



**ORIGINAL Arizona Corporation Commis:
Utilities Complaint Form**

Investigator: Michael Buck **Phone:** <<< REDACTED >>> **Opinion Date:** 4/21/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 130223 **Priority:** Respond within 5 business days
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed **Closed Date:** 4/21/2016 9:18 AM

First Name: Terry **Last Name:** Makdad **Account Name:** Terry Makdad
Address: <<< REDACTED >>>
City: Florence **State:** AZ **Zip Code:** 85132
Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Arizona Public Service Company **Division:** Electric
For Assignment **Phone:** <<< REDACTED >>> **Email:** <<< REDACTED >>>

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-01345A-16-0036 **Docket Position:** Against

From: Terry Makdad [mailto: <<< REDACTED >>>] Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

Subject: I oppose APS change from net metering

APR 26 2016

DOCKETED BY

RECEIVED
2016 APR 26 A 8:52
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Dear Corporate Commissioners,

Please see the attached word document voicing my opposition to any change from net metering for existing and future solar customers. I also opposes any new "fees" for solar customers for the same reasons.

I had my PV system turned on the end of January. I've been reading all the APS arguments that say all non-solar customers are subsidizing the customers with solar. When I was gone from my AZ home for 5 months in the summer my bills were \$20-30, and APS did not seem to care. This shows that all of the snowbirds that have low bills when not in AZ are not "paying their fair share" if you believe APS. APS also states that it is more cost effective for them to buy

100s or 1000s of acres of land and then pay a company (foreign companies) to cover it with PV solar. Yet they get the power produced from roof top systems without having to pay the cost of the systems.

APS is also now paying companies to install PV systems on roof tops where APS is paying for the systems. So, why are they installing roof top PV systems if they are not cost effective? I paid cash for my system, so the APS argument that the installer should reduce the profit they make from the lease/financing to offset any rate increase for solar users does not apply to those that paid cash up front. APS should be able to delay or avoid additional generation plants due to the power they get from roof top systems. APS should also be able

E-01345A-16-0036

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

to take credit towards the 20% of clean power requirement for power they get from roof top solar systems.

I am against any change from net metering for existing and future solar customers. Power company arguments are just a backdoor way to boost their profits by getting an across the board rate increase by implementing demand charges for all customers (by blaming solar customers) which are applied for the whole month based on an one hour period for each month. I also am against any new fees for solar customers for the reasons stated above.

Sincerely,

Terry Makdad

<<< REDACTED >>>

Florence, AZ 85132

Investigation			
Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:
4/21/2016	Michael Buck	Telephone	Investigation
Entered into the record and docketed. Closed.			

E-01345A-16-0036

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Michael Buck **Phone:** <<< REDACTED >>> **Opinion Date:** 4/22/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 130285 **Priority:** Respond within 5 business days
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed **Closed Date:** 4/22/2016 3:53 PM

First Name: Ed **Last Name:** Rogers **Account Name:** Ed Rogers
Address:
City: **State:** **Zip Code:**
Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Arizona Public Service Company **Division:** Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-01345A-16-0036 **Docket Position:** Against

From: ed rogers [mailto: <<< REDACTED >>>]

Subject: PEAK demand rate charge for electricitiy . so u know everyone comes home from work starts doing laundry has to have ac on to survive so how stupid is it to charge people on the basis of ONE HOUR OF PEAK DEMAND USE OF ENERGY when theyre washing dishes do...

PEAK demand rate charge for electricitiy . so u know everyone comes home from work starts doing laundry has to have ac on to survive so how stupid is it to charge people on the basis of ONE HOUR OF PEAK DEMAND USE OF ENERGY FOR THE WHOLE MONTH, when theyre washing dishes doing laundry and they gotta have ac NO TO HIGH DEMAND BILLING

--

ED ROGERS WINSLOW AZ

Investigation			
Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:
4/22/2016	Michael Buck	Telephone	Investigation

Entered for the record and docketed. Closed.
