

ORIGINAL



0000170511

**Memorandum**

**From the office of  
Chairman Doug Little  
Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 W. WASHINGTON  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA  
(602) 542-0745**


RECEIVED  
AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

2016 MAY 20 PM 2 10

TO: Docket Control  
DATE: May 20, 2016  
FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office  
SUBJECT: Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission  
**DOCKETED**

MAY 20 2016



---

Chairman Little's office received 33 emails opposing the above docket number. These emails can be viewed under the above Docket Number either in Docket, or on the website via the eDocket link.

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Jean Burdo <jeaburdo@cableone.net>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 20, 2016 8:55 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Jean Burdo

4584 S. Loma Dr  
Prescott, AZ 86303

9284997161

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Thomas Bone <tbsteakman@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 20, 2016 7:38 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Thomas Bone

3947 East Harmony Avenue  
Mesa, AZ 85206

602-790-0257

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Walter Eggers <weggers1@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 20, 2016 6:43 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Walter Eggers

3747 E. Oasis Circle  
Mesa, AZ 85215

480-390-3575

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Dan & Patty Chance <dchance4@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 20, 2016 6:28 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Dan & Patty Chance

14131 E Lost Summit Pl

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** John Spall <b-boppn57@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 20, 2016 1:14 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

John Spall

13810 N39th LN  
Phoenix, AZ 85053

6029382519

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Stan Kingman <dragonfiretree@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 10:40 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Dear Commissioners,

It is quite clear the Commission is in a deep quagmire of legal problems already. Any moves to allow either demand metering or to change net metering will only serve to exacerbate your legal troubles.

The time is well at hand to start serving the People you are mandated by law to serve. And start regulating Utilities that are fortunate enough to have a monopolized position in the market place.

By all means put an end to any possibility of changing the net metering policy or, heaven forbid, the implementation of demand metering.

Respectfully,

Stan Kingman  
P. O. Box 1168  
Sedona, AZ 86339

Sincerely,

Stan Kingman

P.O. Box 1168  
Sedona, AZ 86339

928-266-6748

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Barry Thieman <slednut@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 9:56 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Barry Thieman

755 Sand Dab Dr  
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86404

928-680-4468



**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Rosario Aguilar <la1rosie410@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 9:49 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Rosario Aguilar

2226 S. McFee Ave  
Tucson, AZ 85713

5204612129

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Jolene Pierson <jpierson@commspeed.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 9:10 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Jolene Pierson

3330 Chimney Rock Lane  
Sedona, AZ 86336

928-282-7999

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Doug Ayers <drdouglassa@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 8:59 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong! At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Doug Ayers

Tucson, AZ 85743

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Joe Fleischmann <Jflash04@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 8:22 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly oppose, UNS and other power companies from trying to make solar PV options for residential consumers so cost prohibitive, it is theoretically banned. Arizona, like other southwestern desert states, rely on A/C to cool their homes during the very extreme summer months. Having solar helps offset the hi costs of cooling and also helps during peak demands with supplying extra electricity to the grid during the heat of the day.

Sincerely,

Joe Fleischmann

2635 San Juan Drive  
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Evelyn Verrill <im2valla@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 8:01 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Verrill

1155 W Fawn Lane  
Prescott, AZ 86305

0000000000

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** john reeves <john.reeves.nep@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 7:52 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

i oppose your proposal to construct any charge structure that discourages residential solar customers. the current proposal for demand charge structure is bad for ALL electric customers not just solar. get with the program and start using solar yourself or you will follow in the footsteps of other copies such as kodak who viewed themselves in the image of providers of technology and services as they were instead of where the world is moving. don't try to kill the future. anticipate it and be the inovators. your history will look at lot better.

Sincerely,

john reeves

12576 n. nep lane  
marana, AZ 85653

5202357914

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Kelly Basfield <kelly@kbasfield.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 7:37 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Kelly Basfield

11529 E. La Junta Rd  
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

480-214-3020

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Rodney Smith <rodneyleesmith@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 7:31 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Rodney Smith

9206 e palm tree dr  
TUCSON, AZ 85710



**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Richard Hale <w7pp@arri.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 4:49 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Richard Hale

9874 W. Magnolia Ct  
Sun City, AZ 85373

6232400995

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Mark Holohan <mark.holohan@wilsonelectric.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 4:26 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Mark Holohan

600 E. Gilbert Drive  
Tempe, AZ 85281

4805056559

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Bryan Hess <Votsenergy@outlook.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 4:25 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Bryan Hess

726 W. 19th St  
Tempe, AZ 85281

6024050013

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Bruce Howe <howe.bruce52@ymail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 3:50 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Bruce Howe

1905 Mimosa Dr  
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403

9285055137

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Fred Chalfin <azfchalfin@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 12:34 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Demand pricing, and undoing solar net pricing is so outrageously anti consumer that I can't believe that the commission is even contemplating approving this.

Sincerely,

Fred Chalfin

12802 S. 40th Place  
Phoenix, AZ 85044-3903

480-785-4909

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Charles Goff <hollygoff@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 2:46 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Charles Goff

9788 E Windy Pass Trail  
Gold Canyon, AZ 85118

719-539-6928

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Frank Lauffer <franklauffer@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 12:19 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Frank Lauffer

10221 S 45th Dr  
Laveen, AZ 85339

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Ron Lansing <Rlpublic001@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 11:31 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Ron Lansing

19330 guardian ln  
Surprise, AZ 85387



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Robert Kahl <fifty7bobby@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 11:10 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

This is total BS, Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to get off his ASS and preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Robert Kahl

2191 Fremont Dr  
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406

6613051246

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Chester Homan <chesterhoman@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 11:05 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Arizona should be supporting solar, not trying to destroy it. Demand charges are also unfair to the consumer. Soon Elson Musk will have a reasonably priced battery available for solar. if the utilities keep up this anti-solar/anti-consumer approach, they will lose many solar customers totally. I know because I'll be one.

Sincerely,

Chester Homan

20321 N. 106th Drive  
peoria, AZ 85382

6232555273

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Warren Woodward <w6345789@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 10:50 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Warren Woodward

55 Ross Circle  
Sedona, AZ 86336

9282046434

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Paul Lo Presto <poppi726a@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 10:31 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Paul Lo Presto

4162 E. Camden Ave  
San Tan Valley, AZ 85140

480-987-2537

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** John West <jwest\_3@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 10:22 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

John West

AZ 86403

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Thomas Farley <ssbn628blue@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 10:17 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I oppose the proposed Demand Charges from the Utility companies. This is just another way for them to recoup lost profits from Solar customers.

Sincerely,

Thomas Farley

18249 W Paseo Way  
Goodyear, AZ 85338-5242

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Terry Evans <timeless4u22@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 8:52 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Terry Evans

2841 W. Palmetto St  
85705

520-888-5614

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Kenneth & JoAnn Bierman <kbierman1@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 3:52 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Kenneth & JoAnn Bierman

2926 N Mountain Creek Way  
Tucson, AZ 85745-7004

5208822708



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Tania Malven <Tmalven@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 7:38 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Tania Malven

2228 E Kleindale  
Tucson, AZ 85719

5207950281

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Richard Reischel <Rick85338@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 3:49 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Richard Reischel

14539 S 182nd Drive  
Goodyear, AZ 85338

602-799-6369