

ORIGINAL



0000170889

RECEIVED


2016 JUN 10 P 1:53

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Memorandum
From the office of
Chairman Doug Little
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. WASHINGTON
PHOENIX, ARIZONA
(602) 542-0745

TO: Docket Control
DATE: June 10, 2016
FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office
SUBJECT: UNSE- Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
JUN 10 2016

DOCKETED BY 

Chairman Little's office received 23 emails referencing the above Docket number, and in opposition of, the above docket number. The emails can be viewed with the above Docket Number either on the website via the eDocket link, or in Docket.

Andrea Gaston

From: Joshua Griffis <joshua.griffis@sunrun.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2016 2:46 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Joshua Griffis

220 n 22nd pl
1006
mesa, AZ 85213

4242807138

29

Andrea Gaston

From: Nils Waack <nils.waack@sunrunhome.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2016 2:56 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Nils Waack

708 S. Lindon Lane
Tempe, AZ 85281

5852305095

Andrea Gaston

From: Justin White <justinwhite2000@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2016 3:56 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Justin White

2835 Emerson Avenue South N-122
Minneapolis, MN 55408

4156029156

Andrea Gaston

From: Eli Miller <Elliott.Miller@sunrunhome.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2016 3:20 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Eli Miller

2929 n 70th st
apt 3086
scottsdale, AZ 85251

4803088546

Andrea Gaston

From: ian mcomas <ianmcomas@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2016 3:16 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

ian mcomas

85032

Andrea Gaston

From: Logan Ostrand <ostrand.l.r@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2016 3:14 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Logan Ostrand

6921 e windsor ave
scottsdale, AZ 85257

4806896488

Andrea Gaston

From: Alex Meland <deneb.kaitos@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2016 3:10 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Alex Meland

4328 N 36th St
Unit 3
Phoenix, AZ 85018

810-449-4225

Andrea Gaston

From: Edward Merget <jonwest187@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2016 3:08 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Edward Merget

8750 e Devonshire ave
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

4804355432

Andrea Gaston

From: Stephen Aguilar <stephen.aguilar@sunrun.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2016 3:02 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Stephen Aguilar

517 W 17th Pl
Tempe, AZ 85281

4242807132

Andrea Gaston

From: James Shaw <jwshaw71@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2016 2:56 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

James Shaw

2127 E Helena Dr
Phoenix, AZ 85022

Andrea Gaston

From: Tami Turner <thenauticalnun@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 09, 2016 8:56 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Tami Turner

7084 W Lonesome Valley Dr
Tucson, AZ 85757

7077066767

Andrea Gaston

From: Stephen Lindquist <Shlindquist44@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 09, 2016 7:20 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At its core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Stephen Lindquist

35671 S. Borago Ct
Tucson, AZ 85739

520-818-2100

Andrea Gaston

From: Nicole Chalmers <Rchalmers@cox.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2016 8:20 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Nicole Chalmers

13794 W Waddell Rd
Ste 203-269
AZ 85379

Andrea Gaston

From: Roy Chalmers <Rchalmers@cox.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2016 8:17 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Roy Chalmers

13794 W Waddell Rd
Ste 203-269
Surprise, AZ 85379

Andrea Gaston

From: Morgan Raether <Tennisgrl526@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2016 3:46 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Morgan Raether

1033 summit street
Hancock, MI 49930

9062049049

Andrea Gaston

From: Phoebe McCament <pmccament@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 08, 2016 2:56 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Phoebe McCament

19815 N 34th Pl
Phoenix, AZ 85050

6282216852

Andrea Gaston

From: Janet Barry <janb6749@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 09, 2016 12:10 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

In a state like AZ with its abundance of sunshine, it makes no sense not to have more solar - we need to save our planet from the harm that fossil fuel creates.

Sincerely,

Janet Barry

3907 E Pollack Street
Phoenix, AZ 85042

6037709120

Andrea Gaston

From: Patrick Barry <pbarry649@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 09, 2016 12:10 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

This proposal will have the effect of stifling the growth of solar power in AZ at a time when the rest of the world is moving rapidly towards investment in solar and other renewable energy sources. This will not only put us at an economic disadvantage, but will contribute to climate change through the increased production of greenhouse gases. This proposal flies in the face of history as well as our best economic interests and should be defeated at all costs. Please oppose this irresponsible and harmful action.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Patrick Barry

3907 E Pollack Street
Phoenix, AZ 85042

603.548.9869

Andrea Gaston

From: Andrew Ober-Reynolds <aoberreynolds@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 09, 2016 7:44 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Andrew Ober-Reynolds

1022 E Buena Vista Dr
Tempe, AZ 85284

Andrea Gaston

From: Michael Brisbin <Mbrisbin@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2016 7:44 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Michael Brisbin

1422 N Sierra Heights circle
Mesa, AZ 85207

602-803-9589

Andrea Gaston

From: Rachel Zepp <Sasjr@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 09, 2016 4:46 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Rachel Zepp

46 Northfield gate
Pittsford, NY 14534

5857278207

Andrea Gaston

From: Mark Hayduke Grenard <grenardmarkhayduke@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 09, 2016 9:46 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Demand charges will kill the solar industry in Arizona. Eliminating 30,000 jobs in Arizona. Vote no on UNS's proposal.

Sincerely,

Mark Hayduke Grenard

12810 N. Cave Creek Rd.#105

Andrea Gaston

From: tania malven <tmalven@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 09, 2016 9:53 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

tania malven

AZ 85719