

ORIGINAL



Memorandum
From the office of
Chairman Doug Little
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. WASHINGTON
PHOENIX, ARIZONA
(602) 542-0745

RECEIVED

ARIZONA CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

MAY 12 PM 4:24

TO: Docket Control
DATE: May 12, 2016
FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office
SUBJECT: Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

MAY 12 2016

DOCKETED BY *ML*

Chairman Little's office has received 44 emails referencing the above Docket Number. The emails can be viewed either in Docket, or on the website via the eDocket link.

Andrea Gaston

From: John Riley <jriley,jr@icloud.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 10:37 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

John Riley

2890 Indian sp dr
Lake Havasu city, AR 86406

7753973677

Andrea Gaston

From: William Lyons <Wmlyons64@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 9:11 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers and are discriminatory against solar customers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

William Lyons

10791 W Cottontail Ln
Peoria, AZ 85383

Andrea Gaston

From: Kenneth Klauburg <dancewithyou@email.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 8:16 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Klauburg

3045 E Caballero St
Mesa, AZ 85213

480-559-1860

Andrea Gaston

From: E JEAN DUBOSE <jean.placeofhealing@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 7:59 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

There are many seniors on a fixed income already having to decide between food and medicine, how will they be able to now afford to pay their utilities.

IT IS NOW TIME FOR CONSUMERS' NEEDS TO TAKE PRIORITY OVER BUSINESS INTERESTS. ISN'T IT YOUR RESPONSIBILITY TO DO THIS?

Demand charges ambush ratepayers and are discriminatory against solar customers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

E JEAN DUBOSE

7570 E Speedway Blvd Unit 557
Tucson, AZ 85710

5206259128

Andrea Gaston

From: Richard Greeley <Rgreeleyrn@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 7:58 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Richard Greeley

2124 W Nicolet Ave
Phoenix, 85021

4806521100

Andrea Gaston

From: Gary McGinnis <garyleemcginnis@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 6:25 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Gary McGinnis

1002 E. Miles St
Tucson, 85719

520-272-7271

Andrea Gaston

From: Donald Trautman <troutie6449@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 5:37 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Donald Trautman

2124 Moyo Dr.- # 1
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403

9282088862

Andrea Gaston

From: Patricia Roberts <robertpj@wfu.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 4:22 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Patricia Roberts

40 Robbers Roost
Sedona, AZ 86351

Andrea Gaston

From: Cheryl Patchin <Cpsolorzano3@cox.net>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 12:58 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Patchin

4460 Heather Place
Tucson, AZ 85730

5202078599

Andrea Gaston

From: Joan Weinberg <Jmw880@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 11:44 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers and are discriminatory against solar customers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Joan Weinberg

880 E Rudasill Rd
Tucson

Andrea Gaston

From: Barbara Lukeman <barblukeman@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 11:46 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Barbara Lukeman

127 W. University Dr Studio
Mesa, AZ 85201

4802623574

Andrea Gaston

From: Ellie Volner <evolner@cox.net>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 11:49 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers and are discriminatory against solar customers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Ellie Volner

670 s. Sierria Nevada dr
Tucson, AZ 85748 6624

5207217217

Andrea Gaston

From: Douglas Price <pricedoug1@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2016 3:08 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers and are discriminatory against solar customers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Douglas Price

1823 E Erie St
Gilbert, AZ 85295

4802802757

Andrea Gaston

From: Market Freedom Alliance <info@marketfreedomalliance.com>
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2016 1:48 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Support UNS' request for demand rates E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Commissioners,

Demand rates not only save customers money, they are a more efficient way to use energy. This can be spun any number of ways from patriotism to efficiency, but no matter how you cut it, demand rates simply make sense. Please work on it for us.

I care about our environment and our economy. Currently under net metering, the rooftop solar industry is reliant on subsidies and an exaggerated net metering price. Under net metering customers who do not have rooftop solar must pay their own regular utility rates and share the financial burden that rooftop customers avoid. This is a massive cost shift from people with solar panels on their roofs to traditional electricity users.

Again, please vote in support of the implementation of demand rates and do what is best interest of all Arizonans.

Sincerely,
Mike Hess

Mike Hess
mh98102@hotmail.com
86001

Andrea Gaston

From: McCue, Monte W <monte.mccue@evoqua.com>
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2016 9:36 AM
To: RBurns-Web; Stump-Web; Little-Web; Forese-Web; Tobin-Web
Subject: FW: UNS Rate Increase - Docket E-04204A-15-0142

Chairman Little and Commissioners:

Please see the email below containing questions sent to UNS regarding DG customers. I would ask the commission to encourage UNS to supply the answers.

UNS, by means of research for the rate increase proposal, should have these answers readily available and would provide the public, particularly solar customers, with information to back up their claims of how DG customers negatively affect the company and the grid as a whole.

Thanks.

Monte McCue
Lake Havasu City

From: McCue, Monte W
Sent: Thursday, May 05, 2016 2:06 PM
To: 'bcarrol@tep.com' <bcarrol@tep.com>
Subject: UNS Rate Increase - Docket E-04204A-15-0142

Mr. Carrol:

I have some questions regarding UNS's rate increase, Docket E-04204A-15-0142. I hope you can direct these questions to someone in your company who can help me understand the following:

1. Number of total current solar systems installed on residences that are served by UNS in the areas of Mohave and Santa Cruz counties?
2. Number of households installing solar systems on their homes after June 1, 2015 that are served by UNS within the affected area of UNS's rate increase request. Can UNS estimate the total of cost of those residential systems?
3. Amount of KWH's generated from residential solar systems currently tied to UNS in the affected areas of the proposed rate increase?
4. Price per KWH UNS sells power back to their non-solar customers?
5. Loss in profits UNS experiences from each DG customer in the proposed rate increase area.
6. What is the ROR UNS is currently requesting from the ACC?
7. What is the ROR solar customers should expect, in UNS's opinion, on their investment?
8. Is there is a difference between UNS and DG customers ROR? If so, can UNS explain why the difference?
9. Can UNS supply a precedent where a regulated public utility has ever been granted a retroactive rate increase like the one they are demanding (net metering change)?
10. Has the ACC ever decreased UNS's expected ROE retroactively?

11. What, in UNS's opinion, is the extra average cost a DG customer inflicts on the grid each year?
12. Does UNS see anything positive regarding DG customers?
13. Our current residential system, installed in October 2015, is designed to generate 24,000 KWH per year at a cost of \$46,000 less tax credit. It is designed for us to break even at the end of the year (i.e., no excess generated). Can UNS calculate for me what amount of money I am costing the company each year with our particular system?

Respectfully,

Monte McCue
Lake Havasu City, AZ

Andrea Gaston

From: Clair Hinckley <hinckleyclair@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 10:22 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

We should be a real leader in solar energy instead of looking for ways to punish solar users.

Sincerely,

Clair Hinckley

3031 S Rural Rd # 25
Tempe, AZ 85282

4803930875

Andrea Gaston

From: Serena Chesmore <wsoithpaw@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2016 7:52 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Serena Chesmore

3232 mesa trail
Flagstaff, AZ 86005

9285251014

Andrea Gaston

From: Richard Jones <Richard.lee.jones@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2016 8:07 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Richard Jones

9080 E Berkshire Place
Tucson, AZ 85710

5204032403

Andrea Gaston

From: Yvonne Bailey <Y@lglb.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 3:38 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers and are discriminatory against solar customers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Bailey

12617 Surrey Ave
El Mirage, AR 85335

928 684 2847

Andrea Gaston

From: Nicholas Acciardo <nacciardo@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 7:43 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

PLEASE You need to understand that we, the users of electricity need to have a voice to speak!

It is not only the you but I as well...who need power everyday to survive! Why does the utility company feel they have the power to make money on us? How dare they feel the ability to falsely raise electricity fees just to gain profits? And our blind and falsely claiming government will allow this to happen without a justification. IT IS WRONG !

Please review the "entire" process, of which you've been elected to uphold, and use your ability to engage a vote for the people in which you were elected to uphold!

Thank You...

Sincerely,

Nicholas Acciardo

11243 So. Santa Margarita Lane
Goodyear, AZ 85338

602-205-4451

Andrea Gaston

From: Market Freedom Alliance <info@marketfreedomallianceemails.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 7:42 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Support UNS' request for demand rates E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Commissioners,

I wanted to take a minute to ask you to support the request to move to a more accurate, fair, and precise method of billing consumers; demand rates.

I care about our environment and our economy. Currently under net metering, the rooftop solar industry is reliant on subsidies and an exaggerated net metering price. Under net metering customers who do not have rooftop solar must pay their own regular utility rates and share the financial burden that rooftop customers avoid. This is a massive cost shift from people with solar panels on their roofs to traditional electricity users.

It is not surprising that rooftop solar companies are opposing this change. The current scheme of net metering is the only way their business model works. It is also upsetting to see the massive campaign they have launched in opposition that is completely reliant on lies and misinformation. This dishonest and desperate tactic is their only counter.

Rooftop solar companies oppose this, not because flat rate pricing is without merit, but because any change in the subsidy game hurts their bottom lines. But that is not in the best interest of all Arizonans or the Corporation Commission.

Again, please vote in support of the implementation of demand rates and do what is best interest of all Arizonans.

Regards,

Wayne Borland
wbor1951@netzero.net
85712

Andrea Gaston

From: Market Freedom Alliance <info@marketfreedomallianceemails.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 7:41 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Support UNS' request for demand rates E-04207A-15-0142

Dear Commissioners,

I am reaching out to you as a concerned voter and taxpayer of Arizona. Soon you will be deciding a ruling in the rate case hearing and I urge you to vote in favor of moving to demand rates.

The current business model for rooftop solar companies in Arizona relies on taxpayer backed government subsidies, artificially supporting an industry that no longer needs to be. I find it appalling that non-solar users should have to subsidize those that already have the means and ability to afford rooftop solar. I also find it upsetting that while rooftop solar users are connected to the grid and still drawing power from it, they are being allowed to skirt basic costs of maintaining the grid on to others.

The solar industry is claiming it can't survive without the subsidy dependent practice of net metering. However, this is not true. The way things are, those subsidies are pure profit to them, and few go to homeowners. It is no surprise that they are fighting this...it is pure greed at the taxpayer expense.

It is also of great concern that the subsidies required by net metering will, most likely, not continue forever. Demand rates are a fair and simple solution that will allow the rooftop solar industry to continue without depending on subsidies. What will happen to the Arizona solar industry when these subsidies stop? That ending is in your hands.

I again urge you to please protect the future of solar in Arizona by supporting utilities request to move to a fair, stable, and long-term method of demand charges.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gregory Gaunt
Greg Gaunt
greggaunt@msn.com
85742

Andrea Gaston

From: Market Freedom Alliance <info@marketfreedomallianceemails.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 9:27 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Support Demand Rates *E-04204-15-0142*

Dear Commissioners,

Today I am writing you to ask that you please vote in support of a change to demand rates, thereby ending the unfair practice of net metering.

Currently, Arizona rooftop solar relies on taxpayer backed government subsidies plus an exaggerated net metering price. Our state's net metering policy requires utility companies to purchase unused power generated by rooftop solar at the retail rate, not the wholesale rate, which can be three times less than retail. This practice is outdated and costly for those of us who either choose to not have rooftop solar or who can't afford to do so.

Please end the unfair practices of these rooftop solar corporations. Support demand rates and approve a new billing system.

Thank you,

Debra Cunningham
hama1995@aol.com
85730

Andrea Gaston

From: Market Freedom Alliance <info@marketfreedomallianceemails.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 10:34 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Support Demand Rates *E04204A-15 0142*

Dear Commissioners,

I wanted to take a minute to ask you to support UNS' request to move to a more accurate, fair, and precise method of billing consumers; demand charges.

I care about our environment and our economy. Currently under net metering, the rooftop solar industry is reliant on subsidies and an exaggerated net metering price. Under net metering customers who do not have rooftop solar must pay their own regular utility rates and share the financial burden that rooftop customers avoid. This is a massive cost shift from people with solar panels on their roofs to traditional electricity users.

It is not surprising that rooftop solar companies are opposing this change. The current scheme of net metering is the only way their business model works. It is also upsetting to see the massive campaign they have launched in opposition, which is completely reliant on lies and misinformation. This dishonest and desperate tactic is their only counter. Rooftop solar companies oppose this, not because flat rate pricing is without merit, but because any change in the subsidy game hurts their bottom lines. But that is not in the best interest of all Arizonans or the Corporation Commission.

Again, please vote in support of UNS and do what is best interest of all Arizonans. Vote yes to demand charges!

Regards,

carmen Williams
carmengw@cox.net
85641

Andrea Gaston

From: Market Freedom Alliance <info@marketfreedomallianceemails.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 10:34 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Support Demand Rates E - 04204A-15-0142

Dear Commissioners,

I wanted to take a minute to ask you to support UNS' request to move to a more accurate, fair, and precise method of billing consumers; demand charges.

I care about our environment and our economy. Currently under net metering, the rooftop solar industry is reliant on subsidies and an exaggerated net metering price. Under net metering customers who do not have rooftop solar must pay their own regular utility rates and share the financial burden that rooftop customers avoid. This is a massive cost shift from people with solar panels on their roofs to traditional electricity users.

It is not surprising that rooftop solar companies are opposing this change. The current scheme of net metering is the only way their business model works. It is also upsetting to see the massive campaign they have launched in opposition, which is completely reliant on lies and misinformation. This dishonest and desperate tactic is their only counter. Rooftop solar companies oppose this, not because flat rate pricing is without merit, but because any change in the subsidy game hurts their bottom lines. But that is not in the best interest of all Arizonans or the Corporation Commission.

Again, please vote in support of UNS and do what is best interest of all Arizonans. Vote yes to demand charges!

Regards,

Bonnie Kneller
bonnie.kneller@tusd1.org
85715

Andrea Gaston

From: Market Freedom Alliance <info@marketfreedomallianceemails.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 10:34 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Support Demand Rates E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Commissioners,

I am reaching out to you as a concerned voter and taxpayer of Arizona. Soon you will be deciding a ruling in the UNS rate case hearing and I urge you to vote in favor of moving to demand charges.

The current business model for rooftop solar companies in Arizona relies on taxpayer backed government subsidies, artificially supporting an industry, which no longer needs to be. I find it appalling that non-solar users should have to subsidize those that already have the means and ability to afford rooftop solar. I also find it upsetting that while rooftop solar users are connected to the grid and still drawing power from it, they are being allowed to skirt basic costs of maintaining the grid on to others. The solar industry is claiming it can't survive without the subsidy dependent practice of net metering, however this is not true. The way things are not, those subsidies are pure profit to them, and few go to homeowners. It is no surprise that they are fighting this...it is pure greed at the taxpayer expense.

It is also of great concern that the subsidies required by net metering will, most likely, not continue forever. Demand charges are a fair and simple solution that will allow the rooftop solar industry to continue without depending on subsidies. What will happen to the Arizona solar industry when these subsidies stop: that ending is in your hands.

I again urge you to please protect the future of solar in Arizona by supporting UNS' request to move to a fair, stable, and long-term method of demand charges.

Sincerely,

john passerella
jpasserella1@cox.net
85747

Andrea Gaston

From: Market Freedom Alliance <info@marketfreedomallianceemails.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 10:33 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Support Demand Rates *E-04204A-15-01A2*

Dear Commissioners,

Today I am writing you to ask that you please vote in support of UNS' request to end the unfair practice of net metering.

I am reaching out to you as a concerned voter and taxpayer of Arizona. Soon you will be deciding a ruling in the UNS rate case hearing and I urge you to vote in favor of moving to demand charges.

Currently, Arizona rooftop solar relies on taxpayer backed government subsidies plus an exaggerated net metering price. Our state's net metering policy requires utility companies to purchase unused power generated by rooftop solar at the retail rate, not the wholesale rate, which can be three times less than retail. This practice is outdated and costly for those of us who either choose to not have rooftop solar or who can't afford to do so.

Please end the unfair practices of these rooftop solar corporations. Support UNS and support a new billing system.

Thank you,

HBruce Raymond
traductor3@msn.com
85745-3124

Andrea Gaston

From: Lou Wilson <redriderlw@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 5:07 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Lou Wilson

PO Box 9451
Apache Junction, AZ 85178

4804332868

Andrea Gaston

From: James Michael Hall <hall.jmichael@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2016 1:13 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers and are discriminatory against solar customers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

James Michael Hall

10700 N. La Reserve Dr. Apt.11205
Tucson, AZ 85737

5202624061

Andrea Gaston

From: Nicholas Acciardo <nacciardo@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2016 12:43 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Acciardo

11243 So. Santa Margarita Lane
Goodyear, AZ 85338

602-205-4451

Andrea Gaston

From: Alvaro Guevara <win@tucson-webdesign.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2016 11:32 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Alvaro Guevara

524 N Forgeus Ave
Apt 2
Tucson, AK 85716

520-304-9066

Andrea Gaston

From: Geoff Drumm <Geoffdrumm@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 12, 2016 9:43 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Geoff Drumm

4100 w white rock rd
Chino Valley, AZ 86323

9286366116

Andrea Gaston

From: Tom Ferguson <Tom_ferguson@cox.net>
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2016 4:40 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I am writing to express my disappointment in the obstructionist actions that have prevented the development of household rooftop solar. It is heart breaking that in the prime geographic area for maximum efficiency for solarelectric generation that utilities and the regulatory commission created to promote the public interest have seen fit to prevent and do not incentivize household rooftop solar electric generation. All possible incentives should encourage the development of independent electric generation via solar. Electric utility customers with electricity production capability should be able to offset their electric bill by selling their excess power to their utility at the same rate that they are required to purchase it.

Sincerely,

Tom Ferguson

543N. Macdonald St
Mesa, AZ 85201

480-966-5418

Andrea Gaston

From: Marianne Tate <Mctate29@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2016 4:46 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Marianne Tate

2855 Dakota Trl
Bullhead City, AZ 86442

Andrea Gaston

From: Nicholas Acciardo <nacciardo@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 12, 2016 6:34 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

Let's wake up here people !!!

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Acciardo

11243 So. Santa Margarita Lane
Goodyear, AZ 85338

602-205-4451

Andrea Gaston

From: Sheryl Samuel <Haysamsongs@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 12, 2016 8:38 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

As a homeowner with full solar power on my home, I think everyone should have the option to purchase this alternative energy if they choose.

Sincerely,

Sheryl Samuel

891 Berme Rd
High Falls, NY 12440

Andrea Gaston

From: Brooke Franko <Brookefranko@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 6:14 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Brooke Franko

10610 E Keystone Road
Tucson, AZ 8573

Andrea Gaston

From: Royal Hubert <r.guns@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 6:31 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Royal Hubert

3265 Silversmith Dr
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406

928-855-6536

Andrea Gaston

From: Arlene Hendrickson <Lvwulfe@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2016 7:25 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Arlene Hendrickson

5509 Goldbrush St
Las Vegas
Las Vegas, NV 89130

702-595-9934.

Andrea Gaston

From: Casey Wardecki <Joffy123@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2016 10:13 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Casey Wardecki

3200 broken arrow dr
Lake havasu city, AZ 86406

6303300314

Andrea Gaston

From: Bob vincent <b**@usms.mobi>
Sent: Thursday, May 12, 2016 11:22 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

At it's core Unisource's proposal remains anti-consumer and anti-choice. Please reject UNS proposed mandatory demand charges on solar customers and the elimination of fundamental solar policies, like net metering. UniSource was wrong to propose mandatory demand charges for all, and they are still wrong. Demand charges are confusing and hard to control.

President Carter held an energy summit in 1978(??). I studied solar engineering in 1994, and ordered transcripts of the summit. The "energy utilities" publicly requested the feds allow them to install and control solar for residential. This is when we had more ethical legislators. They told the energy folks that as long as they kept failing to approve loan funding for "stand alone solar source" the government would not allow them to provide solar as an energy source. both fannie may and freddie mac require local power authority to sign off on energy source as part of the funding requirements. For almost 40 years they have been positioning themselves to do an end run around FM/FM regs. I now live off grid and totally self sufficient, WITH all the amenities you all enjoy. Stop Allowing These Blood-Suckers, Who Have Slowed The Solar Progress In America, to try to regulate YOU out of freedom.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Bob vincent

POB 28
Ash Fork, AZ 86320