@healthian.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 11:08 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Ben Renner

7149 E 30th St
Tucson, AZ 85710

Andrea Gaston

From: ANGEL THOMPSON <angel.thompson@sunrunhome.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 11:08 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

ANGEL THOMPSON

5950 W MISSOURI AVE #27
GLENDALE, AZ 85301

4802435686

Andrea Gaston

From: Julie Hallquist <juliehq@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 4:21 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Julie Hallquist

1332 W Placita Quintero
Tucson, AZ 85704

Andrea Gaston

From: Kevin Heuvel <svbok@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 6:50 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I have solar installed on my home which is tied to the grid .

I am not producing any more power than I use .

MY opinion is the more roof top solar we have the stronger the over all grid system is and the less carbon we put into the earths atmosphere .

Why should my investment into solar cost me more .

Please explain?

Sincerely,

Kevin Heuvel

2922 W San Juan Terrace
Tucson, AZ 85713

5038879134

Andrea Gaston

From: Lyle Adams <lyle.adams@cox.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 8:32 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Lyle Adams

11829 N 103rd Ave
Sun City, AZ 85351

623-670-1872

Andrea Gaston

From: Judith Crim <drpepperjc@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 8:32 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Judith Crim

13249 West Keystone Drive
Sun City West, AZ 85375

623-748-9745

Andrea Gaston

From: Christopher Carns <c_carns@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 8:32 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Christopher Carns

6045 N Wild Buttercup Ln
Tucson, AR 85743

Andrea Gaston

From: Pamela Lloyd <pdllloyd@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 8:38 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

As a consumer already overwhelmed by high electricity costs, I feel that the new proposals are a step in the wrong direction.

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Pamela Lloyd

2366 W Armadillo St
Tucson, AZ 85713

520-620-0422

Andrea Gaston

From: Patricia Jenner <desertratscubagirl@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2016 7:38 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

It amazes me that in a Country that is supposed to be free, there is so much corporate greed. It is suffocating to the general public. Please stop the fight against solar energy in our great state. It is absolutely ridiculous that solar isn't the normal in this century .

Sincerely,

Patricia Jenner

2530 W Berridge Lane
102
Phoenix, AZ 85017

4802767045

Andrea Gaston

From: Leroy Humphries <leroy.humphries@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2016 8:08 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Leroy Humphries

8215 N ORACLE RD APT 140
Tucson, AZ 85704

5205764564