

ORIGINAL



0000170184

RECEIVED

2016 MAY -9 A 10:13

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Memorandum
From the office of
Chairman Doug Little
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. WASHINGTON
PHOENIX, ARIZONA
(602) 542-0745

TO: Docket Control
DATE: May 9, 2016
FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office
SUBJECT: Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142

Chairman Little's office received 46 emails referencing the above Docket Number. The emails can be viewed either in Docket, or on the website via the eDocket link.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAY 09 2016

DOCKETED BY	A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "KL", is written over the signature line of the docketed by field.
-------------	--

Andrea Gaston

From: Claudia Brescia <bernardo443@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 05, 2016 8:46 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Claudia Brescia

4255 E. Beaver Vista Rd
Rimrock, AZ 86335

Andrea Gaston

From: Aaron Schwartz <aaronjschwartz87@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 05, 2016 10:46 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Aaron Schwartz

612 S. Criss Street
Chandler, AZ 85226

Andrea Gaston

From: Debrs Crosslin <debbie.crosslin@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 05, 2016 5:38 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Debrs Crosslin

1730 Dunbar Dr
Tempe, AZ 85283

Andrea Gaston

From: Claudia Brescia <bernardo443@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 08, 2016 9:28 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers and are discriminatory against solar customers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Claudia Brescia

4255 E. Beaver Vista Rd
Rimrock, AR 86335

Andrea Gaston

From: Paul Marion <marionp@tiffin.edu>
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 1:25 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please support solar energy by opposing UNS's proposal. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Marion

14074 N. Silver Cloud Drive
Or Valley, AZ 85755

Andrea Gaston

From: Kim Jones <albertkayerae@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 7:34 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Kim Jones

2165 E Suffock
Kingman, AZ 86409

928-303-4768

Andrea Gaston

From: Terry Lee Blanton <Lee.blanton@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 5:43 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Terry Lee Blanton

1600 E Joy Lane
Fort Mohave, AZ 86426

Andrea Gaston

From: Joseph Maher, Jr <jmaherjraia@aol.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 8:01 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Joseph Maher, Jr

4849 East Scarlett St
Tucson, AZ 85711

5203184757

Andrea Gaston

From: Laura Klass <mollyaz51@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 9:07 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Laura Klass

3301 W Calle Cereza
Tucson, AZ 85741

5205444048

Andrea Gaston

From: Marsden Griswold <mgriswold@aol.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 9:29 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Marsden Griswold

apt. 916 444 West Orange Grove Rd
Tucson, AZ 85704

520 797 6855

Andrea Gaston

From: Mary Shoff <Mgjj@aol.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 10:52 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Don't charge people who are trying to help with climate change! The sun is free, and should not be charged! Thank you

Sincerely,

Mary Shoff

10564 E Acacia Dr
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Andrea Gaston

From: Reinaldo Acevedo <reinaldonano@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 1:16 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Reinaldo Acevedo

17820 W Ventura St
85388
SURPRISE, AZ 85388

623 556 4105

Andrea Gaston

From: Mark Volner <mvsc_ca@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 2:14 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Mark Volner

670 S. Sierra Nevada Dr
Tucson, AZ 85748

5204658893

Andrea Gaston

From: Daniel Broadley <motown3@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 10:49 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Daniel Broadley

Mesa, AZ 85202

Andrea Gaston

From: John Hiett <JOHN_HIETT@HOTMAIL.COM>
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 6:41 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

John Hiett

1914 E. Gemini Drive
Tempe, AZ 85283

Andrea Gaston

From: Jerry Mllis Sr <jerrymillissr@outlook.com>
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 7:20 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Jerry Mllis Sr

3552 E Angela Dr
Phoenix, AZ 850322

Andrea Gaston

From: Bradley Cunningham <bradoflv@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 7:25 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers and are discriminatory against solar customers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Bradley Cunningham

10250 W Windchime Dr
Tucson, AZ 85743-8726

5209825396

Andrea Gaston

From: Nicholas Acciardo <nacciardo@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 6:50 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering. Please wake up and smell the coffee!

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona. Arizona has been and will continue to be in front of the pack.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly. Let the tax payers voice be heard!

Sincerely,

Nicholas Acciardo

11243 So. Santa Margarita Lane
Goodyear, AZ 85338

602-205-4451

Andrea Gaston

From: Mary Hirsch <sammylhc1@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 8:22 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I think this is very unfair! Living in a very hot climate we must run our ac with 155 and higher temps. Let these people come out and spend a summer. I have mine on 82 and have tried everything to kept the cost down. People on Social Security and our low paying jobs have a hard enough time. Please re think this as it is a very bad idea and what it will do to solar!

Mary Hirsch
4285 E Wagon Wheel Drive LHC 8640 AZ

Sincerely,

Mary Hirsch

4285 E Wagon Wheel DR
86404
LHC, AZ 86404

1-928-764-3302

Andrea Gaston

From: Kenneth Klauburg <dancewithyou@email.com>
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 10:34 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Klauburg

3045 E Caballero St
Mesa, AZ 85213

(480) 559-1860

Andrea Gaston

From: Steve Austin <Buzzinhornet@zianet.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 12:10 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Steve Austin

17596 W Marconi Ave
Surprise, AZ 85388

Andrea Gaston

From: Robert Prosser <rgprosser1@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 6:16 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Robert Prosser

10233 West Sun City Boulev
Sun City, AZ 85351

6023531813

Andrea Gaston

From: Patricia Flanders <Ppattyaz1@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 7:16 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Patricia Flanders

AZ 85711

Andrea Gaston

From: Keith Wolma <keithwolma@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 8:59 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Keith Wolma

3537 E Bartlett Place
3537 E Bartlett Place
Chandler, AZ 85249

Andrea Gaston

From: Deborah Dobson <Debdob@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 9:46 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Deborah Dobson

2224 E Greenlee Road
Tucson, AZ 85719

Andrea Gaston

From: Stephanie Garb <Sfgarb@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 10:02 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Garb

1715 E 1st Pl
Mesa, AZ 85203

4809075117

Andrea Gaston

From: Robin Peacock <robinsue.peacock9@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 11:11 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I really need a break with Unisourse.... I have oxygen sometimes.... Crazy!!!

Thank you,,
Robin Peacock

Sincerely,

Robin Peacock

1050 E. Beverly Ave. #216
Kingman, AZ 86401

9282792288

Andrea Gaston

From: Judith Crim <drpepperjc@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 11:35 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers and are discriminatory against solar customers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Judith Crim

13249 West Keystone Drive
Sun City West, AZ 85375

623-748-9745

Andrea Gaston

From: Rodney Norton <nortonrodney@netzero.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 11:49 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I do not like people trying to rig the system for corporations,

Sincerely,

Rodney Norton

8648 Teresita Dr
Arizona City, AZ 85123

520-466-5513

Andrea Gaston

From: Mark Augustine <mtaugie@centurylink.net>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 1:04 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Mark Augustine

11402 n 45th ave
Glendale, AZ 85304

6023802008

Andrea Gaston

From: Dirk Arnold <dirk@spreck.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 2:10 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to propose demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Dirk Arnold

198 W University Blvd
Tucson, AZ 85705

Andrea Gaston

From: Jerry Mllis Sr <jerrymillissr@outlook.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 3:04 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Jerry Mllis Sr

3552 E Angela Dr
Phoenix, AZ 85032

6027880519

Andrea Gaston

From: James Eshleman <eshlemaj@outlook.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 3:43 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

James Eshleman

210 E Paseo Chuparosas
Green Valley, AZ 85614

520-393-7398

Andrea Gaston

From: Timothy Schantzen <tim.schantzen@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 5:47 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers and are discriminatory against solar customers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Timothy Schantzen

760 W RIO Teras
Green Valley, AZ 85614

5203933236

Andrea Gaston

From: charlotte potter <eatmyshorts431@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 7:49 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

charlotte potter

1084 vista dr
lake havasu city

Andrea Gaston

From: Sandra Spangler <sanspang@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 9:11 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers and are discriminatory against solar customers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

I consider this takeover plan to be a breach of contract. I have a contract with TEP who has control of my roof for 30 years. TEP contracted with me to provide net metering services. A change to this will bring a class action lawsuit. It is insane, since rooftop solar gives back to our eco-system is a cheap and abundant form of energy. I consider all this to be an attack on my fundamental right and freedom of choice. Stop it now! Solar is going to win!!!

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Sandra Spangler

1510 W Calle Tiburon
Tucson, AZ 85704

Andrea Gaston

From: john Mcniece <johnmcniece@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, May 07, 2016 9:25 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

john Mcniece

148 n cherry ave
tucson, AZ 85719

5204818747

Andrea Gaston

From: Thomas Manning <C3cowboypirate@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 08, 2016 1:04 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please do not allow demand charges to become a part of the AZ power rate structure. Those of us who have invested in solar energy, or plan to, do not want to be burdened with this regressive charge.

Sincerely,

Thomas Manning

10960 N Gila Road
Tucson, AZ 85742

520-744-8285

Andrea Gaston

From: Roger Lateiner <roj710@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 08, 2016 2:38 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Roger Lateiner

601 E. Tam Oshanter Dr
Phoenix, AZ 85022

Andrea Gaston

From: Nicholas Acciaro <nacciardo@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 08, 2016 4:19 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Acciaro

11243 So. Santa Margarita Lane
Goodyear, AZ 85338

602-205-4451

Andrea Gaston

From: Timothy Julius <aztwj111@msn.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 08, 2016 4:22 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers and are discriminatory against solar customers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Timothy Julius

6836 n 3rd Drive
Phoenix, AZ 85017

602-973-0420

Andrea Gaston

From: jeffrey hartig <azliberal@cox.net>
Sent: Sunday, May 08, 2016 5:43 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers and are discriminatory against solar customers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

jeffrey hartig

8225 n central ave unit 43
43
phoenix, AZ 85020

6027916807

Andrea Gaston

From: Roderic Wagoner <rod.wagoner@gainbroadband.com>
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2016 4:32 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Roderic Wagoner

3632 N Camino Blanco Pl
Tucson, AZ 85718

520-577-0786

Andrea Gaston

From: Scott Miners <Scottminers@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2016 5:52 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource proposal to do demand charges on all customers and to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers is unwise.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Scott Miners

4166 e la Cienega dr
Tucson, 85712

775-297-3350

Andrea Gaston

From: Richard Causer <richiec@npgcable.com>
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2016 6:43 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers and are discriminatory against solar customers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Richard Causer

511 E David Dr
Flagstaff, AZ 86001

927-779-5213

Andrea Gaston

From: Julie Zemojtel <pandabear1024@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 8:58 PM
To: croll@tep.com; Little-Web; Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web
Cc: b zemo; Marilyn Lusk
Subject: RE: Net Metering Proposal *E-04204A-15-0142*

Good evening Ms. Roll,

I appreciate your information and quick response.

I do thank you for your explanation as well.

However, the bottom line remains the same:

1) UniSource should NEVER have requested to retroactively change the rules on solar customers by choosing some random date to use for "Grandfathering" purposes.

Making potential solar customers who are ready to switch to solar power make that choice, based on such unknowns, is a horrible way to do business and to treat people.

2) UniSource should immediately change their request to the ACC to "FROM THE ACC DECISION DATE, FORWARD"....UniSource should NOT request to backdate their rate changes all the way back to June 1, 2015.

3) IF the Commission does approve this punishment to solar customers (which is exactly what this is), then it needs to be FROM THAT DATE, FORWARD...so that any potential solar customers can make their purchasing decisions based on WHAT ACTUALLY IS....not on "WHAT MAY BE"....

4) UniSource should INSIST that the rate changes DO NOT TAKE EFFECT on solar customers until the ACC's decision date.

How dare your company ask to backdate the rate changes to almost a FULL YEAR AGO, when this was NOT common knowledge. I don't care about the "acknowledgment of the pending application"...you and I, and UniSource all know that putting that "maybe" out there is a horrible, sneaky, underhanded way to do business.

UniSource should be ashamed of themselves for even trying to slip that grandfathered date of "June 1, 2015" through in its request to the ACC.

It's despicable, and everyone with any common sense knows it.

I am requesting that you share this email to every possible "higher up"/manager/supervisor/etc. that you have access to.

I would also request that you "Cc" me on the "Forwards" to them as well, please. Thank you.

Our family spent over \$42,000 on our solar rooftop system last fall, and I AM BEYOND TICKED OFF that your monopolizing, greedy company is trying to steal that money back from me and make my investment (which is well over my annual salary as a public school teacher) worth so much less.

How dare you, UniSource.

I certainly hope that the Arizona Corporation Commission has more COMMON SENSE and COMPASSION than your company does.

Thank you,
Julie (and Brian) Zemojtel

From: croll@tep.com
To: pandabear1024@hotmail.com
Subject: Net Metering Proposal
Date: Fri, 6 May 2016 18:10:23 +0000

Good Morning Ms. Zemojtel,

I received your email regarding UNS Electric's rate proposal, specifically the grandfathering date of June 1, 2015 proposed for net metering customers. I would like to provide some information regarding our proposal.

The Company had previously requested changes to the Net Energy Metering (NEM) policy back in March 2015. During that proceeding, it was mutually agreed to by most parties that the issue should be addressed in a general rate case. As such, the Company withdrew that application with the stipulation that we would file a general rate case with the same proposal. That filing was submitted on May 5, 2015.

The outcome of the rate case will most likely not be known until July or August 2016. Additional details are available online at www.azcc.gov and can be accessed by searching for Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142 on "eDocket".

Any customer who decides to proceed with a renewable system and interconnect under NEM is required to acknowledge that there is a pending application that may change the economics of their decision located at https://www.uesaz.com/doc/renewable/ues_attachment_a_b.pdf. The Arizona Corporation Commission (Commission) retains the authority to make the changes effective from the requested date – which was originally requested for any application received after June 1, 2015 – or the Commission can make it effective from the date new rates take effect, most likely August 1, 2016. Those are the two most probable effective dates, but we cannot tell you which one it will be, nor can we tell you the probability that the Commission will accept or reject the Company's request or the other recommendations that will be provided to the Commission.

Information regarding UNSE's net metering proposal can be found on our website at <https://www.uesaz.com/renewable/reports/thinkingsolar/>.

Sincerely,

Cara Roll

Regulatory Services
Tucson Electric Power | UniSource Energy Services
Desk | (520) 884-3651
Email | croll@tep.com