

COMMISSIONERS
DOUG LITTLE – Chairman
BOB STUMP
BOB BURNS
TOM FORESE
ANDY TOBIN



0000170016

ORIGINAL

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

April 29, 2016

To: Docket Control

RE: Unisource Energy Services (UNS) Docket # E-04204A-15-0142

Please docket the attached 3 customer comments opposing the above filed case.

Customer comments can be reviewed in E-docket under the above docket number.

Filed by: Utilities Division – Consumer Services

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
APR 29 2016

DOCKETED BY *JK*

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

2016 APR 29 P 1:59

RECEIVED

E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Tom Davis **Phone:** <<< REDACTED >>> **Opinion Date:** 4/28/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 130329 **Priority:** Respond within 5 business days
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed **Closed Date:** 4/28/2016 8:56 AM

First Name: Bruce **Last Name:** Plenk **Account Name:** Bruce Plenk
Address: <<< REDACTED >>>
City: TUCSON **State:** AZ **Zip Code:** 85712
Work: <<< REDACTED >>> **Email:** <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)*

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142

Docket Position: Against

After sitting in on some parts of the hearing and reviewing other testimony, I hope that Judge Rodda and the Commission will consider the following points that I took away from these hearings: 1-Mandatory demand charges are unfair, unpopular, confusing, untried and inferior to Time of Use rates. 2-Demand charges and/or the elimination of net metering would severely hurt the solar industry in Arizona. 3-The "problem" that UNS seeks to address (lowered kWh sales) can be addressed in a variety of ways vastly superior to that which UNS has finally proposed here. The public interest is not served by propping up UNS revenues in an unfair and unreasonable manner. there may not really be a problem!! 4-In the event that net metering is changed in any way, it is unfair and likely illegal to use any date prior to the date of the decision in this matter to determine which customers are covered by the new plan and which will be "grandfathered." 5-Full retail net metering should remain as is. No change in the net metering rules is possible through an individual utility case such as this one. The waiver request should be rejected. 6-The third tier of rates should be maintained as helpful to encourage conservation. 7-Raising the customer charge by 50 or 100% is not justified, nor is it good regulatory policy. 8. Low income customers need additional protection from rate design changes that hurt them. Several of the proposals here would do just that, especially the increased customer charge and a mandatory demand charge. Please reject the UNS proposals on these topics, retain a low customer charge, keep full retail net metering, and continue to support a viable solar industry in Arizona.

Investigation

Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:
4/28/2016	Tom Davis	Other	Investigation

Entered for the record and docketed. CLOSED

E-04204A-15-0142

**Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Complaint Form**

Investigator: Tom Davis **Phone:** <<< REDACTED >>> **Opinion Date:** 4/27/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 130326 **Priority:** Respond within 5 business days
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed **Closed Date:** 4/27/2016 4:04 PM

First Name: Julie **Last Name:** Zemojtel **Account Name:** Julie Zemojtel
Address: <<< REDACTED >>>
City: Lake Havasu City **State:** AZ **Zip Code:** 86406
Cell: <<< REDACTED >>> **Email:** <<< REDACTED >>> **Home:** <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)*

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142

Docket Position: Against

Re: UniSource SOLAR ATTACK and Docket #15-0142 DO NOT ALLOW UNISOURCE to attack new/recent SOLAR customers!!! HOW DARE they allow SOME solar owners to get off "scott-free" (those who installed their rooftop systems before June 1st of 2015), and PUNISH the rest of us who have installed solar since then...and who would like to install solar in the future??!!! Completely unfair, unjust, and an attack on their "competition" (solar) in their MONOPOLY that they have here in rural Mohave County. DO NOT ALLOW this attack on new and recent Solar DE customers!!! Sincerely, Brian and Julie Zemojtel Homeowners since September, 2000 Solar customers since September, 2015.

Investigation			
Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:
4/27/2016	Tom Davis	Other	Investigation

Entered for the record and docketed. CLOSED

E-04204A-15-0142

**Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Complaint Form**

Investigator: Tom Davis **Phone:** <<< REDACTED >>> **Opinion Date:** 4/27/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 130319 **Priority:** Respond within 5 business days
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed **Closed Date:** 4/27/2016 9:31 AM

First Name: BRUCE **Last Name:** IMSDAHL **Account Name:** BRUCE IMSDAHL
Address: <<< REDACTED >>>
City: Lake Havasu City **State:** AZ **Zip Code:** 86403
Cell: <<< REDACTED >>> **Email:** <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)*

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142

Docket Position: Against

Please consider that the solar customers were told that they would receive net billing and that is how they justified their investment in solar panels. Unisource had the intension that this would relieve them of adding generation and expanding their electric transmission. Therefore please continue net billing for those customers who were connected before the June 1, 2015 date. Demand billing for residential customers is not in the best interest of the residential customers. If the rates are correctly done Unisource will get there rate of return without demand on residential customers. Thank you Bruce Imsdahl

Investigation			
Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:
4/27/2016	Tom Davis	Other	Investigation

Entered for the record and docketed. CLOSED