# ORIGINAL





# Memorandum

From the office of Chairman Doug Little Arizona Corporation Commission

1200 W. WASHINGTON PHOENIX, ARIZONA (602) 542-0745

TO:

**Docket Control** 

DATE:

May 5, 2016

FROM:

Chairman Doug Little's Office

SUBJECT:

Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142

Chairman Little's office received 20 emails referencing the above Docket Number. The emails can be viewed either in Docket, or on the website via the eDocket link.

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

MAY 05 2016

DOCKETED HY

AZ CORP COMMISSIS
DOCKET CONTROL
1916 MAY 5 PM 10

From:

RICHARD Jahrmarkt < rjahrmarkt@cox.net>

Sent:

Sunday, May 01, 2016 7:22 AM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Solar is the best thing to help keep our aired water clean and end fossil consumption. Solar energy takes less space than drilling. Cars are moving to electric. Mass transportation can be electric. Solar energy should be required on new construction. Roof tops are the perfect place to put solar collectors. Public utilities are just that, a public resource, using public resources to generate power.

Sincerely,

RICHARD Jahrmarkt

3527 N Kachina Ln Scottsdale, AZ 85251

From:

David Sherlock <dsherlock.geo@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Thursday, April 28, 2016 11:13 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

The current rate structure seems to be far to me as a roof top solar customer and my utility company. The proposed changes seem unfair.

Sincerely,

David Sherlock

11942 N Mesquite Hollow Dr Oro Valley, AZ 85737

From:

Ron Dovzak <Beautyanddabeast@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Thursday, April 28, 2016 10:58 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Ron Dovzak

3021 N. Schevene Flagstaff, AZ 86004

From:

orma Ahumada < netafo@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Thursday, April 28, 2016 10:05 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

orma Ahumada

1115 N Duncan as n Dr Not as les, AZ 95621

From:

Bob Kahl <fifty7bobby@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Thursday, April 28, 2016 9:31 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

The Federal Government is pushing everyone to go to solar. I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Bob Kahl

2191 Fremont Dr Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406

From:

Gary Herzog < Gary.herzog@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Thursday, April 28, 2016 8:58 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Any increase in electrical rates are not justified by this utility company that is already making billions of dollars already. Raising rates for any customers that have invested thousands of their own money to reduce electric bills is ludicrous !!! Rooftop solar is reducing the need for expanding utility power plants and utilizes already existing grid. NO increase in electrical usage charges or changes to method of determining rate or volume should not be approved!

Sincerely,

Gary Herzog

2439 Clarke Drive Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403

From:

Mark Wyzenbeek <markwyz@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Thursday, April 28, 2016 5:28 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Mark Wyzenbeek

3408 W Laurel Ln Phoenix, AZ 85029

From:

Dennis Lloyd < Daennis 57 lloyd@msn.com>

Sent:

Friday, April 29, 2016 1:53 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

The fee does not take into consideration our investment in solar sells or the fact it help the utility avoid new plants due to peak energy use.

Sincerely,

Dennis Lloyd

558 N Dijon Court Tucson, AZ 85748

From:

Nicholas Acciardo < nacciardo@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, April 29, 2016 12:26 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Acciardo

11243 So. Santa Margarita Lane Goodyear, AZ 85338

From:

Kathleen Roberge < magarue46@gmail.com>

Sent:

Thursday, April 28, 2016 5:28 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Roberge

AZ

From:

barb breen <br/>brphotos@msn.com>

Sent:

Thursday, April 28, 2016 6:20 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

barb breen

po box 746 humboldt, AZ 86329

From:

kevin bolin <wilyspeedo@hotmail.com>

Sent:

Thursday, April 28, 2016 8:47 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

kevin bolin

7250 s kyrene tempe, AZ 85283

From:

Mick Rizk < Irizk@cox.net>

Sent:

Sunday, May 01, 2016 8:23 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

APS proposed rate increase

From: Lyle M Rizk

2793 N 160<sup>th</sup> Avenue Goodyear, AZ 85395

To:

Mr. Doug Little

Arizona Corporation Commission

RE:

UniSource Electric rate Case Docket: E-04204A-15-0142.

Dear Mr. Little

We live in a development within Goodyear that has more solar users per-capita than any other community in Arizona.....maybe the nation. Based on the latest proposal by APS to assess charges to all solar users, we feel betrayed by APS who first gave us rebates and enticed us to install solar as a means of helping ourselves; while concurrently helping <u>APS</u> reach the federal government goal of providing 20% renewable energy services to their customers.

We signed a contract stating that we would maintain the system on our roof for 20 years and we agreed to do that based upon the charges outlined by APS at the time. Not the rates for electricity, we understand that rates can change, but additional charges aimed specifically at solar users is another issue altogether.

So now that solar users are enjoying the economies of their investments, and APS has met the goal outlined by the federal

government, APS proposes to change things to increase their revenues and enhance their profits. We certainly hope that the Public Utilities Commission sees through this scheme and once and for all quashes the idea that APS can just do whatever they please even in the face of valid contracts with residential solar owners.

Lyle & Cheryl Rizk 2793 N 160<sup>th</sup> Avenue Goodyear, AZ 85395

From:

Neva Jo Sheahan <sheahan@frontiernet.net>

Sent:

Tuesday, May 03, 2016 6:40 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Dear Corporation Commission,
I oppose the Unisource Energy proposal in Docket #E04204A

Neva Jo Sheahan 21609 n 46th place Phoenix Az 85050

Sincerely,

Neva Jo Sheahan

21609 n 46th place phoenix, AZ 85050

From:

Ryan Harding < Hardingrnic@gmail.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, May 04, 2016 1:10 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Ryan Harding

934 e Houston ave Gil ert, AZ 85234

From:

Lena Davenport <lenadavenport@gmail.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, May 03, 2016 12:25 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Lena Davenport

AZ 85282

From:

Michael Smith < Mikeandt@cox.net>

Sent:

Wednesday, May 04, 2016 1:23 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

Docket# E-04204A-15-0142 - No on UNS anti-solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource was wrong to proposal demand charges on all customers and still wrong to propose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I urge the Commission to preserve the ability of ratepayers to exercise choice when it comes to lowering their bills. Please reject demand charges of any kind and protect net metering. By protecting choice and competition in our energy market, we can create jobs and give consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Michael Smith

3220 E Sweetwater Ave Phoenix, AZ 850328

From:

Cullin Pattillo <cullin.pattillo@gmail.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, May 04, 2016 9:21 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Cullin Pattillo

709 Grandview Avenue Kingman, AZ 86401

From:

Raquel Martin <rq@seizethediary.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, May 04, 2016 9:14 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Raquel Martin

932 Madison Kingman, AZ 86401

From:

Julie Zemojtel <pandabear1024@hotmail.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, May 04, 2016 6:31 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

AZ Docket #15-0142--DO NOT APPROVE!!!

Dear Commissioner Little,

I am writing to you in STRONG OPPOSITION to you approving of the UniSource's amended request to approve their changes to net metering for rooftop solar customers.

Our family installed a \$42,000, 38-panel, 100% replacement solar rooftop system to our home in Lake Havasu City, AZ in the fall of 2015.

If you are to allow UniSource to retroactively change the rules on not only our family's investment, but the investment of the many other customers that have also installed rooftop solar since their "magical" grandfathered-in date of June 1st, 2015, you are allowing something to occur that is nothing short of theft.

It is completely despicable to think that your Corporation Commission might approve such an incredibly unjust request from UniSource.

They are a monopoly in our area. They should NOT be allowed to retroactively punish customers that have recently chosen to go solar.

If there is to be a "grandfathered in" date, then it <u>MUST</u> be <u>FROM THE DATE YOUR COMMISSION DECIDES ON</u> <u>THIS CASE--NOT FROM A DATE NEARLY ONE YEAR AGO!!!!!!!!!!</u>

Thank you for doing the RIGHT thing, and DENYING UniSource's request to retroactively punish recent rooftop solar net-metering customers.

Julie & Brian Zemojtel Lake Havasu City homeowners since 2000 Rooftop solar system owners since fall 2015

From:

Victoria Hernandez < Vicman 5657@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, May 03, 2016 7:40 PM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little.

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Victoria Hernandez

3591 Tecumseh Drive Lake Havasu City, AZ 86404