

ORIGINAL



0000170581

RECEIVED

2016 MAY 25 P 4: 11

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL


**Memorandum**  
From the office of  
**Chairman Doug Little**  
**Arizona Corporation Commission**  
1200 W. WASHINGTON  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA  
(602) 542-0745

TO: Docket Control  
DATE: May 25, 2016  
FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office  
SUBJECT: Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission

**DOCKETED**

MAY 25 2016

DOCKETED BY	
-------------	---

---

Chairman Little's office received 33 emails opposing the above docket number. These emails can be viewed under the above Docket Number either in Docket, or on the website via the eDocket link.

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Maureen Sutton <maureen@sanjevani.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 23, 2016 6:41 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Maureen Sutton

9901 Datura Trail NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87122

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Richard Basinger <basinger@citlink.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 11:20 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

To the Arizona Corporation Commission:

Rita and I have a 7 tracker solar system which covers our electric and puts some back in the system. We invested in solar for our home based on the representations and promises made by the AZ. CC, UniSource, and others promoting solar. It will take years, with no additional charges to recoup our investment!

The present "after the fact" requests of UniSource to hammer those with solar systems with additional charges, is a deceptive practice in violation of the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act A.R.S. Title 44, Chapter 10, Article 7.

Please deny the request of UniSource for demand charges or increased base fees on solar system customers!

Thank you.

Richard and Rita Basinger  
441 Astor Avenue  
Kingman, AZ 86409-3514  
928-692-4771

Sincerely,

Richard Basinger

441 Astor Avenue  
Kingman, AZ 86409

9286924771

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Roderic Wagoner <rod.wagoner@gainbroadband.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 1:02 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Roderic Wagoner

3632 N. Camino Blanco Pl  
Tucson, AZ 85718

520-979-1803

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Chris Wood <chrismwood720@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 12:50 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Chris Wood

7244 East Crimson Sky Trail  
Scottsdale, AZ 85262

4806520920

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Abrena Winston <Winab57@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 2:01 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Abrena Winston

249 E laurel Av  
Gilbert, AZ 85234

4802325363

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Jerry Mllis Sr <jerrymillissr@outlook.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 3:46 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Jerry Mllis Sr

3552 E Angela Dr  
Phoenix, AZ 85032

6027880519





## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Todd Grimm <Tlgrimm56@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 3:38 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please don't kill the solar business in Arizona, our investment in solar panels was based on TEP promise of net metering and rates and their goals of now having to built more fossil fuel burning plants.

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Todd Grimm

11780 n la tanya  
Oro valley, AZ 85737

5203430392



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Scotty Johnaon <Stewardtheearth@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 3:32 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Scotty Johnaon

707 s. Second avenue  
Tucson, AZ 85701

5209541848

1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET, PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-2927 / 400 WEST CONGRESS STREET, TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701  
WWW.AZCC.GOV

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** deborah meek <lakotalady122@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 12:47 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

deborah meek

170 seminole lane  
lake havasu city, AZ 86404

9284589898

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Roy Roberts <rr18574@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 23, 2016 6:08 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Solar is helping all sides at this time there is no need to change anything. I have solar and some months I do not use any energy from APS my electric company and I still pay in to the grid it is never just zero. I pay 24 dollars or more a month depending on the weather. Just leave things alone. The power companies should have been offering us solar long ago.

Sincerely,

Roy Roberts

4323 E Gatewood rd  
Phoenix

Sincerely,

Roy Roberts

4323 E Gatewood rd  
Phoenix, AZ 85050

602 663-4208

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Melvin Worters <mworters@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 4:52 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Melvin Worters

7107 E Jacob Ave  
Mesa, AZ 85209

602-418-1589

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Margitta Sanford <Gitta@2sunhomes.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 4:57 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Margitta Sanford

3640 N. Longwood Pl  
Tucson, AZ 85750

5207339502



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** kasey kelley <kasey@bignetworkit.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 4:58 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

kasey kelley

15595 west port au prince ln  
SURPRISE, AZ 85379

6232139776

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Bruce Folkers <br.folkers@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 5:14 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please do not obstruct home solar energy harvesting.

We need to use the power of the sun to replace the fossil fuel produced energy. In order to prevent further destruction of our environment (our world).

Sincerely,

Bruce Folkers

4800 W. de la Canoa Dr  
Amado, AZ 85645

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Jason Childress <Jchildress1@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 5:38 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jason Childress

17743 w evans dr  
Surprise, AZ 85388

6235333786

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Mary Roos <Roosterchick1@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 6:04 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Mary Roos

131 n

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Eugene Lewin <Lasparky11@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 6:14 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Eugene Lewin

6931 W Mayberry Trail  
Peoria, AR 85383

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Paul Zarchin <handsofhealth98@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 8:46 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice. As a solar owner this is an assault on our right to protect the environment. Here we do good for the environment and now we're being punished in the name of PROFITS! Stop this foolish bill.

Sincerely,

Paul Zarchin

12831 N. 29th St  
Phoenix, AZ 85032

(602) 505-0578



**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Gwen Waring <gwenwaring@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 9:38 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Gwen Waring

86001





## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Carmen Agostinelli <carmagostinelli@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 9:56 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Carmen Agostinelli

waddell, AZ



**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Judith Crim <drpepperjc@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 10:14 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Judith Crim

13249 West Keystone Drive  
Sun City West, AZ 85375

623-748-9745

1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET, PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-2927 / 400 WEST CONGRESS STREET, TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701  
WWW.AZCC.GOV

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** larry brewer <brew2@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 10:20 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

larry brewer

18078 w cardinal dr  
goodyear, AZ 85338

6233280209



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Darrell Kimes <Dwk10@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 2:34 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Darrell Kimes

13275 W Clarendon Ave  
Litchfield Park, AZ 85340

6239106119





## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Gwen Waring <gwenwaring@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 8:20 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Gwen Waring



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Mary Hirsch <sammylhc1@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 8:37 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Mary Hirsch

4285 E Wagon Wheel DR  
86404  
L.H.C., AZ 86404

1-928-764-3302



**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** j weir <weir@commspeed.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 8:50 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Net metering is working for me. No demand charges please.

Sincerely,

j weir

1336 Pioneer  
cottonwood, 86326

9286491463



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Jayne Stake <jayne\_stake@umsl.edu>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2016 4:10 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

The Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge your help in fostering the solar energy business in Arizona. As you must know, Arizona is uniquely situated to benefit from the development of solar energy because of the amount of sunlight that hits Arizona roofs. Recent proposals by Unisource, APS, and SRP would significantly damage this development. Thinking into the future, let's make Arizona the #1 state in the nation for solar. It's a win-win situation, bringing jobs to the state and relief from high energy bills to its residents.

And it is only through careful regulation of energy companies that such growth in the solar industry is possible. If APS and other companies are allowed to put heavy charges on solar customers, thereby enhancing their already large profits, you will see a decline in the industry overall.

Stand by solar!

Jayne Stake  
438 E Altadena Avenue  
Phoenix, AZ

Sincerely,

Jayne Stake  
4308 E. Altadena Ave  
Phoenix, AZ 85028

3142290537





**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Brandon Guerra <Theguerras15@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 9:59 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Brandon Guerra

7522 W Tierra Buena Ln  
Peoria, AZ 85382

5203059865

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Skylur Haggart <skylurs@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 10:17 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Skylur Haggart

19325 w Hopi Dr  
Casa Grande, AZ 85122

5204316302

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Russell Knox <Russty24@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 11:04 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Russell Knox

3043 n Jackson Ave  
Tucson, AZ 85719

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** ROBERT SCHWARTZ <cybridge@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 1:38 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I opposed Unisource's assault on rooftop solar energy. Net metering allows individual residents to properly benefit from their commitment to produce renewable energy from rooftop PV panels. Any discriminatory rating of residential rooftop solar and any reduction in the benefits of net metering will be harmful to Arizona.

Sincerely,

ROBERT SCHWARTZ

3455 N WINSLOW DR  
TUCSON, 85750

5204292727

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Jon Rhodes <jonrhodes1@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 1:16 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Jon Rhodes

5150 E. Hawthorne Pl  
Tucson, AZ 85711

520-235-5952

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Bruce Siler <Brucesiler@att.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2016 1:10 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Bruce Siler

17656 W Spring Ln  
Surprise, AZ 85388

805-471-0029