

ORIGINAL



0000170630

RECEIVED

2016 MAY 27 A 11: 20

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL


Memorandum
From the office of
Chairman Doug Little
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. WASHINGTON
PHOENIX, ARIZONA
(602) 542-0745

TO: Docket Control
DATE: May 27, 2016
FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office
SUBJECT: Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAY 27 2016

DOCKETED BY	
-------------	---

Chairman Little's office received 20 emails in opposition to the above docket number. These emails can be viewed under the above Docket Number either in Docket, or on the website via the eDocket link.

Andrea Gaston

From: James Pattyn <Jparizona@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2016 5:56 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Sincerely,

James Pattyn

2434 E Flower St
Phoenix, 85016

6025584888

Andrea Gaston

From: Eric Cantor <norwegiansun@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2016 8:28 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Eric Cantor

16734 W Toronto Way
Goodyear, AZ 85338

Andrea Gaston

From: D Anthony Leiterman <Legolas2030@me.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2016 9:16 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

D Anthony Leiterman

14919 N 174th Lane
Surprise, AZ 85388

623-399-8156

Andrea Gaston

From: Robyn Ross <robyn_ross13@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 4:26 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Robyn Ross

16276 West Cactus Valley Ln
Surprise, AZ 85374

6237925968

Andrea Gaston

From: Edward Schieffer <edwardosart@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 6:40 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I oppose this unfair charges for solar users

Sincerely,

Edward Schieffer

3741 E Cody Ave
Gilbert, AZ 85234

480 821 9760

Andrea Gaston

From: Victoria Brickner <victoria@brickner.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 7:04 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Victoria Brickner

20763 N 56th Ave
Glendale, AZ 85308

6025880215

Andrea Gaston

From: Jennifer Hobeck <j4hobeck@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 8:04 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Hobeck

13625 N 149th Dr
Surprise, AZ 85379

3367459082

Andrea Gaston

From: Keith Keating <tkkeating@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 8:32 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Keith Keating

18155 W. Post Dr
Surprise, AZ 85388

314-596-7822

Andrea Gaston

From: Sandra Ainsworth <sandyainsw@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 8:38 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Sandra Ainsworth

2419 Lillie Ave
Kingman, AZ 86401

4807518059

Andrea Gaston

From: Michael Blutt <micdab@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2016 6:26 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Michael Blutt

4415 N 31st Drive
Phoenix, AZ 85017

6026775722

Andrea Gaston

From: Russell Ott <eye3d3@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2016 8:10 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Russell Ott

10040 S 46th st
Phoenix, AZ 85044

4802668197

Andrea Gaston

From: Adam Ray <adamraywho@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2016 11:16 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control. We need to be investing in our future by continuing to support renewable energy not corporate oligarchies. Net metering is a cornerstone for homeowners seeking renewable energy. How can we further drive down the costs of renewables without encouraging both individuals and corporations to purchase them? Or without stabilizing the rules people have come to expect? What other incentives do utilities have to continue expanding renewable energy once their legal targets are met? Fossil fuels are still cheaper currently, yet solar is on the verge of being cost competitive without subsidies. But without net metering how many can really live "off the grid"?

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Adam Ray

7808 West Sweetwater Ave
Peoria, AZ 85381

6232617679

Andrea Gaston

From: Tania Malven <Tmalven@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2016 11:26 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Demand charges are immoral, hitting the poor especially hard!!!! And any attempt to discourage solar is anti-conservation and greedy!!!!!!!!

Sincerely,

Tania Malven

2228 E Kleindale
Tucson, AZ

5207950281

Andrea Gaston

From: nancy testa <jntesta@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2016 12:14 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

nancy testa

4906 N 76th Place
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

480 219 3395

Andrea Gaston

From: Linda Redfield <redfield29@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2016 4:20 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Linda Redfield

501 Camino tunera
Sahuarita, AZ 85629

5203062049

Andrea Gaston

From: Robert Meling <melingrt@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2016 7:56 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I object to the proposals by UNS/Tucson Electric and other utilities to single out residential solar installations for increased network maintenance charges and demand-based energy charges.

I look forward to improvements in the reliability of TEP power. I am willing to help pay for infrastructure improvements that will reduce the number of outages I experience. The distribution grid should be maintained by everyone. Comparable small users with and without self generation capacity should pay the same unmetered base charge. Larger users that demand additional distribution infrastructure should likewise experience proportionately higher fees. Let's treat distribution as a separate entity that is self funded.

Likewise, I also have no objections to demand based charges that apply to all users. Such charges are a great solution to eliminate the need for building additional utility power generation facilities or peak market rates for purchased power. Such demand charges, if needed, should apply to all classes of customers in proportion to their impact on peak demand. Homes with self-generation capacity should be treated no differently than other homes that experience wide swings in demand.

With a shared objective of reducing stress on the utility's power generation infrastructure, perhaps all power should be charged and credited at time-of-use market rates.

Please reject the attacks on self generation. Develop a strategy to separately fund generation and distribution services, and strategically consider innovative ways to reduce dependence on carbon based fuels.

Sincerely,

Robert Meling

5455 N Park Ridge Pl
Tucson, AZ 85743

9138976971

Andrea Gaston

From: Maxine Krasnow <peacepotters@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2016 2:35 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Maxine Krasnow

2302 E Ft. Lowell
apt 2110
Tucson, AZ 85719

917 705 3803

Andrea Gaston

From: JoAnne Sandoval <whatacutie7@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 8:56 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

As a customer who has a hard time keeping a roof over the head of her family every month, to add to any more of the electricity rates would be extra difficult for us. Demand charges are most definitely not the answer, net metering is working here in Arizona and being a registered voter, I feel as though you work for us in this state. You should take our opinion not any of the utility monopolies.

Sincerely,

JoAnne Sandoval

15126 W Bola Dr
Surprise, AZ 85374

6232563872

Andrea Gaston

From: Ray Lyons <rmlyonsfamily@outlook.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 9:58 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Ray Lyons

15523 W Central Street
Surprise, AZ 85374

3365885565

Andrea Gaston

From: Maribel Feliciano <mfelic918@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2016 10:26 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Maribel Feliciano

17386 W Holland Ln
Surprise, AZ 85388

6236966892