

ORIGINAL



0000170603

**Memorandum**  
From the office of  
Chairman Doug Little  
Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 W. WASHINGTON  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA  
(602) 542-0745

RECEIVED

2016 MAY 26 P 3 43

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

TO: Docket Control

DATE: May 26, 2016

FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office

SUBJECT: Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAY 26 2016

DOCKETED BY

*KL*

---

Chairman Little's office received 27 emails in opposition to the above docket number. These emails can be viewed under the above Docket Number either in Docket, or on the website via the eDocket link.

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Nicholas Acciardo <nacciardo@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 26, 2016 10:38 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.  
Don't make this the Arizona way !!!

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Acciardo

11243 So. Santa Margarita Lane

602-205-4451

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Arthur Bimber <Artbmr@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 11:32 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Arthur Bimber

3318 N 108th Ln  
Avondale, AZ 85392

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Francis Lopez <Tucchico@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 3:38 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Francis Lopez

6681 s. Vereda de Las Casitas  
Tucson, AZ 85746

5202518937

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** stephen engelby <s\_engelby@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 3:26 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

stephen engelby

6811 w Del Rio st  
Chandler, AZ 85226

4809613323

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Laura Klass <mollyaz51@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 10:41 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Laura Klass

3301 W Calle Cereza  
Tucson, AZ 85741-2924

5205444048

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Nancy Crawford <njcrawford44@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 7:46 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

It is in our (everyone) best interest to use the sun and cut power usage where we can. Stop the money-grubbing tactics because people want to conserve energy!

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Nancy Crawford

17816 W. Addie Lne  
Surprise, AZ 85374

623-377-3364

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Claudia Brescia <bernardo443@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 8:17 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

As a senior citizen, on a fixed income, I oppose any demand tax that makes me watch at every minute when I use the electric, I am very frugal with my electric usage, but I also want to use it when I need it.

Solar has always been an option for me, as a clean, endless supply is available and will not pollute the Earth. I do not think that it is fair to penalize me because it may put a little less money in the investors pockets!

Sincerely,

Claudia Brescia

4255 E. Beaver Vista Rd  
Rimock, AZ 86335



**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Joseph Rudd <Joer31678@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 7:04 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

This is monopolistic and as anti-American as it gets. It is preventing an emerging market and blatantly favoring one corporation. As a registered voter, in a voting household, I am becoming more aware of what is going on in the local, state, and federal sphere, and I do not like it. The electric companies need to adapt to newer technologies, or compete with the emerging ones. If this goes through and allows another monopoly to tramp out competition, I will be taking note, and just will not be voting the ones in favor out, but the whole party. I may be but one independent vote, but if one person took the time to type this, then there are 100's of others thinking what I am. Enough is enough. People have more rights than corporations. People can vote. Your call. Take their money and lose the voters, or listen to reason and don't pass it. I doubt anybody will even read this, but I said it. Your move.

Sincerely,

Joseph Rudd

5332 e Taylor st apt 101  
Phoenix, AZ 85008

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Marsden Griswold <mgriswold@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 7:26 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Do not undermine solar energy. Stop ripping off the poor. Get your greed under control, our environment will punish you too.

Sincerely,

Marsden Griswold

444 West Orange Grove Rd. Apt. 916  
Tuscon, 85704

520 797 6855

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Douglas Flatley <dougflatley@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 26, 2016 10:02 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Douglas Flatley

1354 E Flower St  
Phoenix, 85014

6025956949

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Pamela Hart <Phart@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 26, 2016 8:08 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Pamela Hart

2132 w enfield way  
Chandler, AZ 85286

602-502-5523

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Jeff Hartig <Azliberal01@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 26, 2016 4:32 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Jeff Hartig

8225 n central  
#43  
Phoenix, AZ 85020

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** John Botsford <Botsfordjohn@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 26, 2016 4:56 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Do not penalize us for fighting global warming!

Sincerely,

John Botsford

9835 E Onza Ave  
Mesa, AZ 85212

6029206752

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Richard Stoker <Richardgstoker@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 26, 2016 1:14 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Richard Stoker

1030 w Bosch dr  
Green Valley, AZ 85614

520 4883711

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Leigh Jacobs <equinesrfun@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 11:14 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Leigh Jacobs

e skyward way  
tucson, AZ 85730



**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Ruth Danaher <ruthdanaher@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 11:14 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Ruth Danaher

3345 E. University Dr  
Mesa, AZ 85213

480-986-1076

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Shannon Spellman <vccss@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 10:08 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Here is Arizona there is absolutely no excuse for why this entire state is not run on solar. We have more than anybody else of the stuff. Affordable clean energy that protects the environment is more important than your own pocketbooks. Do the right thing.

Sincerely,

Shannon Spellman

4741 W Park View Lane  
Glendale, AZ 85310

000-000-0000

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Nina Allen <Nopalesnina@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 8:40 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Nina Allen

2460 E. Calle Sin Pecado  
Tucson, AZ 85718

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Eric Perry <eperry6632@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 7:20 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Eric Perry

2442 n van Buren ave  
Tucson, AZ 85712

5202757286

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Alfred Schimke <galactus6x@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 7:10 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Alfred Schimke

2825 E. Exeter St  
Tucson, AZ 85716

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** William Jones <Wnjones49@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 6:20 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

William Jones

8423 E Baker  
Tucson, AZ 85710

5208850373

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Doug May <Douglasmay@earthlink.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 5:14 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Doug May

CA 90275

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Keith Vincent <Kvincentaz@outlook.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 4:26 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Keith Vincent

Sedona, AZ 86336



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Nicholas Acciaro <nacciaro@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 4:10 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

This letter comes to your attention with regards to the recent "requests" by APS to force the MILLIONS of service customers to pay increased fees for power!

It is totally disgraceful that a company with such a forfeit of power, can turn their ability to make money into a crying game. Who gives APS so much power to stand off its customers? It is shameful and dishonest to complain day after day... I guess they aren't happy making there "so called" honest living?  
What about us...the honest customers? Who is/will stand up for our needs and rights? Hum ???

Nevertheless, I hope that APS doesn't get there way "again" and be coveted to a free money increase from the honest bill paying customers!

I hope that you will not permit APS to scam more hard earned money from there loyal and captive audience!

Sincerely,

Nicholas Acciaro

11243 So. Santa Margarita Lane  
Goodyear, AZ 85338

602-205-4451

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** James Lauver <xrayman@citlink.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 4:08 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

James Lauver

12619 Apache Pkwy  
PO box 148  
Topock, AZ 86436

9287278025

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** David Wilson <Djwilson99@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 26, 2016 12:14 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Living on a fixed income, I need to protect the investment I made to lower my power bill. Renewable energy is the way of the future. I should be compensated for the electricity I generate and send to the grid. My panels produce more cheaper and more efficiently than any other source. Power belongs to the people not the corporations.

Sincerely,

David Wilson

4015 N 150th Ln  
Goodyear, AZ 85395

310-418-9486

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Donna Russell <Dawnglow@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 26, 2016 11:08 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Donna Russell

3981 s holland rd  
Flagstaff, AZ 86005

9289130192