

ORIGINAL



0000170727

RECEIVED

2016 JUN -3 P 2:09

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Memorandum
From the office of
Chairman Doug Little
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. WASHINGTON
PHOENIX, ARIZONA
(602) 542-0745

TO: Docket Control

DATE: June 3, 2016

FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office

SUBJECT: UNSE - Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142

Chairman Little's office received 17 emails referencing, and in opposition of, the above docket number. These emails can be viewed with the above Docket Number either on the website via the eDocket link, or in Docket.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JUN 3 2016

DOCKETED BY	<i>ML</i>
-------------	-----------

Andrea Gaston

From: James Lauver <xrayman@citlink.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2016 8:32 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

James Lauver

12619 Apache Pkwy
PO box 148
Topock, AZ 86436

9287278025

Andrea Gaston

From: John Hiett <JOHN_HIETT@HOTMAIL.COM>
Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2016 2:08 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Leave solar alone!

Sincerely,

John Hiett

1914 E. Gemini Drive
Tempe, 85283

480-232-4831

Andrea Gaston

From: Robert McDermott <cyemac2@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2016 7:10 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Robert McDermott

4356 W Kimberly Way
Glendale, AZ 85308

4804662763

Andrea Gaston

From: Nancy Brown <icelandicwoman@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2016 12:16 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Nancy Brown

14874 W. Ventura St
Surprise, AZ 86379

6232153971

Andrea Gaston

From: Barbara K Burke <BarbaraKBurke@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2016 6:10 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Barbara K Burke

PO Box 6027
Olympia, 98507

Andrea Gaston

From: Josh Spradling <ok2go@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2016 7:46 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Josh Spradling

9012 W. Yellow Bird Ln
Peoria, AZ 85383

6238825506

Andrea Gaston

From: Elizabeth Kieding <elizajanelake@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 6:02 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Kieding

4732 E Calle Elegante
Tucson, AZ 85718

520 307 5398

Andrea Gaston

From: Dena Weingart <Cdena299@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2016 4:56 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Dena Weingart

15875 w desert mirage dr
Surprise, AZ 85379

6232615535

Andrea Gaston

From: DETLEF FAHL <detleffahl@yahoo.de>
Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2016 4:50 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

DETLEF FAHL

14809 W Elko Ct
Surprise, AZ 85374

(915) 216-6908

Andrea Gaston

From: Thomas Smith <thomasearl2006@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2016 1:34 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Thomas Smith

3425 E. Mesquite Trl
Camp Verde, AZ 86322

Andrea Gaston

From: Karen Plante <satomommi@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2016 12:32 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Karen Plante

11555 N 153rd Dr
Surprise, AZ 85379

Andrea Gaston

From: Steve Carlat <scarlat@dakotacom.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2016 4:59 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Solar proposals E-04204A-15-0142

I am submitting this to be on the record to reject all the provisions and/or changes that TEP is proposing to the ACC.

I have been a rooftop owner for 6 years and see it as my contribution to reducing the consumption of non-renewable energy sources.

I also find that the ownership of TEP and TEP itself are using this to discourage others from join this position by making the cost of rooftop cost ineffective.

I object to the companies wanting to make a profit off of my investment in solar power by changing / reducing the net metering formula to their favor (and that of their shareholders).

Their position to continue to use mostly fossil fuels to generate power is contrary to the scientific evidence about how this impacts global warming.

Additionally

- It connects people to their energy use and generation.
- It encourages people to participate in our community's energy use and policies.
- It paves the way for a more resilient and secure energy grid in the future, with electric vehicles, batteries, etc.
- It creates an economically vibrant community to live in.
- It supports a cultural identity of sustainability and caring about the health of our community
- Solar energy adoption and energy efficiency are both in the long term public interest.

Living in Tucson, Arizona where the ability to generate solar power year round seems to put profits before the health of the planet, the health of the people, and commonsense.

Why not use the sun to contribute to a more sustainable environment.

If the proposal is to be implemented, please make any and all changes in a way that continues to encourage people to put solar on their homes.

Stephen Carlat
3220 E. Via Celeste
Tucson, AZ
scarlat@dakotacom.net

Andrea Gaston

From: Paul Clabough <Pclabough@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2016 12:04 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Paul Clabough

17775 s vermillion sunset
Vail, AZ 85641

4802516082

Andrea Gaston

From: McCue, Monte W <monte.mccue@evoqua.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2016 11:13 AM
To: RBurns-Web; Stump-Web; Little-Web; Forese-Web; Tobin-Web
Subject: UES Rate Increase Suggestion - E-04204A-15-0142

Commissioners,

I am adamantly against a change to net metering and a demand charge for any customer, not just for DG customers.

If the commission deems a change to net metering is warranted, I would ask the commission to include similar language APS used in their rate increase request:

"Existing solar customers will be grandfathered under the net metering rules in place at the time of their agreement. The rules would remain for 25 years and stay with the property even if the customer moves. Additionally, customers would be grandfathered under the current rules if their completed application for rooftop solar is received by UES by (date the commission approves the rate increase request) and installed within 180 days."

UES's effort to back date the grandfather clause to June 1, 2015 is unacceptable.

Please don't allow UES customers who installed rooftop solar with good intentions and reasonable expectations to be penalized by UES. I do not want to be caught in the middle of the battle that UES is waging against rooftop solar.

Respectfully

Monte McCue
815 Paso Drive
Lake Havasu City, AZ

Andrea Gaston

From: Kenneth Wilson <PhxWilsonKen@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2016 10:14 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Wilson

21065 N Circle Cliffs Dr
Surprise, AZ 85387

4802259348

Andrea Gaston

From: Charles Kelly <charleskelly8@msn.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2016 8:22 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Charles Kelly

7384 w. Timberleaf dr
tucson, AZ 85757

Andrea Gaston

From: Jerald Templeton <Sculptfingers@cox.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2016 4:44 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Jerald Templeton

12141 n 85th dr
Peoria, AZ 85345

6238152597