

ORIGINAL



0000170688

Memorandum
From the office of
Chairman Doug Little
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. WASHINGTON
PHOENIX, ARIZONA
(602) 542-0745

RECEIVED

2016 JUN -1 P 3:30

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

TO: Docket Control

DATE: June 1, 2016

FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office

SUBJECT: Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142

Chairman Little's office received 54 emails referencing, and in opposition of, the above docket number. These emails can be viewed with the above Docket Number either on the website via the eDocket link, or in Docket.

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

JUN - 1 2016

| | |
|-------------|--|
| DOCKETED BY | A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "DJL", written over a rectangular box. |
|-------------|--|

Andrea Gaston

From: Martin Strohmeyer <Mpstrohmeyer@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, May 30, 2016 8:56 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Martin Strohmeyer

4628 w Ellis st
Laveen, AZ 85339

Andrea Gaston

From: Lewis Mitchell <azyogi0129@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 30, 2016 9:56 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Lewis Mitchell

1408 E 27th St
Tucson, AZ 85713

(520)780-3596

Andrea Gaston

From: Stan Rylands <Stanry@live.com>
Sent: Monday, May 30, 2016 11:38 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Stan Rylands

8057 N. Painted Feather Dr

Andrea Gaston

From: Bruce Folkers <br.folkers@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 30, 2016 12:08 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

We need to make the fastest progress to natural energy sources, the fate of the Earth and all of our lives depend on it.

Sincerely,

Bruce Folkers

4800 W. de la Canoa Dr
Amado, AZ 85645

Andrea Gaston

From: nancy langan <taxigal1@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 30, 2016 3:04 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

nancy langan

2645 n. park ave
tucson, AZ 85719

5208868294

Andrea Gaston

From: Gerald Rittersdorf <Jaahritz@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 30, 2016 4:50 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please stop APS from killing rooftop solar by adding draconian fees and eliminating net metering. Studies like the Crossborder study and others prove the solar customers pay their fair share of grid maint. The issue is NOT about grid maint. But profit Sincerely Jerry Rittersdorf
30738 N. 126thave.
PEORIA, AZ

Sincerely,

Gerald Rittersdorf

30738 N 126th. Ave
Peoria, AZ 85383

Andrea Gaston

From: Tim Kaiser <Adpeddler46@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, May 30, 2016 7:38 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Tim Kaiser

1551 Conestoga Dr
Lake havasu city, AZ 86406

Andrea Gaston

From: D Wells <di_wells@q.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 1:02 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

D Wells

3242 W Mariposa St
Phoenix, AZ 85017

6025504104

Andrea Gaston

From: Merrill Edelstein <mneinsd1@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 7:04 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Merrill Edelstein

AZ 85388

Andrea Gaston

From: Mark Wyzenbeek <markwyz@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 9:53 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Mark Wyzenbeek

3408 W Laurel Ln
Phoenix, AZ 85029

Andrea Gaston

From: William Evans <pastorbillev@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2016 1:28 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

William Evans

4529 E Malvern St
Tucson, AZ 85711

520-270-9497

Andrea Gaston

From: M Milliner <beneped01@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2016 7:38 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

M Milliner

5624 N 4th Street
Phoenix, AZ 85012

Andrea Gaston

From: Richard Soltero <martosol@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2016 5:38 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Richard Soltero

3731 N 103rd Dr
Avondale, AZ 85392-4489

6024326127

Andrea Gaston

From: Mark Nelson <Mnelson921@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2016 7:10 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Mark Nelson

12303 N. EC

Andrea Gaston

From: Robert Biggs <pastorrb@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2016 8:20 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Robert Biggs

1445 Black Bear Dr
Cottonwood, AZ 86326

7022920439

Andrea Gaston

From: Steven Pock <bammers@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 4:16 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Steven Pock

16456 W Honeysuckle Dr
Surprise, AZ 85387

5178129511

Andrea Gaston

From: Amy Martin <Hugginsluvins@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 7:44 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Amy Martin

14642 W Lisbon Ln
Surprise, AZ 85379

775-513-5794

Andrea Gaston

From: Margaret Gallegos <lmisty3692@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 10:08 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Margaret Gallegos

15734 W Cottonwood St
Surprise, AZ 85374

Andrea Gaston

From: Thomas Smith <thomasearl2006@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 12:58 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Thomas Smith

3425 E. Mesquite Trl
Camp Verde, AZ 86322

Andrea Gaston

From: Erica Montgomery <Ericaanne54@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 3:32 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Erica Montgomery

AZ 85719

Andrea Gaston

From: Brian Whipple <b.whipple@icloud.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 2:08 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject Unisource's double assault on consumer choice. The demand charges are not the answer. The net metering is working in Arizona, represent the voice of the people, not of utility monopolies.

Sincerely,

Brian Whipple

17046 n 184th lane
Surprise, AZ 85374

6023160658

Andrea Gaston

From: Dennis Lloyd <dennis57lloyd@msn.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 5:14 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Dennis Lloyd

558 N Dijon Court
Tucson, AZ 85748

520

Andrea Gaston

From: Keith Hrabia <Mayor2020@verizon.net>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 5:58 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Keith Hrabia

14836 w alexandria way
Surprise, AZ 85379

6232158137

Andrea Gaston

From: Mel Tharp <Comfychick@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 9:22 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Mel Tharp

15436 N. 172ND Avenue
Surprise, AZ 85388

708-479-5697

Andrea Gaston

From: Don Hanson <donnlaurey@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2016 6:01 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Don Hanson

15411 W. Big Sky Dr
Surprise, AZ 85374

623-584-0485

Andrea Gaston

From: Starr Luteri-Hicks <Starrdark@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2016 6:56 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Starr Luteri-Hicks

12462 S. 175th Ave
Goodyear, AZ 85338

623-386-0556

Andrea Gaston

From: James Warden <Jwarden@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2016 11:20 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Should we go down this path, it will let the proverbial camels nose under the tent. What will prevent further fees? In addition, why are we penalizing those that choose to use a renewable power resource? In today's day and age of conservation we should be finding innovative ways to use this to our advantage, not come up with new ways to attach a fee to someone.

Sincerely,

James Warden

17687 w Mandalay Ln
Surprise, AZ 85388

9188152458

Andrea Gaston

From: Nina Pickett <ninapickett56@msn.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2016 12:34 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Nina Pickett

5748 w lupine ave
glendale, AZ 85304

6239995324

Andrea Gaston

From: Lyle Adams <Lyle.adams@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2016 1:34 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Lyle Adams

11829 N 103rd Ave
Sun City, AZ 85351

623-670-1872

Andrea Gaston

From: Suzanne Moody <suzannemoody@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2016 3:08 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Solar power is our choice and right!

Sincerely,

Suzanne Moody

17209 W Caribbean Ln
Surprise, AZ 85388

714-309-2722

Andrea Gaston

From: Patricia Flanders <Ppattyaz1@cox.net>
Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2016 6:50 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Patricia Flanders

5060 E. 1st Street
Tucson, AZ 85711

520-241-1023

Andrea Gaston

From: R Smedley <rmsmedley@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2016 7:58 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control. Besides we have had an ongoing program promoting solar for several YEARS, the sun is free thank you. Unisource and Walmart are greedy money grubbers.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

R Smedley

Mitchell St
Tucson, AZ 85719

520-370-2233

Andrea Gaston

From: D A Knox <Daknox@qwest.net>
Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2016 2:38 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

D A Knox

1205 e Palacio Dr
Phoenix, AZ 85014

602 7954201

Andrea Gaston

From: Greg Balensiefer <eyewatcher3@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2016 3:16 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Greg Balensiefer

2808 W. Rosewood drive
Chandler, AZ 85224

Andrea Gaston

From: Ron Peterson <ron_peterson5230@msn.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2016 5:02 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Ron Peterson

7758 west torngat ct
tucson, AZ 85743

520-999-1342

Andrea Gaston

From: Charlene Hart <charhartaz@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2016 6:02 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Charlene Hart

5815 S 42nd PL
5815 S 42nd PL
Phoenix, AZ 85040

6024371517

Andrea Gaston

From: David Kessler <dkessler4@cox.net>
Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2016 6:53 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

It is ludicrous that Arizona is not leading the solar energy revolution. Stop the greed and listen to the people who oppose this blatant power grab!

Sincerely,

David Kessler

19838 N18thLn
Phoenix, 85027

6234448080

Andrea Gaston

From: Dawn Yellott <Dawnyellott@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2016 9:13 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Dawn Yellott

1760 W. Copper Sky Dr
Oro Valley, AZ 85737

520-744-6662

Andrea Gaston

From: Nicholas Acciardo <nacciardo@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2016 9:59 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

We need to wake up !!! Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Acciardo

11243 So. Santa Margarita Lane
Goodyear, 85338

602-205-4451

Andrea Gaston

From: dan laetsch <arizdan7@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2016 11:44 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

dan laetsch

16705 s. wilmot rd
Sahuarita, AZ 85629

Andrea Gaston

From: John Windes <johnwindes@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 30, 2016 5:08 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's assault on consumers. Keep net metering and reject demand charges. Net metering is crucial to Arizona's solar industry and all of our future, which will require transition to less fossil fuel. Why would we do anything to reduce Arizona's competitive edge over other states that don't have the solar capability that we do. We need solar and solar needs net metering.

Sincerely,

John Windes

222 E Mountain Sunset Pl
Tucson, AZ 85704

Andrea Gaston

From: Kathleen Neighbors <Ktsn97@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 30, 2016 6:52 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Neighbors

4350 West Sungate Pl
Tucson, AZ 85742

Andrea Gaston

From: Megan Parr <Meganmnheaton@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 12:50 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Megan Parr

13534 N Manzanita Lane
Fountain Hills, AZ 85268

8012815617

Andrea Gaston

From: Claire Laskowski <servilan42@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 12:50 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Claire Laskowski

5205 W. Thinderbird Rd
Apt. 1052
Glendale, AZ 86306

602-978-5636

Andrea Gaston

From: Richard Wolf <rawolf21@cox.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2016 9:26 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Sincerely,

Richard Wolf

10810 N. 91st Ave. Lot# 1
Peoria, 85345

6025100610

Andrea Gaston

From: Paul Cragle <Paulcragle@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 9:02 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Paul Cragle

2872 w Palmetto st
Tucson, AZ 85705

5202481343

Andrea Gaston

From: Eugene Lewin <Lasparky11@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 8:38 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Eugene Lewin

6931 W Mayberry Trail
Peoria, AR 85383

Andrea Gaston

From: Andrew Murphy <babylon_horuv@lycos.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 8:08 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please don't destroy solar just as it is, starting to take off

Sincerely,

Andrew Murphy

5833 e eastland st
Tucson, AZ 85711

502 982 2447

Andrea Gaston

From: Scott Lannen <scottlanz@msn.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 7:52 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Scott Lannen

27607 N 63rd Dr
Phoenix, AZ 85083

Andrea Gaston

From: Gary Herzog <Gary.herzog@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, May 30, 2016 5:31 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I object to any changes that result in more charges than the existing net metering plan.

Sincerely,

Gary Herzog

2439 Clarke Drive
AZ 86403

Andrea Gaston

From: James Hays <Jamesrhays@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, May 30, 2016 9:35 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

James Hays

13025 W. Larkspur Rd
El Mirage, AZ 85335

5202215754

Andrea Gaston

From: Thomas Smith <thomasearl2006@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 10:10 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Thomas Smith

3425 E. Mesquite Trl
Camp Verde, AZ 86322

Andrea Gaston

From: Reinaldo Acevedo <reinaldonano@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 1:10 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Reinaldo Acevedo

17820 W Ventura St
85388
SURPRISE, AZ 85388

623 556 4105

Andrea Gaston

From: Sarah Hartley <sarah7hartley@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 01, 2016 12:16 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Sarah Hartley

52 S Calcite Dr
Tucson, AZ 85745

6025701151