ORIGINAL



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COMMISSIONERS

ANDY TOBIN

DOUG LITTLE – Chairman BOB STUMP BOB BURNS TOM FORESE Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

MAY 2 3 2016

DOCKETED DY

2016 8 22 7 3: 00

. Modern Coveredion Toda no covered

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMMISSION'S INVESTIGATION OF VALUE AND COST OF DISTRIBUTED GENERATION.

DOCKET NO. E-00000J-14-0023

PROCEDURAL ORDER
APPROVING AMENDED
PROTECTIVE ORDER AND
MODIFYING PROCEDURAL
SCHEDULE

BY THE COMMISSION:

On December 3, 2013, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") issued Decision No. 74202 in Docket No. E-01345A-13-0248. As part of that Decision, the Commission ordered that a generic docket be opened on net metering ("NM") issues, and that workshops be held with all stakeholders to help inform future Commission policy on the value that distributed generation ("DG") installations bring to the grid.¹ As a result, this docket was opened.

On October 20, 2015, at its regularly scheduled Open Meeting, in the course of considering Docket No. E-01345A-13-0248,² the Commission ordered that an evidentiary hearing be held in this generic docket to include, in addition to the value and cost of DG, cost of service issues related to Arizona Public Service Company's ("APS's") provision of service to DG and non-DG customers.

Parties to this case include: The Alliance for Solar Choice ("TASC"), Clean Power Arizona, Freeport Minerals Corporation ("Freeport Minerals"), Arizonans for Electric Choice and Competition ("AECC"), Arizona Solar Deployment Alliance ("ASDA"), Vote Solar, Arizona Utility Ratepayer Alliance ("AURA"), Arizona Investment Council ("AIC"), the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO"), Grand Canyon State Electric Cooperative Association, Inc. ("GCSECA"), Arizona Competitive Power Alliance "(ACPA"), Western Resource Advocates ("WRA"), Ajo Improvement

¹ Decision No. 74202 at 30.

² In the matter of the application of Arizona Public Service Company for approval of net metering cost shift solution. The Commission closed Docket No. E-01345A-13-0248 by Decision No. 75290 (October 27, 2015).

Company ("Ajo"), Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. ("AEPCO"), APS, Columbus Electric 3 4 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Cooperative, Inc. ("CEC"), Dixie-Escalante Rural Electric Association, Inc. ("Dixie-Excalante"), Duncan Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("DVEC"), Garkane Energy Cooperative, Inc. ("Garkane"), Graham County Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("GCEC"), Mohave Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("MEC"), Morenci Water and Electric Company ("MWE"), Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("NEC"), Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("SSVEC"), Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("Trico"), Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP"), UNS Electric, Inc. ("UNSE"), Patricia Ferré, Nancy Baer, Arizona Solar Energy Industries Association ("ARISEIA"), Local Unions 387, 1116 and 769 of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, AFL-CIO ("IBEW"), Lewis M. Levenson, Susan Pitcairn, Richard Pitcairn, and the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff").

Numerous public comments have been filed.

The hearing on this matter commenced on April 18, 2016. All witnesses' prefiled direct testimony has been presented at hearing in accordance with the procedural schedule set by Procedural Order in this docket, and as modified during the course of the hearing.

During Staff's cross-examination of utility witnesses, Staff requested that APS, TEP, and UNSE provide further information regarding their utility scale solar renewable purchased power agreements ("PPAs") and utility owned utility scale solar renewable generation.

On April 22, 2016, as agreed during the hearing, Staff submitted its information requests in writing to APS, TEP, and UNSE. Staff issued the information requests to APS as Staff's Third Set of Data Requests, and to TEP and UNSE as Staff's Second Set of Data Requests (collectively, "Staff's Hearing Data Requests"). Staff's Third Set of Data Requests to APS was admitted into evidence as Exhibit S-4, and is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 for convenience of reference. Staff stated that the data requests were the same for all three utilities.

On May 6, 2016, the hearing on this matter was recessed until June 8, 2016 at 9:30 a.m. Prior to the recess, APS, TEP, and UNSE agreed to make witnesses available on that date for the sole purpose of providing testimony regarding the information to be provided in response to Staff's Hearing Data Requests. The other parties to this docket agreed that they could file written responses to the information to be provided in response to Staff's Hearing Data Requests, or alternatively, that they

would have an opportunity to present a witness to testify in response. The hearing date and due date for responses was set at June 13, 2016. The parties agreed that it was appropriate to set a briefing schedule, and briefing deadlines were set, with Initial Closing Briefs due on or before June 20, 2016, and Reply Closing Briefs due on or before July 8, 2016.

On May 6, 2016, as had been discussed during the hearing, APS filed a Form of Protective Order for the parties to utilize to facilitate the exchange of confidential information in response to Staff's Hearing Data Requests.

On May 10, 2016, the Hearing Division issued the Protective Order as filed on May 6, 2016.

On May 12, 2016, APS filed a Request to Amend Protective Order ("Request"). APS indicated that there were errors in the May 6, 2016 Form of Protective Order, which had been designed for the telecommunications industry, and requested the issuance of an amended Protective Order with changes making it more generally applicable. Both a redlined and a clean version of APS's proposed amended Form of Protective Order were attached to the Request. APS indicated that to avoid delay, it had begun providing documents under the Protective Order issued May 10, 2016. A copy of APS's amended Protective Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

On May 12, 2016, Staff filed a Motion for Procedural Order ("Motion"). The Motion requests that a Procedural Order be issued adding an additional hearing date to those dates set prior to the hearing recess on May 6, 2016 as follows:

June 8, 2016	Testimony by APS Witness on Responses to Staff's Third Set of Data Requests
June 9, 2016	Testimony by TEP and UNSE Witness on Responses to Staff's Second Set of Data Requests
June 13, 2016	Responsive oral testimony at hearing, or written responsive filings by Staff and other Parties due by 4:00 p.m.
June 20, 2016	Initial Closing Briefs due
July 8, 2016	Reply Closing Briefs due

Staff's Motion and APS's Request are reasonable and should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the procedural schedule for the continuation of the hearing

1	is hereby set as follows:								
2	June 8, 2016 Oral testimony by APS Witness on Responses to Staff's Third Set of Data Requests								
4	June 9, 2016	Oral testimony by TEP and UNSE Witness on Responses to Staff's Second Set of Data Requests							
5 6	June 13, 2016 Any responsive oral testimony at hearing to APS, TEP and UNSE oral testimony.								
7	Any written responsive filings by Staff and other Parties due on or before June 13, 2016, 4:00 p.m.								
8	June 20, 2016	Initial Closing Briefs due on or before June 20, 2016, 4:00 p.m.							
9	July 8, 2016	Reply Closing Briefs due on or before July 8, 2016, 4:00 p.m.							
11	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Protective Order issued in this docket on May 10, 2016,								
12	is hereby superseded by the Protective Order attached to this Procedural Order.								
13	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend, or								
14	waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at a								
15	hearing.								
16	DATED this day of May, 2016.								
17 18									
19	TEENA JIBILIAN ASSISTANT CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE								
20	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered								
21	this <u>13</u> rd day of May, 2016 to:								
22	Dillon Holmes CLEAN POWER ARIZONA								
23	9635 N. 7 th Street, #47520 Phoenix, AZ 85068								
24	dillon@cleanpoweraz.org Consented to Service by Email								
25 26	Garry D. Hays								
27	LAW OFFICES OF GARRY D. HAYS 2198 East Camelback Road, Suite 305	SPC							
28	Phoenix, AZ 85016 Attorney for Arizona Solar Deployment Alliance								

1	Patrick J. Black
2	FENNEMORE CRAIG, PC
	2394 East Camelback Road, Suite 600 Phoenix, AZ 85016-3429
3	Attorneys for Freeport Minerals and AECC
4	wcrocket@fclaw.com pblack@fclaw.com
5	Consented to Service by Email
6	Court S. Rich
_	ROSE LAW GROUP, PC
7	7144 E. Stetson Dr., Suite 300 Scottsdale, AZ 85251
8	Attorneys for The Alliance for Solar Choice
9	CRich@RoseLawGroup.com
	Consented to Service by Email
10	Richard C. Adkerson
11	Chief Executive Officer
12	AJO IMPROVEMENT COMPANY
	333 N. Central Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85004-2189
13	1 Hoemx, AZ 63004-2169
14	Timothy M. Hogan
15	ARIZONA CENTER FOR LAW IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST
13	514 W. Roosevelt St. Phoenix, AZ 85003
16	Attorneys for Vote Solar and Western Resource Advocates
17	thogan@aclpi.org
10	rick@votesolar.org briana@votesolar.org
18	ken.wilson@westernresources.org
19	cosuala@earthjustice.org
20	mhiatt@earthjustice.org
	Consented to Service by Email
21	Craig A. Marks
22	CRAIG A. MARKS, PLC
23	10645 N. Tatum Blvd., Suite 200-676 Phoenix, AZ 85028
ĺ	Attorney for Arizona Utility Ratepayer Alliance
24	<u>Craig.Marks@azbar.org</u>
25	Consented to Service by Email
26	•••
27	
	•••
28	

1	OSBORN MALEDON, PA
2	2929 N. Central Ave., Suite 2100
3	Phoenix, AZ 85012 AttorneyS for Arizona Investment Council
3	AttorneyS for Arizona Investment Council mgrabel@omlaw.com
4	gyaquinto@arizonaic.org
5	Consented to Service by Email
6	Daniel W. Pozefsky
7	RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE 1110 W. Washington, Suite 220
	Phoenix, AZ 85007
8	dpozefsky@azruco.gov
9	Consented to Service by Email
10	Lewis M. Levenson
11	1308 East Cedar Lane Payson, AZ 85541
12	Jennifer Cranston
13	GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, PA 2575 E. Camelback Rd., Suite 1100
14	Phoenix, AZ 85016
15	Attorneys for Grand Canyon State Electric Cooperative Association, Inc. jennifer.cranston@gknet.com
16	Consented to Service by Email for Grand Canyon State Electric Cooperative Association, Inc.
	Also Attorney for Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. and Dixie Escalante Rural Electric
17	Association, Inc., who have not consented to Email service
18	Michael W. Patten
19	Timothy J. Sabo Jason D. Gellman
20	SNELL & WILMER, LLP
	One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren St., Suite 1900
21	Phoenix, AZ 85004
22	Attorneys for Ajo Improvement Company, Morenci Water and Floatric Company, Trico Floatric Company
23	Morenci Water and Electric Company, Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc., Tucson Electric Power Company, and UNS Electric, Inc.
24	Gary Pierson
25	ARIZONA ELECTRIC POWER COOPERATIVE, INC.
26	PO BOX 670 1000 S. Highway 80
	Benson, AZ 85602
27	•••
28	

1	Thomas A. Loquvam Thomas L. Mumaw
2	Melissa M. Krueger
3	PINNACLE WEST CAPITAL CORPORATION PO BOX 53999, MS 8695
3	Phoenix, AZ 85072
4	Attorneys for Arizona Public Service Company
5	Thomas.loquvam@pinnaclewest.com Consented to Service by Email
6	Charles Kartela Co. 1 C. 1
7	Charles Kretek, General Counsel COLUMBUS ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.
8	PO Box 631 Deming, NM 88031
9	LaDel Laub, President and CEO
10	DIXIE ESCALANTE RURAL ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION, INC. 71 East Highway 56
11	Beryl UT 84714
12	Nancy Baer
13	245 San Patricio Drive Sedona, AZ 86336
14	
15	Steven Lunt Chief Executive Officer
16	DUNCAN VALLEY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. 379597 AZ 75
17	PO Box 440 Duncan, AZ 85534
18	Dan McClendon
19	Marcus Lewis
20	GARKANE ENERGY COOPERATIVE, INC. PO Box 465
21	Loa, UT 84747
22	William P. Sullivan LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM P. SULLIVAN, PLLC
23	501 East Thomas Road Phoenix, AZ 85012-3205
24	Attorneys for Garkane Energy Cooperative, Inc., Mohave Electric Cooperative, Inc. and
25	Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc.
26	Than W. Ashby, Office Manager GRAHAM COUNTY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.
27	9 W. Center St. PO Drawer B
28	Pima, AZ 85543

1 2	Tyler Carlson, CEO Peggy Gillman, Manager of Public Affairs MOHAVE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.
3	PO Box 1045 Bullhead City, AZ 86430
4	Roy Archer, President MORENCI WATER AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, and
5	AJO IMPROVEMENT COMPANY Po Box 68
6	Morenci, AZ 85540
7	Charles R. Moore
8	Paul O'Dair NAVOPACHE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.
9	1878 West White Mountain Blvd. Lakeside, AZ 85929
10	Vincent Nitido CEO/Gonoral Managar
11	Vincent Nitido, CEO/General Manager TRICO ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. 8600 West Tangerine Road
12	Marana, AZ 85658
13	Patricia Ferré
14	P.O. Box 433 Payson, AZ 85547
15	
16	Jeffrey W. Crockett CROCKETT LAW GROUP, PLLC
17	2198 E. Camelback Rd., Suite 305 Phoenix, AZ 85016-4747
18	Attorney for Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.
19	jeff@jeffcrockettlaw.com kchapman@ssvec.com
20	jblair@ssvec.com Consented to Service by Email
21	Bradley S. Carroll
22	TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY PO Box 711
23	Tucson, AZ 85701-0711
24	mpatten@swlaw.com BCarroll@tep.com
25	docket@swlaw.com
26	Consented to Service by Email
27	Susan H. Pitcairn, MS Richard H. Pitcairn, PhD, DVM
	1865 Gun Fury Road
28	Sedona, AZ 86336

1	David G. Hutchens, President						
1	Kevin P. Larson, Director						
2	UNS ELECTRIC, INC.						
3	88 E. Broadway Blvd., MS HQE901 PO Box 711						
3	Tucson, AZ 85701-0711						
4	1 desoit, AZ 63/01-0/11						
5	Tom Harris, Chairman ARIZONA SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION						
6	2122 W. Lone Cactus Dr., Suite 2						
7	Phoenix, AZ 85027 Tom.Harris@AriSEIA.org						
	Consented to Service by Email						
8							
9	Nicholas J. Enoch						
	LUBIN & ENOCH, P.C.						
10	349 North Fourth Avenue						
11	Phoenix, AZ 85003 Attorneys for IBEW Locals 387, 1116, & 769						
	7 moneys for fibe w Locals 367, 1110, & 709						
12	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel						
13	Legal Division						
	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION						
14	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007						
15	tford@azec.gov						
	rlloyd@azec.gov						
16	tbroderick@azcc.gov						
17	mlaudone@azcc.gov						
	mscott@azcc.gov						
18	Consented to Service by Email						
19							
20	By: Pallman						
20	Rebecca Tallman						
21	Assistant to Teena Jibilian						
22							
23							
24							
25							

EXHIBIT 1

COMMISSIONERS
DOUG LITTLE - Chairman
BOB STUMP
BOB BURNS
TOM FORESE
ANDY TOBIN





ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

April 22, 2016

Thomas A. Loquvam
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation
P.O. Box 53999, MS 8695
Phoenix, Arizona 85072-3999

Via E-mail and United States Mail thomas.loquvam@pinnaclewest.com

Re:

Staff's Third Set of Data Requests to Arizona Public Service Company

Docket No. E-00000J-14-0023

Dear Mr. Loquvam:

Please treat this as Staff's **Third** Set of Data Requests to Arizona Public Service Company in the above matter.

For purposes of this data request set, the words "Arizona Public Service Company," "Company," "you," and "your" refer to Arizona Public Service Company and any representative, including every person and/or entity acting with, under the control of, or on behalf of Arizona Public Service Company. For each answer, please identify by name, title, and address each person providing information that forms the basis for the response provided.

These data requests are continuing, and your answers or any documents supplied in response to these data requests should be supplemented with any additional information or documents that come to your attention after you have provided your initial responses.

Please respond within FIVE (5) calendar days of your receipt of the copy of this letter. However, if you require additional time, please let us know.

Please provide one hard copy as well as <u>searchable</u> PDF, DOC or EXCEL files (via email or electronic media) of the requested data directly to each of the following addressees via overnight delivery services to:

- (1) Tom Broderick, Director, Utilities Division, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, tbroderick@azcc.gov.
- (2) Constance Fitzsimmons, Legal Division, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, cfitzsimmons@azcc.gov.

Sincerely,

Maureen A. Scott, Senior Staff Counsel

Matthew Laudone Legal Division

(602) 542-3402

MAS;ML:klc:mam Enclosure

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION STAFF'S THIRD SET OF DATA REQUESTS TO ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY DOCKET NO. E-00000J-14-0023 APRIL 22, 2016

Subject: All information responses should ONLY be provided in <u>searchable PDF</u>, DOC or EXCEL files via email or electronic media.

- ***For all data requests for which you do not have the information requested, please state such and skip to the next data request. Also, for responses to data requests that may be voluminous or overly burdensome, please contact Tom Broderick at 602-542-4251 to discuss.
- STF 3.1 Please provide information on all utility-scale solar renewable PPAs, with an effective date on or after January 1, 2008, including:
 - a. The effective date
 - b. Term of the PPA
 - c. Actual price per kWh to the utility and any other charges, by year for the life of the contract, and
 - **d.** Type(s) of renewable technology for each PPA.
 - e. Please also provide a copy of each PPA, including term sheet.
- STF 3.2 Please provide the following information on any utility-scale solar renewable generation built and owned by the utility with a date construction began after January 1, 2008, including:
 - a. Date construction began
 - b. Date the facility began generating electricity
 - c. Life expectancy of facility
 - **d.** Type(s) of renewable technology at each facility
 - e. Total revenue requirement resulting from each facility by year for depreciable life
 - f. Total cost of the facility, and
 - g. The cost per kWh generated over the life of the facility.

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION STAFF'S THIRD SET OF DATA REQUESTS TO ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY DOCKET NO. E-00000J-14-0023 APRIL 22, 2016

Subject: All information responses should ONLY be provided in <u>searchable PDF</u>, DOC or EXCEL files via email or electronic media.

- ***For all data requests for which you do not have the information requested, please state such and skip to the next data request. Also, for responses to data requests that may be voluminous or overly burdensome, please contact Tom Broderick at 602-542-4251 to discuss.
 - h. If the utility contracted with a developer to build the facility and the utility subsequently bought the plant, please provide a copy of the relevant contracts.
- STF 3. 3 Please explain the decision criteria you have and will rely upon for deciding whether to rely on a PPA or utility ownership for utility scale solar. If one decision criteria includes cost comparisons, please provide an explanation, formula and example of comparison between PPA and utility ownership. If that formula is from a perspective other than the customers' revenue requirements, please explain why.
- Given the utilities' support for reliance upon PPA data for utility scale solar as a basis for pricing export for rooftop DG solar, please explain whether the utility is willing to apply the same criteria to its utility ownership decision process for utility scale solar. If not, why not apply the same criteria? Is the utility supportive of applying this criteria uniformly if the PPA concept is embraced as the benchmark for solar evaluation?
- STF 3. 5 As regards the specific points of comparison between PPA's and utility ownership of utility scale solar which do or may lead to differences in cost comparisons, please address:

(To the extent these questions are general, please answer from the perspective of your utility's actual experience and practices.)

- Any differences in amount and timing of revenue requirements to customers given PPA's recovery in PPFAC's and utility ownership following a revenue requirements formula? For the same resource, is the PPA less costly initially due to typical reliance upon levelized pricing; whereas utility ownership prices are initially higher and subsequently lower under the revenue requirements formula?
- b) Please explain the differences between tax efficiency of utilization of related investment tax credits between PPA vendors and utility ownership?

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION STAFF'S THIRD SET OF DATA REQUESTS TO ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY DOCKET NO. E-00000J-14-0023 APRIL 22, 2016

Subject: All information responses should ONLY be provided in <u>searchable PDF</u>, DOC or EXCEL files via email or electronic media.

- ***For all data requests for which you do not have the information requested, please state such and skip to the next data request. Also, for responses to data requests that may be voluminous or overly burdensome, please contact Tom Broderick at 602-542-4251 to discuss.
 - Please explain the differences between treatment of cost overruns, if any, between PPA's and utility ownership? Are PPA's typically not compensated for actual costs in excess of contract, but utilities typically request recovery of costs over budget in rate cases? Do utilities support limiting cost recovery in rate cases to budgets?
 - d) Please explain differences between duration of PPA's versus useful life depreciation for owned assets? Are PPA's typically for less than the useful asset life such that vendors do not include all costs in the initial contract but plan on cost recovery in follow-on contracts?
 - e) Please explain whether the procurement of panels from suppliers is different in PPA's versus utility ownership? Are PPA's typically sourced from vendors purchasing solar panels in high volumes at greater economies of scale than from a utility?
 - f) To the extent available and for each existing utility owned utility scale solar, please provide the contemporaneous comparable PPA benchmarks known to the utility.
- To the extent that cost differences exist between PPA's and utility ownership of utility scale solar, should the formula for export pricing of rooftop DG solar, be based on a combination of the costs to customers of PPA's and utility ownership and not solely on PPA's? Why not? Could that formula be based on a weighted average of the percentage of your utilities reliance upon PPA or ownership either historically or as per IRP or both?

EXHIBIT 2

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

3 DOUG LITTLE - Chairman
 BOB STUMP
 BOB BURNS
 TOM FORESE
 ANDY TOBIN

6

7

8

1

2

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMMISSION'S INVESTIGATION OF VALUE AND COST OF DISTRIBUTED GENERATION.

DOCKET NO. E-00000J-14-0023

PROTECTIVE ORDER

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The Arizona Corporation Commission Staff ("Staff") has requested access to certain documents, data, studies, and other materials, some of which may be of a proprietary, confidential or legally protected nature ("Confidential Information").

In order to expedite the exchange of information between Staff and the Parties to this matter, the Parties agree as follows:

1. (a) Confidential Information. All documents, data, studies and other materials furnished pursuant to any requests for information, subpoenas or other modes of discovery (formal or informal), and including depositions, and other requests for information, that are claimed to be proprietary or confidential (herein referred to as "Confidential Information"), shall be so marked by the providing party by stamping the same with a "Confidential" designation. Confidential Information provided in a computer-readable data file shall be so-labeled on the face of any disk containing the file and in any e-mail transmitting the file, and the data file itself shall be identified in a conspicuous manner as containing "Confidential Information" to the extent reasonably practicable. Moreover, to the extent responsive materials contain personally identifiable information about individual customers, that information shall be redacted from the materials. In addition, all notes or other materials that refer to, derive from, or otherwise contain parts of the Confidential Information will be marked by the receiving party as Confidential Information. Access to and review of Confidential Information shall be strictly controlled by the terms of this Order.

28

(b)

or who are afforded access to any Confidential Information by reason of this Order shall neither use nor disclose the Confidential Information for purposes of business or competition, or any purpose other than the purpose of preparation for and conduct of proceedings in the above-captioned docket and all subsequent appeals, and shall keep the Confidential Information secure as confidential or

proprietary information and in accordance with the purposes, intent and requirements of this Order.

Use of Confidential Information. All persons who may be entitled to review.

- (c) <u>Persons Entitled to Review.</u> Each party that receives Confidential Information pursuant to this Order must limit access to such Confidential Information to (1) attorneys employed or retained by the party in the proceedings and the attorneys' staff; (2) experts, consultants and advisors including in-house employees who need access to the material to assist the party in the proceedings; (3) employees of the party who are directly involved in the proceedings, provided that counsel for the party represents that no such employee is engaged in the sale or marketing of that party's products or services.
- (d) <u>Nondisclosure Agreement.</u> Any party, person, or entity that receives Confidential Information pursuant to this Order shall not disclose such Confidential Information to any person, except persons who are described in section 1(c) above and who have signed a nondisclosure agreement in the form which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "A." Court reporters shall also be required to sign an Exhibit "A" and comply with the terms of this Order. Commissioners, Administrative Law Judges, and their respective staff members are not required to sign the Exhibit "A" form.

The nondisclosure agreement (Exhibit "A") shall require the person(s) to whom disclosure is to be made to read a copy of the Protective Order and to certify in writing that they have reviewed the same and have consented to be bound by its terms. The agreement shall contain the signatory's full name, employer, job title and job description, business address and the name of the party with whom the signatory is associated. Such agreement shall be delivered to counsel for the providing party before disclosure is made, and if no objection thereto is registered to the Commission within two (2) business days, then disclosure shall follow. An attorney who makes Confidential Information available to any person listed in subsection (c) above shall be responsible for having each such person

execute an original of Exhibit "A" and a copy of all such signed Exhibit "A"s shall be circulated to all other counsel of record promptly after execution.

- 2. (a) Notes. Limited notes regarding Confidential Information may be taken by counsel and experts for the express purpose of preparing pleadings, cross-examinations, briefs, motions and argument in connection with this proceeding, or in the case of persons designated in section 1(c) of this Protective Order, to prepare for participation in this proceeding. Such notes shall then be treated as Confidential Information for purposes of this Order, and shall be destroyed after the final settlement or conclusion of the proceedings in accordance with subsection 2(b) below.
- **(b)** Return. All notes, to the extent they contain Confidential Information and are protected by the attorney-client privilege or the work product doctrine, shall be destroyed after the final settlement or conclusion of the proceedings. The party destroying such Confidential Information shall advise the providing party of that fact within a reasonable time from the date of destruction.
- **3.** <u>Highly Confidential Information.</u> Any person, whether a party or non-party, may designate certain competitively sensitive Confidential Information as "Highly Confidential Information" if it determines in good faith that it would be competitively disadvantaged by the disclosure of such information to its competitors. Highly Confidential Information includes, but is not limited to, documents, pleadings, briefs and appropriate portions of deposition transcripts, which contain information that is protected by a pre-existing confidentiality agreement with a third party or could otherwise be used to obtain a competitive market advantage.

Parties must scrutinize carefully responsive documents and information and limit their designations as Highly Confidential Information to information that is directly covered by a pre-existing confidentiality agreement or otherwise truly might impose a serious business risk if disseminated without the heightened protections provided in this section. The first page and individual pages of a document determined in good faith to include Highly Confidential Information must be marked by a stamp that reads:

3 4

5 6

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

"HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL"

Placing a "Highly Confidential" stamp on the first page of a document indicates only that one or more pages contain Highly Confidential Information and will not serve to protect the entire contents of a multi-page document. Each page that contains Highly Confidential Information must be marked separately to indicate Highly Confidential Information, even where that information has been redacted. The unredacted paper versions of each page containing Highly Confidential Information, and provided under seal, should be submitted on paper distinct in color from non-confidential information and "Confidential Information" described in Section 1 of this Protective Order. Highly Confidential Information provided in a computer-readable data file shall be so-labeled on the face of any disk containing the file and in any e-mail transmitting the file, and the data file itself shall be identified in a conspicuous manner as containing "Highly Confidential Information" to the extent reasonably practicable.

Parties seeking disclosure of Highly Confidential Information must designate the person(s) to whom they would like the Highly Confidential Information disclosed in advance of disclosure by the providing party. Such designation may occur through the submission of Exhibit "B" of the nondisclosure agreement identified in Section 1(d). Parties seeking disclosure of Highly Confidential Information shall not designate more than: (1) a reasonable number of in-house attorneys who have direct responsibility for matters relating to Highly Confidential Information; (2) a reasonable number of in-house experts and employees who need access to the material to assist the party in the proceedings; and (3) a reasonable number of outside counsel and outside experts to review materials marked as "Highly Confidential." The Exhibit "B" also shall describe in detail the job duties or responsibilities of the person being designated to see Highly Confidential Information and the person's role in the proceeding. Highly Confidential Information may not be disclosed to persons engaged in the sale or marketing of products or services on behalf of any party.

Any party providing either Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information may object to the designation of any individual as a person who may review Confidential Information and/or Highly Confidential Information. Such objection shall be made in writing to counsel submitting the challenged individual's Exhibit "A" or "B". Any such objection must demonstrate

1 go 2 H 3 bu 4 ol 5 C 6 C

good cause to exclude the challenged individual from the review of the Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information. Written response to any objection shall be made within two (2) business days after receipt of an objection. If, after receiving a written response to a party's objection, the objecting party still objects to disclosure of either Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information to the challenged individual, the Commission shall determine whether Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information must be disclosed to the challenged individual.

Copies of Highly Confidential Information may be provided to the in-house attorneys, in-house experts, outside counsel and outside experts who have signed Exhibit "B".

Persons authorized to review the Highly Confidential Information will maintain the documents and any notes reflecting their contents in a secure location to which only designated counsel and experts have access. No additional copies will be made, except for use during hearings and then such disclosure and copies shall be subject to the provisions of Section 5. Any testimony or exhibits prepared that reflect Highly Confidential Information must be maintained in the secure location until removed to the hearing room for production under seal. Unless specifically addressed in this section, all other sections of this Protective Order applicable to Confidential Information also apply to Highly Confidential Information.

- 4. <u>Objections to Admissibility.</u> The furnishing of any document, data, study or other materials pursuant to this Protective Order shall in no way limit the right of the providing party to object to its relevance or admissibility in proceedings before this Commission.
- Disclosure of Information to the Public. The Confidential Information provided pursuant to this Order shall not be disclosed, nor shall it be made a part of the public record in this docket, or in any other administrative or legal proceeding unless: Staff provides Company five (5) business days written notice that information designated by Company as Confidential Information shall be subject to disclosure as a public record. Upon the expiration of five (5) business days from the date written notice is received by Company, any Confidential Information identified in the notice as subject to disclosure shall become part of the public record in this docket, unless Company initiates a protective proceeding under the terms of this Order.

- 6. Protective Proceedings to Prevent Disclosure to the Public. In the event that Company seeks to prevent public disclosure of Confidential Information pursuant to Paragraph 7 above, Company shall file within five (5) business days of receipt of Staff's written notice, a motion presenting the specific grounds upon which it claims that the Confidential Information should not be disclosed or should not be made a part of the public record. Staff shall have an opportunity to respond to the motion. Company's motion may be ruled upon by either the Commission or an assigned Commission Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"). Company may provide to the Commission or the ALJ, the Confidential Information referenced in the motion without waiving that the information should remain confidential under the terms of this Order. Any Confidential Information so provided shall be kept under seal for the purpose of permitting inspection by the Commission or the ALJ prior to ruling on the motion.
- 7. Judicial Proceedings Related to NonParty's Request for Disclosure. Where the Commission, ALJ or Staff determine that disclosure is not appropriate, in any judicial action against the Commission and/or Commissioners by the party seeking disclosure of the information, unless specifically named, Company as the real party in interest, shall join in the action as a co-defendant. Company also agrees to indemnify and hold the Commission harmless from any assessment of expenses, attorneys' fees or damages under A.R.S. § 39-121.02 or any other law, resulting from denial of access by the Commission to the information, data, records or study subsequently found to be non-confidential.

In the event that the Commission becomes legally compelled (by deposition, interrogatory, request for documents, subpoena, civil investigative demand or similar process) to disclose any of the Confidential Information, the Commission shall provide Company with prompt written notice of such requirement so that Company may seek an appropriate remedy and/or waive compliance. Company agrees that upon receipt of such notice, Company will either undertake to oppose disclosure of the Confidential Information or waive compliance with this Order. In the event that disclosure of the Confidential Information is ordered, the Commission agrees to furnish only that portion of the Confidential Information that is legally required.

in an in camera hearing, and shall be marked and treated as provided herein.

Access to Record. Access to sealed testimony, records and information shall

be limited to the Administrative Law Judge, Commissioners, and their respective staffs, and persons

who are entitled to review Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information pursuant to

25

26

27

28

(d)

--

Subsection 1 (c) above and have signed an Exhibit "A" or "B", unless such information is released from the restrictions of this Order either through agreement of the parties or after notice to the parties and hearing, pursuant to the ruling of a Administrative Law Judge, the order of the Commission and/or final order of a court having final jurisdiction.

- (e) <u>Appeal/Subsequent Proceedings.</u> Sealed portions of the record in the proceedings may be forwarded to any court of competent jurisdiction for purposes of an appeal, but under seal as designated herein for the information and use of the court. If a portion of the record is forwarded to a court, the providing party shall be notified which portion of the sealed record has been designated by the appealing party as necessary to the record on appeal.
- (f) Return. Unless otherwise ordered, Confidential Information and Highly Confidential Information, including transcripts of any depositions to which a claim of confidentiality is made, shall remain under seal, shall continue to be subject to the protective requirements of this Order, and shall, at the providing party's discretion, be returned to counsel for the providing party, or destroyed by the receiving party, within thirty (30) days after final settlement or conclusion of the proceedings. If the providing party elects to have Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information destroyed rather than returned, counsel for the receiving party shall verify in writing that the material has in fact been destroyed.
- 9. <u>Use in Pleadings.</u> Where references to Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information in the sealed record or with the providing party is required in pleadings, briefs, arguments or motions (except as provided in Section 6), it shall be by citation of title or exhibit number or some other description that will not disclose the substantive Confidential Information or High Confidential Information contained therein. Any use of or substantive references to Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information shall be placed in a separate section of the pleading or brief and submitted to the Administrative Law Judge or the Commission under seal. This sealed section shall be served only on counsel of record and parties of record who have signed the nondisclosure agreement set forth in Exhibit "A" or "B". All of the restrictions afforded by this Order apply to materials prepared and distributed under this section.

- 10. <u>Summary of Record.</u> If deemed necessary by the Commission, the providing party shall prepare a written summary of the Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information referred to be placed on the public record.
- 11. <u>No Admission of Privileged or Confidential Status</u>. By agreeing to this Order, neither Utilities Division Staff nor any Party is admitting or agreeing that any of the materials or communications designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" Information are, either in fact or as a matter of law, a trade secret or of a proprietary, confidential or legally protected nature.
- 12. <u>Breach of Order.</u> Any Party, in any legal action or complaint it files in any court alleging breach of this Order shall, at the written request of the Commission, name the Arizona Corporation Commission as a Defendant therein.
- 13. <u>Non-Termination</u>. The provisions of this Order shall not terminate at the conclusion of this proceeding.

ORDERED this _____ day of May, 2016.

TEENA JIBILIAN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

EXHIBIT "A"

NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT

2				110112		-				
3	I ha	ve rea	ad the f	oregoing Protective	Order dated	*		_, 2016	5, in <u>IN 7</u>	THE
4	MATTER	<u>OF</u>	THE	COMMISSION'S	INVESTIGATION	OF	VALUE	AND	COST	<u>OF</u>
5	DISTRIBU	<u> TED</u>	GENE	ERATION Docket N	o. E-00000J-14-0023	and a	agree to be	bound	by the to	erms
6	and conditi	ons o	f such (Order.	÷					
7										
8					Name	,				•
9										
10				•	Signature					=
11										
12					Employer or Firm					-
13										
14					Business Address					•
15				•						
16					Position or relationship wi	ith the	Party			•
17										
18					Date					-

EXHIBIT'B"

2				NONDISC	LOSURE AGREEVIEN	NI.					
3	I have read the foregoing Protective Order dated						, 2016, in <u>IN THE</u>				
4	<u>MATTER</u>	OF	THE	COMMISSION'S	INVESTIGATION	<u>OF</u>	VALUE	AND	COST	<u>OF</u>	
5	DISTRIBU	JTED	GENE	ERATION Docket N	o. E-00000J-14-0023	and	agree to be	bound	by the t	erms	
6	and conditi	ions o	f such (Order.							
7											
8					Name					-	
9											
0					Signature					-	
1											
12					Employer or Firm	····				-	
13											
14					Business Address	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				_	
15											
16					Position or relationship w	ith the	Party			-	
17											
18					Date						
19											
20											
21											
22								•			
23											
24											
25											