

ORIGINAL



**Memorandum**  
From the office of  
**Chairman Doug Little**  
**Arizona Corporation Commission**  
1200 W. WASHINGTON  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA  
(602) 542-0745

TO: Docket Control  
DATE: May 19, 2016  
FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office  
SUBJECT: Docket No. E-04204A15-0142

RECEIVED  
2016 MAY 19 P 3:48  
AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

---

Chairman Little's office has received 70 emails opposing the above Docket Number. The emails can be viewed either in Docket, or on the website via the eDocket link.

Arizona Corporation Commission  
**DOCKETED**  
MAY 19 2016

DOCKETED BY	<i>KL</i>
-------------	-----------

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Denaë Gemmrig <naelovessubs@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 11:04 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Denaë Gemmrig

14007 N 38th St  
Phoenix, AZ 85032

4804401720

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Judith Salzman <judiths345@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 11:10 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Judith Salzman

3262 S. Lakeside Ridge Loop

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** JohnMichael Flatley <mykle1@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 11:15 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please stop these outrageous attempts to allow demand charges, and to make solar impossible for residential homeowners. Arizona should be a leader in solar, and should have fair pricing for all customers. We appreciate your support in protecting customers from these outrageous proposals.

Sincerely,

JohnMichael Flatley

1354 E Flower St  
Phoenix, AZ 85014

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Lane Garrett, P.E., C.E.M. <Lane.ULG@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 11:16 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

This is a hard rate structure for anyone to manage at the home level and should be left for larger commercial and industrial customers who can manage load control automation.

This move is clearly see as an anti-distributed Solar move where generation is not owned by the Utility! This is generating actual Utility Hate. We have been called by numerous customers who are interested in disconnecting from the Utility!

Sincerely,

Lane Garrett, P.E., C.E.M.

1652 N. Old Colony  
Mesa, AZ 85201

480 371 5401

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Josh Spradling <ok2go@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 11:20 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Josh Spradling

9012 W. Yellow Bird Ln  
Peoria, AZ 85383

6238825506

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Doug Arnold <astudio2@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 11:43 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Doug Arnold

11802 s 44TH ST  
PHX, AZ 85044

4805983295

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Susan Arnold <astudio2@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 11:46 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Susan Arnold

11802 s 44TH ST  
PHX, AZ 85044

4805983295



**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** James Riedel <jariedel@att.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 11:52 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

James Riedel

8600 E Broadway Rd  
AZ 85208

4809863150

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Barry Koval <ntcna\_bk@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 12:31 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Barry Koval

1745 E. Briarwood Terrace  
Phoenix, AZ 85048

480-555-5555

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Bobbie Howard <bchoward123@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 12:07 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

People like me who install solar panels do it to use a renewable power source and to help fight climate change. We should not be punished for doing the right thing. You should do the right thing too.

Sincerely,

Bobbie Howard

9783 E Hidden Green Dr  
Scottsdale, AZ 85262

4805551212

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** D.E. Whitcomb <diamondd22@earthlink.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 12:02 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

D.E. Whitcomb

255 E Jacinto  
Tucson, AZ 85705

1111111111

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Nick Chavez <nickchavez1941@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 12:59 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Nick Chavez

4041 Terria Vista Drive  
Arizona, 86406

1-928 - 453 - 6162

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Carl Bennett <disturbedcarl@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 2:47 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Carl Bennett

3017 E. Alta Vista st  
tucson, AZ 85716- 301

(520) 987-3611

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** JOSEPH DLUGOSZ <JOESPHOTOS@LIVE.COM>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 2:43 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

JOSEPH DLUGOSZ

45 REDROCK RD  
SEDONA, AZ 86351

9285544013

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Barbara Hirt <ragalyi-hirt@esedona.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 2:34 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to propose demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Barbara Hirt

65 Courthouse Butte Rd  
Sedona, AZ 86351



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Marie Ybarra <mybarra3@q.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 2:32 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Marie Ybarra

10832 N 7th Ave  
Phoenix, AZ 85029

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Spencer Huunter <shunter@u.arizona.edu>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 2:10 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

The home solar business is in decline in Arizona, one of the sunniest areas on Earth. Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly. Help to allow the home solar industry to thrive and create jobs as it should!

Sincerely,

Spencer Huunter

1303 E. University Blvd. #20770  
Tucson, AZ 85719-0521

5208848505

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** John Rodwick <Johnrodwick@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 1:34 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

John Rodwick

26609 N 71st Pl  
Scottsdale, AZ 85266

406-261-2648

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** J. Shamosh <Greenfingersherbal@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 1:31 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

J. Shamosh

3104 E. Camelback Road  
Phoenix, AZ 85016

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Marylee Peterson <mpetersoninfo@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 2:43 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Demand charges 15-0142

It has been brought to my attention that ACC is contemplating imposing "demand" charges on TEP customers. I sincerely ask that this not happen, as we all are trying to conserve energy to prevent higher charges for the use of electricity. I, for one, cannot afford any more charges on my utility bills, plus this charge would only serve to discourage the conservation of energy.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,  
Mrs. M. Peterson  
Tucson, AZ



Virus-free. [www.avast.com](http://www.avast.com)

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Chris Lindsey <chris@christopherlindsey.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 1:16 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Chris Lindsey

PO Box 434  
Tonto Basin, AZ 85553

602-819-2952

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Steve Antonsen <steveninsurprise@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 3:53 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

I'm on a fixed income. To punish me for trying to control my utility cost, is wrong. Why do you people want to cut my throat..!

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Steve Antonsen

15530 n 159 ct  
Surprise, AZ 85374

6235701784

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Mark Zuehlke <zuehlke950@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 7:16 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Mark Zuehlke

40662 West Hopper Dr  
Maricopa, AZ 85138

6415832470



**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Wayne Johnson <wjohnson270@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 8:31 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing, unfair and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Wayne Johnson

6386 W. Greenbriar Dr  
Glendale, AZ 85308-3610

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Marilyn Waltasti <mwaltasti@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 10:04 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Waltasti

20117 N. Geyser Drive  
Maricopa, AZ 85138

(520) 000-0000

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Rita Childers <ritachilders@zoho.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

As a homeowner with solar on my roof I am asking that you not let utilities undermine the gains to consumers. Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Rita Childers

910 N. Cactus St  
COTTONWOOD, AZ 86326

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Rhoda Shulman <rhoda@shulmanfamily.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 10:13 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Rhoda Shulman

PO Box 5607  
Carefree, AZ 85377

3019267907

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Linda Julson <lincarjul@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 2:53 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Linda Julson

12401 Bent Tree Drive  
Peoria, AZ 85383

6232348241

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Debbie Yin <debbieyin2002@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 2:08 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Debbie Yin

14219 N Desert Flower Dr  
Fountain Hills, AZ 85268

4808367917

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Dennis Thrasher <bluerockdr@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 1:58 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Dennis Thrasher

879 Rolling Hills Plz S  
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406

530 624 5137

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Alex Turner <aturner\_usn@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 1:58 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Alex Turner

5744 W Plum Rd  
Phoenix, AZ 85083

6237607387



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Van Dacayo <vandacayo@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 1:41 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Van Dacayo

348 E. Catclaw Ct  
Gilbert, AZ 85296

7028125344

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Donald Scholtz <donscholtz@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 12:08 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Donald Scholtz

9425 E. Palm Tree Dr  
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

480-268-9944

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Jonnie Johnson <jjzmeemaw@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 11:26 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Jonnie Johnson

625 W McKellips Rd  
Lot 253  
Mesa, AZ 85201

480 835 1990

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Gary Herzog <Gary.herzog@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 17, 2016 7:56 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I have invested \$40,000 in home solar system to reduce electric bills. ANY rate increase or change in usage calculations methods based on peak usage is nothing short of outrageous greed on the part of this company that has a monopoly on energy required for existence. Unisource proposal must be refused...there is no justification for increase in rates, when they are already making a more than reasonable profit utilizing current rates. Consumers will have no way to control personal electricity usage under there proposed peak usage game plan. For example: in our desert town air conditioning is mandatory for mere survival in the summer, it must be used during peak power usage times. There are frequent power outages in our small city. Imagine what happens when the power comes back on and almost every electrical device kicks back on at the same time...no way to prevent a very high peak in power consumption!

Sincerely,

Gary Herzog

2439 Clarke Drive  
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Ronald Drake <headduck2@cableone.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 10:16 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Demand charges are nothing more than a way to maintain a regulated monopolies profits. Profits that have been increased while the level of service has declined. There needs to be more electricity contributors to the grid network, not less. Who owns the grid, the Arizona Public or the utility operator?

Sincerely,

Ronald Drake

7405 N Bridle Path  
Prescott, AZ

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Donna Lucier <Dyonia@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 4:40 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Donna Lucier

665 W Vekol Ct  
Casa Grande, AZ 85122

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Tery Schantzen <tery.schantzen@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 5:25 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Tery Schantzen

760 W Rio Teras  
Green Valley, AZ 85614

5203933236

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Thaddeus Andreski <tandreski@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 6:16 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

As a 70 plus year old Arizonian I am alarmed at the prospect of the increased costs! As more and more retirees consider relocation to Arizona an issue like this could cause them to reconsider. It seems as though Arizona is moving from an industrial economy to a service industry economy and catering to seniors is a major element of that transition. Please consider what you are doing to those of us on fixed incomes with no adjustment to their social security income for three years now. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Thaddeus Andreski

26264 West Runion Lane  
Buckeye, AZ 85396

9282526743



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Leah Loveday <leah@truthconsciousness.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 6:22 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

We are in a major sunshine state and should lead the country in solar.

Sincerely,

Leah Loveday

3325 w. Sweetwater Dr  
Tucson, AZ 85745

520-743-3484

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Bradley Louis <Madbrad48@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 7:07 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At its core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Bradley Louis

12147 N. Kylene Canyon Drive  
Oro Valley, AZ 85755

5206644715

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Patricia Flanders <Ppattyaz1@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 18, 2016 8:07 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Patricia Flanders

5060 E. 1st  
Tucson, AZ 85711

520 300 4614

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Nicholas Acciaro <nacciardo@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 10:22 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Acciaro

11243 So. Santa Margarita Lane  
Goodyear, AZ 85338

602-205-4451

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Robert Simonetti <rsimon.5@q.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 10:23 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Once again I know your what your upcoming decision regarding this OUTRAGEOUS proposal. However it's been VERY obvious that once again DARK MONEY RULES!!!! You ALL need to be replaced with HONEST people that are for the citizens of AZ instead of the utilities! I don't know how you can go to sleep at night.

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Robert Simonetti

3111 W Redbird Rd  
Phoenix, AZ 85083

6238791137

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** kenneth lane <lildufer@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 10:28 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

kenneth lane

3229 W. Morse Dr  
3229 W. Morse Dr  
ANTHEM, AZ 85086

5302779641

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Kent Lesueur <jklesueur@cableone.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 10:29 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Kent Lesueur

PO Box 611  
Taylor, AZ 85939

928-536-2303

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Virginia Aguilar <dcvmaguilar@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 10:58 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Virginia Aguilar

3025 E 18th St  
Tucson, AZ 85716



**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Larry Burstyn <larryburstyn@commspeed.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 11:07 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Larry Burstyn

2775 S D.R. Ranch Ln  
Cornville, AZ 86325

928-649-2495

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Patrick Blanton <plblanton@me.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 11:19 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Patrick Blanton

2735 E Cannon Dr  
Phoenix, AZ 85028

602-944-1324

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Greg Fahr <gfahr@fahrassociates.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 11:23 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Greg Fahr

4901 e sunrise dr 107  
tucson, AZ 85718

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Janet Zampieri <jlz@psconsult.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 11:25 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Janet Zampieri

3125 W Mockingbird Ln  
3125 W Mockingbird Ln  
Tucson, AZ 85713

5204237345

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Janet Schieber <schieber@cableone.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 11:44 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Janet Schieber

157 N. French Dr  
Prescott, AZ 86303

928-772-0994

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** audrey ross <audreymross@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 11:55 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

audrey ross

lee st  
tucson, AZ 85712

000 000 0000

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Nancy Strongin <diamond@resortnet.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 12:08 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Pure and unlawful tax!

Sincerely,

Nancy Strongin

AZ 85086

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Andy Horine <Jerryhorine@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 12:14 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Andy Horine

925 Foothill Ave  
Kingman, AZ 86401

928-897-0999



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Thierry Ziegler <tz@acti-ve.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 12:34 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

A partir de 2022 le solaire représenterait l'essentiel de l'énergie consommée au monde.

New Energy Outlook présente l'évolution et les origines des énergies.

Solaire, l'énergie solaire partout. La nouvelle baisse du coût de la technologie photovoltaïque entraînera une hausse de 3 700 000 000 000 \$ les investissements dans l'énergie solaire, à la fois à grande échelle et à petite échelle.

Le VE couplé au réseau smart permettra de jour comme de nuit d'apporter ses services de stockage Smart de ces énergies renouvelables.

Sincerely,

Thierry Ziegler

Guebwiller, 68500 France

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Jeannette Nord <jnetty42@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 12:34 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control. How can we fight back? It is up to you comissoners.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jeannette Nord

5545 w windsor ave  
Phoenix, AZ 85035

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Carol Kaploe <bckap@frontiernet.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 12:43 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Carol Kaploe

2356 Graystone Dr  
Kingman, AZ 86409

9286814387

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Larry Merrix <Lmerrix@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 12:49 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

The actions that are being taken are those of nefarious organizations. They seek to undermine the growth and fulfillment of the needs of all that are involved in the betterment of our entire global society. They wish to KILL JOBS, Harm the Environment and keep us all at their mercy! This type of rewriting rules, laws, policy and etc. are in the name of profit and profit only. It is my belief that these actions are criminal and should result in the people DEMANDING DEREGUATION and freeing themselves from the enslavement of these companies. Additionally I believe that SRP has disqualified themselves from being a NON-PROFIT 501 (c) 3 Organization and should be stripped of any such declarations.

Sincerely,

Larry Merrix

2965 E Glade Cir  
Mesa, AZ 85204

480-232-5344

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Stephen Kreutzberg <skreutzberg@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 12:52 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Stephen Kreutzberg

14273 W. Morning Star Trl  
Surprise, AZ 85374

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Ervin Mrotek <erv@mrotek.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 12:52 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At one time Unisource encouraged solar and even provided financial assistance to families who installed it. Now they want to penalized those very same families who provide Unisource with cheap, renewable energy allowing Unisource to meet state law that requires 4.5% of energy generation to be from renewable sources by 2025.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Ervin Mrotek

989 S. Main St., Ste. A  
PMB-432  
Cottonwood, AZ 86326-4601

6024304636

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Andre Pernet <apernet1@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 1:01 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Fossil fuel is obsolete. You should support en encourage solar energy. May smart countries do it

Sincerely,

Andre Pernet

4845 N. Camino Escuela  
tucson, AZ 85718

5205772814

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Jonathan Cornell <jackcpastels@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 6:04 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Cornell

2643 N Columbus Blvd  
Tucson, AZ 85712

(507) 226-1191



**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Annabelle Herbert <annabelleh13@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 2:08 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Annabelle Herbert

1830 E Broadway #124  
Tucson, AZ 85719

5205056100

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Charles DeMarco <ultrawide4@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 2:07 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please do not put the brakes on roof-top solar instead please support inexpensive solar. It's the future.

Sincerely,

Charles DeMarco

126 Frontier Dr  
Prescott, AZ 86303

9282738179

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** paul lupo <lupo86301@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 2:14 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

paul lupo

11052 e turquoise circle  
dewey  
Dewey, AZ 86327

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Craig Schmidlin <Craig.schmidlin@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 2:13 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Craig Schmidlin

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Don Harris <donnieh30@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 3:31 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I am Pro Solar and i Vote

Sincerely,

Don Harris

3171 N 83rd Pl  
3171 N 83rd Pl  
Scottsdale, 85251

4803136120

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Keith Wolma <keithwolma@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 3:31 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Keith Wolma

3537 E Bartlett Place  
Chandler, AZ 85249

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Pavel Tchursin <Tchursin@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 1:22 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Pavel Tchursin

4400 n Scottsdale Rd  
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Dr. Jane Heaton <Biz@pnj89.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 3:37 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject Unisource's anti-consumer proposal. Unisource's proposal still imposes mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong; At it's core, Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jane Heaton

2901 N. Santa Rosa Place  
Tucson, AZ 85712

520-322-0173