

ORIGINAL



0000169959

RECEIVED

2016 APR 27 A 9:23

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Memorandum
From the office of
Chairman Doug Little
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. WASHINGTON
PHOENIX, ARIZONA
(602) 542-0745

TO: Docket Control

DATE: April 27, 2016

FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office

SUBJECT: Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142

Chairman Little's office received 6 emails referencing the above Docket Number. The emails can be viewed either in Docket, or on the website via the eDocket link.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

APR 27 2016

DOCKETED BY

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "JL" or similar initials, written over a rectangular box.

Andrea Gaston

From: Mary Claus <truesantaclus@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 22, 2016 1:58 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Mary Claus

13422 w tara ln
Surprise, AZ 85374

Andrea Gaston

From: lindapallotto@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, April 22, 2016 3:33 PM
To: Little-Web; Tobin-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web; Forese-Web
Subject: Electric rate Case Docket: E-04204A-15-0142

Electric rate Case Docket: E-04204A-15-0142

We are opposed to UNS Electric's proposed increase in its basic service charge from \$10 per month to \$20 per month. This is an enormous increase in the basic service charge and it will limit the ability of Arizonans to go solar. The Arizona Corporation Commission should reject the UNS Electric basic service charge because it would become one of the largest basic charges in the nation, and would make Arizona less competitive. With more than 300+ days of sunshine, Arizona should be leading the nation and encouraging more residents and businesses to go solar.

Also, please reject UNS Electric's proposal to adopt demand charges. Small electric utilities are petitioning the Arizona Corporation Commission for Demand Charges before APS submits their request. It seems that APS' strategy is to allow the Arizona Corporation Commission to rule on small utilities before submitting their proposal. If the Arizona Corporation Commission approves demand charges for small utilities, APS will ask for similar treatment. Demand charges are unfair, confusing, and will hinder the average Arizonan from going solar. The Arizona Corporation Commission shouldn't adopt demand charges that penalize solar users. Think of how confusing it would be for the average homeowner to decide which appliances to use during peak demand hours; ie, should we stay cool and wash the laundry, or should we turn off the air conditioning and wash laundry and run the dishwasher. You would almost need to have an appliance chart hanging on the wall so all family members would understand what could be used at what time. One mistake during the month and you will set a high demand charge for the entire month. A high demand charge can wipe away any savings solar customers see by generating their own power.

UNS Electric should reconsider the proposals it has put forward in this rate case. The utility has failed to prove to the Arizona Corporation Commission that the basic service charge and demand charges won't discriminate against solar customers, and hasn't yet shown that these charges will accomplish what the company says they will accomplish.

We urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to protect the people they represent against unfair charges and taxes like the ones being proposed in this rate case, and to support Arizonans' energy choices.

Domenic and Linda Pallotto
2089 N 164th Avenue
Goodyear, AZ 85395

Andrea Gaston

From: Jeanne Devine <jdevinesoll@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 23, 2016 9:01 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Devine

3323 S McAllister ave

Andrea Gaston

From: Susan Sanders <susansanders45@ymail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 23, 2016 10:19 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Susan Sanders

8014 W Windrose Dr
Peoria, AZ 85381

Andrea Gaston

From: Pamela Milavec <pamjmil@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 24, 2016 7:49 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Pamela Milavec

220 Blackjack Dr
220 Blackjack Dr
Sedona, AZ 86351

Andrea Gaston

From: rebecca fink <beckyfink1@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2016 12:26 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

rebecca fink