

ORIGINAL



0000169811

**Memorandum**

From the office of  
**Chairman Doug Little**

**Arizona Corporation Commission**

1200 W. WASHINGTON

PHOENIX, ARIZONA

(602) 542-0745

RECEIVED  
AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

2016 APR 20 AM 9 26

TO: Docket Control

DATE: April 20, 2016

FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office

SUBJECT: Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission

**DOCKETED**

APR 20 2016

DOCKETED BY

A handwritten signature, possibly "JL", in a box.

---

Chairman Little's office received 150 emails referencing the above Docket Number. The emails can be viewed either in Docket, or on the website via the eDocket link.

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** James Hays <jamesrhays@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:04 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

James Hays

13025 W. Larkspur Rd  
13025 W. Larkspur Rd  
El Mirage, AZ 85335

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Alex Turner <aturner\_usn@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:44 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Alex Turner

5744 W Plum Rd  
Phoenix, AZ 85083

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Howard Johnson <hjjesa@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:34 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Howard Johnson

2329 N Recker Road  
Unit 49  
Mesa, AZ 85215

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Francis Breitweiser <Dbreit1950@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:16 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

This a company that is 70% owm by Canadian.

This company is out to kill solar and gauge the people that are on their grid.

They own 1 power plant and by buy power from other power suppliers.this increase and the way it is structured will kill solar jobs and stop solar power in their service area.it will also put undo hardship on people who retired and low income.

If you people allow this ,then it won't look good forthe commission or the state of az.

It is time that

It is time for the state,the commission and we the people to tell big business that we rule.

Uns proposal will not create jobs only kill them.

I purge You to vote against this.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Francis Breitweiser

3655 sunny point drive  
3655 sunny point drive  
Lake havasu, AZ 86406

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Jeanne Keen <jkeen63@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Keen

10485 W. Mission Dr/P.O. Box 1736  
10485 W. Mission Dr/P.O. Box 1736  
Arizona City, AZ 85123

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Charles Brown <Huntmasternrown@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:04 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly. On Ssi can not afford increases unfair

Sincerely,

Charles Brown

2337wallapai ave  
Kingman, AZ

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Charles Goff <hollygoff@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:04 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Charles Goff

9788 E Windy Pass Trail  
Gold Canyon, AZ 85118



**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Deirdre Simmons <deirdrels@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:01 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Deirdre Simmons

2183 East Balboa Drive  
Tempe, AZ 85282

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Toby Irons <tobyirons@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 9:08 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Toby Irons

8649 N. Lucretia Mott Rd  
McNeal, AZ 85617

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Holly Reilly <hjreilly48@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 9:22 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

We paid a lot of money for our solar panels. We did it for the environment and to save money on our electric bill . I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering. Do not undo what the populace is in the process of doing.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Holly Reilly

PO Box 1028  
Prescott, AZ 86302

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Shirley Muney <smuney2k@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 4:46 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Shirley Muney

3479 N. Nandina Lane  
3479 N. Nandina Lane  
Tucson, AZ 85712

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** John Williams <rikipere@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 4:43 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS's (and any other Utility's) proposed "demand charges" and elimination of "net metering" on the grounds that this type of proposal is anti-consumer and serves only to raise ratepayers' bills while protecting the utility's "monopoly" position.

"Demand charges" AMBUSH ratepayers, who only know when their "peak demand" has been set AFTER THE FACT! Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they ACTUALLY USE, not AMBUSHED with exorbitant charges based upon a utility-selected short period within a month.

In addition, "net metering" is VITAL to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go to solar or other power producing sources, and protecting thousands of jobs. "Net metering" is a FAIR policy that creates jobs and preserves consumer energy choices.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

John Williams

22907 N Puma Ct  
Sun City West, AZ 85375

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Harry Lantz <ocot1llo@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 4:25 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Harry Lantz

17633 W Ocotillo Rd  
17633 W Ocotillo Rd  
Waddell, AZ 85355

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Mike Penne <Elkhunter@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 3:26 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Mike Penne

3600 yucca dr  
Lake havasu city, AZ 86404

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Paul Koehler <koehleraz@gmail.cm>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 2:56 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Eight years ago, making an investment in rooftop solar energy was promoted in AZ. We expected no penalties for making the investment and participating in the use of solar energy. We would ask that our commitment be honored. SRP expects us to honor our monthly financial use of energy. Why is it now morphing to a one way street for big business?

Sincerely,

Paul Koehler

10910 E Twilight Drive  
Sun Lakes, AZ 85248



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Gary Herzog <Gary.herzog@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 1:22 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

I spent \$40,000 on solar to reduce my electric bills through net billing. I STRONGLY OPPOSE the rate height structure being proposed by UNS!

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Gary Herzog

2439 Clarke Plaza  
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Brandon Guerra <azzightsound01@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 1:19 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Brandon Guerra

7522 W Tierra Buena Ln  
Peoria, AZ 85382

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Janylyn Harding <Janylynaz@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 12:58 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Janylyn Harding

1237 w Ellis  
Mesa, AZ 85201

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Debbie Yin <debbieyin2002@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 12:52 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject Unisource's double assault on consumer choice. Demand charges are not the answer. Net metering is working in Arizona. Represent the voice of the people, not of utility monopolies.

Sincerely,

Debbie Yin

14219 N Desert Flower Dr  
Fountain Hills, AZ 85268

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Candee Wright <candeewright@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 12:52 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I appose the proposal regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142.  
It is fair to charge a rate and pay per use not what I use my highest time. Solar should not pay any more either.

Candee Wright  
Kingman, AZ 86401

Sincerely,

Candee Wright

2624 Triangle S St  
Kingman, AZ 86401

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Jon Puckett <Jons69mach1@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 12:44 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time. Also rates should be reduced as they were increased when fuel prices went way up, they are now back down for some time.

Sincerely,

Jon Puckett

3450 Oro Grande Blvd. 101  
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Gary Herzog <Gary.herzog@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 12:32 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Gary Herzog

2439 Clarke Plaza  
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Douglas DiSabatino <douglasdisabatino@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 12:32 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Rooftop solar saves \$5k per house in capital outlay for power plants and saves ongoing power production costs. Those savings more than pay for any accommodations required.

No new charges (taxes), smaller utilities (government), keep Arizona Republican/Libertarian.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Douglas DiSabatino

1903 North Evergreen St  
Chandler, AZ 85225



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Fred Chalfin <azfchalfin@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 12:20 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

**YOU HAVE TO DETERMINE WHETHER YOU REPRESENT THE PEOPLE OR THE ELECTRIC UTILITIES. UNS' PROPOSAL IS DEFINITELY ANTI-PEOPLE AND IS WRONG ON SO MANY LEVELS.**

Sincerely,

Fred Chalfin

12802 S. 40th Place  
Phoenix, AZ 85044-3903

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Gwen St James <gwenstj@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 12:20 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Gwen St James

9567 W Frank Ave  
Peoria, AZ 85382

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** william Jonas <williamijonas@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:38 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I and my wife oppose this type of confusing rate practice of taking the highest usage moment in the month and calculating from that to bill customers.

its like filling a swimming pool and the water company taking that usage and using that as the daily average and billing from that.

this is what the utility is trying to do with electricity, this is not right, unfair and unethical.

Sincerely,

william Jonas

11209 E. Roselle Ave  
Mesa, AZ 85212-4164

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Henry Twombly <oooanon@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:28 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Henry Twombly

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Donald Scholtz <donscholtz@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:19 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Donald Scholtz

9425 E. Palm Tree Dr  
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Demaurol Tryon Sr. <dftryonaz@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:10 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I absolutely support solar energy use for anyone who desires it for the good of our environment and world.

I oppose any legislation the would restrict or inhibit anyone from installing solar, wind or any other type of environmentally safe energy.

Demaurol Tryon Sr.  
Phoenix, AZ 85029

Sincerely,

Demaurol Tryon Sr.

4001 W. Cortez St  
Phoenix, AZ 85029-3028

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Wally Ebell <wally.ebell@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:10 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Wally Ebell

5150 e creekview ln  
5150 e creekview ln  
Prescott, AZ 86303

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Neal Dederich <neal.fourboyz@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 7:50 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Neal Dederich

13821 N40th Ave  
Phx., AZ



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Larry Rippenkroeger <stuntripper@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 7:08 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering. This change would only serve to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

I moved from California to get away from ridiculous over-regulations and corrupt government officials. I would like to see my tax dollars continue to go to Arizona. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, I just had a solar electric system installed on my home. Net metering was vital to making that decision. It preserves the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protects thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs, gives consumers energy choice and helps provide the U.S. with more clean energy.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Larry Rippenkroeger

Lake Havasu, AZ 86404

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** D.E. Whitcomb <diamondd22@earthlink.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 6:32 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please stop UNS from imposing confusing, excessive charges on customers. I support renewable, sustainable energy, like solar. The ACC should do whatever it can to support it as well.

Sincerely,

D.E. Whitcomb

255 E Jacinto  
Tucson, AZ 85705

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Stanley Listzwan <stanaz51@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 6:20 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Stanley Listzwan

9909 e creek st  
TUCSON, AZ 85716

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** david bowling <davebowling40@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 5:50 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

david bowling

888 Paso Dr  
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** RICHARD TUCKER <there42nhaha@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 1:55 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

RICHARD TUCKER

9863 W Salter Drive  
Peoria, AZ 85382

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Kay Lowe <saki13@att.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 1:17 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Kay Lowe

3820 E 117th Avenue  
Thornton, CO 80233

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Michael Fiflis <fiflaw@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:20 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS's proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering. These requests are anti-consumer and serve to protect the utility monopoly.

Demand charges unfairly ambush ratepayers who can only know when peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability and choice of ratepayers to go solar. Contrary to utility propaganda, net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Michael Fiflis

7454 E. Camino Rayo de Luz  
Scottsdale, AZ 85266

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Doug Ayers <drdouglasa@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:14 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Many studies have shown, time and again, that net metering and distributed solar that it supports; deliver real monetary and other benefits to both solar customers AND non-solar customers alike. Don't fall for the common utility argument to the contrary - remember, utilities are NOT objective entities when it comes to the imposition of solar fees and net metering changes!

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Doug Ayers

8045 N Higgins Feather Dr  
Tucson, AZ 85743



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Mark Mulligan <Markrobertmulligan@uahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:31 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Mark Mulligan

2115 e woodman dr  
Tempe, AZ 85283

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Patricia Orlinski <bikerpat@mindspring.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:28 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Patricia Orlinski

10511 W. Kingswood Circle  
Sun City, AZ 85351

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Eugene Lewin <lasparky11@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 9:56 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Eugene Lewin

6931 W Mayberry Trail  
Peoria, AZ 85383

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** George Ribelin <george.ribelin@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 8:46 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

George Ribelin

2850 S BLUE RANCH ROAD  
2850 S BLUE RANCH ROAD  
COTTONWOOD, AZ 86326

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Rodney Smith <rodneyleesmith@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 8:32 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Rodney Smith

9206 e palm tree dr  
tucson, AZ 85710

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Joan Hutcheson <jhutcheson@frontiernet.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 7:07 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Joan Hutcheson

3330 El Dorado Ave N  
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Jerald Templeton <sculptfingers@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 6:28 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Stop trying to suck more money from citizens families when the public is hurting enough as it is to make ends meet !

Sincerely,

Jerald Templeton

12141 n 85th dr  
12141 n 85th dr  
Peoria, AZ 85345

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Sara Gaetz <sgaetz10@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 6:02 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Sara Gaetz

444 W Calle Sombra Linda  
Sahuarita, AZ 85629



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Thaddeus Andreski <tandreski@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 6:02 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Thaddeus Andreski

26264 West Runion Lane  
26264 West Runion Lane  
Buckeye, AZ 85396

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Barbara Hirt <ragalyi-hirt@esedona.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 5:19 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Barbara Hirt

65 Courthouse Butte Rd  
Sedona, AZ 86351

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** John Ebel <jackebel@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 8:50 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

John Ebel

21 Jensen Ave  
Chelmsford, MA 01824

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Anna Dent` <befriendz@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 15, 2016 7:50 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

It is extremely for a state with as much steady sunshine to not have a goal of being a world leader in solar energy. We would be passing up prosperity for AZ citizens. Don't be stupid. Let us lead in solar!

Sincerely,

Anna Dent`

6457 E Broadway  
Mesa, AZ 85206

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Linda Nelson <woodnit@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 15, 2016 4:44 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Linda Nelson

1330 Griffin dr  
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86404

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** jon mongiat <j.mongiat@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 15, 2016 6:38 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly oppose uns's proposal.

Sincerely,

jon mongiat

3625 sunshine drive  
Kingman, AZ 86409

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** betty Deal <dealarizona@earthlink.net>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 15, 2016 7:56 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

betty Deal

7359 w rancho drive  
glendale, AZ 85303

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Patrick Blanton <plblanton@me.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 16, 2016 7:49 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Patrick Blanton

2735 E Cannon Dr  
Phoenix, AZ 85028-3722



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** D Anthony Leiterman <Legolas2030@me.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 17, 2016 9:38 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

D Anthony Leiterman

14919 N 174th Lane  
Surprise, AZ 85388

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Dalene Brown <route66redhead@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 17, 2016 10:20 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Nevada is a POOR state to emulate. Don't screw us!

Sincerely,

Dalene Brown

701 W. Hillview St  
Winslow, AZ, AZ 86047

## **Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Brian Zemojtel <zem40@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 17, 2016 8:48 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** UniSource's proposed rate change

2931 Indian Springs Drive  
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406  
April 17, 2016

### **Doug Little-Chairman**

Arizona Corporation Commission  
Commissioners Wing  
1200 W. Washington - 2nd Floor  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear Chairman Little,

I have been a resident of Lake Havasu City, AZ since 1998 and a home owner since 2000. I am writing in opposition to UniSource's proposed rate change, in regards to docket #15-0142.

My family's greatest concern is the "grandfathered" date of rooftop solar systems purchased by homeowners prior to June 1<sup>st</sup>, 2015. To allow UniSource to use this arbitrary and unfair date would be at the most illegal and at the very least unethical. When we purchased our solar system, we were doing so under the agreement UniSource and solar customers had at that time. If the Unisource proposal goes into effect, at the very least, the "grandfather" clause toward solar owners should be from the moment the proposal is approved.

We believe we did all the right things in making the investment in solar. Not only are we doing this for our own financial future, but we believed we were doing our part for the environment. After years of research and meeting with multiple companies, we made the decision to purchase a "100% replacement" rooftop solar replacement system in September of 2015. After securing multiple quotes from various businesses, we decided to contract with Esmay Electric/SunPower here in Lake Havasu City, AZ. They are a long-time, well-respected family-owned company, and we trust their local business and their employees.

In September of 2015, we purchased 38 solar modules, at a cost of \$46,115.46, and "went live" with UniSource with our solar net-meter in November of 2015. We made a large down payment of \$10,300 on this system from our savings account. We then financed the remaining approximately \$36,000, taking care to keep our monthly solar loan payment at the same amount as our current average UniSource electric bill (approximately \$180/month). By doing this, we kept our "out of pocket" cost for electricity the same each month. At the time, we made this investment decision for our family with the understanding that after the 12-year loan period is up, we would have little to no monthly electric bill as we head into retirement.

Had we known what UniSource was proposing, and if we had known that we would not be able to keep our solar net-metering in the same rate-structure as the "before June 1<sup>st</sup> of 2015" solar customers get, we would never have purchased the solar system that we did in the fall of 2015.

For UniSource to create the "June 1<sup>st</sup>, 2015 retroactive date" for solar customers' net-metering to be "grandfathered in" is unacceptable. Unisource and Esmay's customers were sold into a contract without making it common public knowledge that this net-metering reward would end months after our system went live.

If there is to be a rooftop solar "grandfathered in" date, at the very least, it needs to be starting at a point when this current court decision is made. I understand life isn't fair, but as citizens, we are all responsible for making

it just and ethical. The Commission and UniSource need to find not only a legal and fair solution, but also a just and ethical solution to this problem.

Thank you very much for your time and attention to this extremely critical matter.

Sincerely,

Brian Zemojtel  
Educator  
Homeowner since 2000

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Abrena Winston <Winab57@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 18, 2016 6:25 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Abrena Winston

249 E laurel Av  
249 E laurel Av  
Gilbert, AZ 85234

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Donald Peterson <Dnpeterson52@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 18, 2016 8:26 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Donald Peterson

16130 N Montoya Dr  
Surprise, AZ 85374

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Doug Reichert <dreichert2@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 18, 2016 8:37 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Doug Reichert

4817 E Hobart St  
Mesa, AZ 85205

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Ronald Faut <Rfaut@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Ronald Faut

1520 N Paseo Cerca  
Green Valley, AZ 85614



**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Devidayal Khalsa <music@invinciblemusic.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:08 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Why is Arizona being surpassed by Germany in use of solar technology for our power needs? Please make clean affordable solar a higher priority in your decisions for our future.

Sincerely,

Devidayal Khalsa

834 E Cambridge  
Phoenix, AZ 85006

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Dennis Brydon <den1shan1@outlook.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:08 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Dennis Brydon

8387 N Pepperbox Rd  
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

## **Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Wayne Johnson <wjohnson270@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:08 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Wayne Johnson

6386 W. Greenbriar Dr  
Glendale, AZ 85308-3610

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Keith Wolma <keithwolma@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:08 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Keith Wolma

3537 E Bartlett Place  
3537 E Bartlett Place  
Chandler, AZ 85249

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Dr. Peter Ryers <biz@pnj89.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:07 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Dr. Peter Ryers

2239 S. Box Canyon Trail  
Flagstaff, AZ 86005

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Thomas Bone <tbsteakman@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:04 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Thomas Bone

3947 East Harmony Avenue  
Mesa, AZ 85206

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Steven Lesh <world2steven@q.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:04 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Steven Lesh

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Joseph Fleischmann <jflash1@verizon.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:04 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Joseph Fleischmann

2635 San Juan Drive  
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Edward Kennedy <edkenne@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:02 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Edward Kennedy

900 W GROVE PARKWAY  
900 W GROVE PARKWAY  
Tempe, AZ 85283

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** marc wenning <mwenn1@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:01 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

marc wenning

28816 N Blossom Road  
San Tan Valley, AZ 85143

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Russell Horneyer <russellhorneyer@mac.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:01 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Russell Horneyer

600 El Camino Road  
Sedona, AZ 86336

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Nicholas Acciardo <nacciardo@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 18, 2016 6:43 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

As I see it...Unisource is asking the ACC for "demand charges", an extra high charge on your bill tied to using energy-heavy appliances at the same time, almost impossible to control! If your oven and washing machine are on at the same time, you get slapped with a high charge. If your AC kicks on at the same time you're blowdrying your hair, you get slapped with a high charge.

What is this criminal act which is being portrayed on the citizens of Arizona? It can not happen!!!

I ask you to not vote this CRAZY term into effect.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Acciardo

11243 So. Santa Margarita Lane  
Goodyear, AZ 85338

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** blmagnusson@aol.com  
**Sent:** Monday, April 18, 2016 12:06 PM  
**To:** Little-Web; forest-web@azcc.gov; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web; Tobin-Web  
**Subject:** Docket E-04204A-15-0142

Gentlemen:

We oppose UNS Electric's proposal to adopt demand charges. We believe that individual solar users, by producing power at their homes, add significant value to the overall power grid. An increase in basic service charges has the potential to adversely affect lower-income customers, to limit the ability of some customers to install rooftop solar, and to unfairly target existing solar customers.

Please reject UNS Electric's proposal to adopt demand charges.

Barbara and Larry Magnusson  
16496 W Sheridan St  
Goodyear, AZ 85395

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Jeff Goswick <jeff.goswick@ieee.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 18, 2016 11:46 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Really..... Be reasonable.

Sincerely,

Jeff Goswick

820 E Desert Trumpet Rd  
Phoenix, AZ 85048

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Andre Pernet <apernet1@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:20 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Andre Pernet

4845 N Camino Escuela  
4845 N Camino Escuela  
tucson, AZ 85718

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Ken Nickell <kjnickell@q.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:19 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

I am the pastor of a church and since we are considered a commercial user we deal with demand charges. It is unconscionable that a company can charge us over \$8.00/Kw for the 15 minute period when we go beyond our demand limit. We are a small church with a solar system. Our bill is usually just the administrative charges for we produce more electricity than we use. During July, August and September our bill goes to over \$300 a month, solely because we go slightly over our demand limit. We pay over \$300 for 15 minutes of electricity. In what world does that make any kind of sense. Yet it is extremely clear that only a monopoly can get away with this and your job is to protect the consumer from these abuses by monopolies.

It is also unconscionable that we have no say in how the demand level is set. It does not cost the power company any more to produce that electricity during that 15 minutes than any other time. It is very clearly and simply a way to make an exorbitant amount of money. Now to put that burden on the residential customer is absolutely absurd. I think the commission will find terrible consequences will result if you allow UNS to do this. Up to this point most of the issues in this area have been dealing with a small part of the public. But when every residential customer gets their surprise bill that is far more than they normally pay I believe the Commission will certainly hear about it.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Ken Nickell

2330 S. BUCKBOARD TR  
COTTONWOOD, AZ 86326



**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Janet Schieber <schieber@cableone.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:16 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I am a registered republican and a huge supporter of rooftop solar. I believe that utilities are trying to kill solar in Arizona because they fear it. Instead they should be embracing it and finding ways to incorporate it into their businesses. I would like to see Arizona become the Solar capital of the US. I think this would bring us more positive publicity and businesses to Arizona. Please don't let the utilities kill solar in Arizona. I think it would be very bad for our state.

Sincerely,

Janet Schieber

157 N. French Dr  
Prescott, AZ 86303

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Bobbie Howard <bchoward123@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:14 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I have installed solar panels so I can use free power from the Sun and to do my part to combat climate change. Why should I (or anyone else) be penalized for doing the right thing???

You are supposed to protect the public - not the big corporations.

Sincerely,

Bobbie Howard

9783 E Hidden Green Dr  
9783 E Hidden Green Dr  
Scottsdale, AZ 85262

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** nancy warthan <skipalong2u@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:14 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

nancy warthan

box 2066  
arizona city  
arizona city, AZ 85123

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** mitch weiss <mkhweiss@1791.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:13 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

mitch weiss

1891 SENTINEL DR  
LAKE HAVASU CITY, AZ

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Henry Mailloux <hbmailloux@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:13 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Henry Mailloux

15942 West Merrell Street  
Goodyear, AZ 85395

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Terry McIver <tractormike21@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:58 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Terry McIver

38212 N. 23rd Drive  
Phoenix, AZ 85086

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Karen Bond <kafibo7@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:58 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Karen Bond

6699 2nd St  
Jupiter, FL 33458

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Sara Gibson <sara7gib@mac.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:55 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

As a mother and physician who cares about the future of our state and children....Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Sara Gibson

2100 N Fremont Blvd  
Flagstaff, AZ 86001



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Regina Johnston <Johnston.reggie@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:52 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Regina Johnston

15806 n. 110th Ave  
Sun city, AZ 85351

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** David Maywald <cozonie@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:52 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Solar rooftop is been under attack by the electric companies when they should be working with us. Arizona is the last place in the USA where restrictions on solar should occur.

Sincerely,

David Maywald

16776 W Bristol Ln  
16776 W Bristol Ln  
Surprise, AZ 85374

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Alisa McMahon <mcfif@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:50 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS's proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering. These requests are anti-consumer and serve to protect the utility monopoly.

Demand charges unfairly ambush ratepayers who can only know when peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability and choice of ratepayers to go solar. Contrary to utility propaganda, net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Sincerely,

Alisa McMahon

7454 E. Camino Rayo de Luz  
Scottsdale, AZ 85266

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** John Durr <johnboyaz68@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:50 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please let solar thrive in the desert. Let's be the leader in this together.

Sincerely,

John Durr

18445 W. Tere St  
18445 W. Tere St  
Goodyear, AZ 85338

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Craig Murphy <cryanm89@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:50 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Craig Murphy

1542 East Ebony Place  
Chandler, AZ 85286

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Gary Sprunk <GarySprunk@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:46 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Gary Sprunk

205 Sunset Dr., Lot 113  
Sedona, AZ 86336-5436

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Greg Fahr <gfahr@fahrassociates.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:38 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Greg Fahr

4901 e sunrise dr 107  
tucson, AZ 85718

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Janet Zampieri <jlz@psconsult.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:37 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Janet Zampieri

3125 W Mockingbird Ln  
3125 W Mockingbird Ln  
Tucson, AZ 85713



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Kenneth Nield <kennield@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:34 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Nield

1901 N 125th LN  
Avondale, AZ 85392

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** JohnMichael Flatley <Mykle1@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:32 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

JohnMichael Flatley

1354 E Flower St  
Phoenix, AZ 85014

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Kenneth & JoAnn Bierman <kbierman1@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:32 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Kenneth & JoAnn Bierman

2926 N Mountain Creek Way  
2926 N Mountain Creek Way  
Tucson, AZ 85745

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Allan & Olga Kruger <aok@frontier.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:32 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Allan & Olga Kruger

1316 W. Skyline Dr  
Eagar, AZ 85925-9606

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** John Brady <tombrady45@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:31 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

John Brady

6022 N 10th Way  
Phoenix, AZ 85014-1933

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** JOHN CAVALLO <bcavallo4@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:28 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

JOHN CAVALLO

3081 north 159 th Drive  
goodyear  
AR 85395

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Linda Harrington <Lindaharrington9@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:28 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Linda Harrington

P O Box 68084  
Oro Valley, AZ 85737

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Nicholas Acciardo <nacciardo@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:25 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Acciardo

11243 So. Santa Margarita Ln



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** paul lupo <lupo86301@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:02 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

government wants to have a free ride withall they want for them selves, corporations are just as bad...and bad for the people...paul lupo I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

paul lupo

11052 e turquoise circle  
dewey  
AZ 86327

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Judy Judy martin <jamlwm@me.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:02 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please re-evaluate your rate increase proposal. We oppose the rate increase.

Sincerely,

Judy Judy martin

211 Adobe Dr  
Lake Havadu City, AZ 86403

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Judith Salzman <judiths345@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:04 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

People who want solar should NOT be penalized!!! Corporations should NOT be running this country! Solar is the right of everyone to have renewable energy using the sun.

Sincerely,

Judith Salzman

3262 S. Lakeside Ridge Loop  
Tucson, AZ 85730

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Holly Hand <rodwickhand@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:04 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Holly Hand

26609 N 71st Pl  
Scottsdale, AZ 85266

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** mike larsen <mikelmike626@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:05 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

quit trying to steal from a city residence

Sincerely,

mike larsen

3101 tomtom dr  
hasasu city, AZ 86406

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Ronald Drake <headduck2@cableone.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:08 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Ronald Drake

7405 N Bridle Path  
Prescott, 86305

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Carrie Darling <carrielynned@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:08 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Carrie Darling

18032 N 2nd Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85022

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Deb Sparrow <think1-mail@usa.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:08 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Please take action to support the solar industry

Dear Chairman Little,

If the Arizona Corporation Commission maintains the practice of net metering, it aids in destroying a nascent industry that will help our state make it through the big changes that are happening right now. Have you noticed Peabody Coal's bankruptcy? This is no time to rest on our laurels and act as if the past will always be our present.

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Deb Sparrow

1715 S La Rosa Dr  
Tempe, AZ 85281-6820



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Quentin Lewton <Qlewton@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:10 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Quentin Lewton

PO Box 207  
Sonoita, AZ 85637

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Barry D'Orazio <bgdorazio@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:40 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Barry D'Orazio

7717 N Siesta Sunset Ln  
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315-7815

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Virginia Aguilar <dcvmaguilar@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:38 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Virginia Aguilar

3025 E 18th St  
Tucson, AZ 85716

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Tom Edwards <tompenn70@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:28 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Tom Edwards

122 E.Piping Rock Rd  
122 E.Piping Rock Rd  
Phoenix, AZ 85022

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Mariamne Moore <mariamne@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:22 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Mariamne Moore

125 E Carter Rd  
125 E Carter Rd  
Phoenix, AZ 85042

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Alicia Wolma <aliciawolma2012@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 1:04 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Alicia Wolma

Chandler, AZ

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** kenneth lane <lildufer@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 12:58 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

kenneth lane

3229 W. Morse Dr  
3229 W. Morse Dr  
Anthem, AZ 85086

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Steve Scheumann <sscheumann@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 12:40 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I oppose the demand charge as proposed, since using energy-heavy appliances at the same time is, almost impossible to control for. If the proposal was a higher charge for electricity if you went above a certain usage rate during a fixed peak load time frame , then customers could adjust their usage if they choose to.

I also know net metering is working well, as I am a solar customer on a net metering plan with my utility. Why change something that is not broken?

Sincerely,

Steve Scheumann

4024 S Paiute Way  
Sierra Vista, AR 85650



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Doug Myers <bldeagle@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 12:34 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Doug Myers

8943 W Patrick Ln  
peoria, AZ 85383

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** JOSEPH DLUGOSZ <JOESPHOTOS@LIVE.COM>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 12:28 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

JOSEPH DLUGOSZ

45 REDROCK RD  
SEDONA, AZ 86351

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** J. Shamosh <Greenfingersherbal@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 12:20 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

J. Shamosh

3104 E. Camelback Road  
Phoenix, AZ 85016

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Steve Antonsen <steveninsurprise@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 12:01 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Steve Antonsen

15530 n 159 ct  
Surprise, AZ 85374

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Eloise Lawrence <elawrence9@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:44 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Enough with taxing the sun!

Sincerely,

Eloise Lawrence

3302 East Mountain View Road  
Phoenix, AZ 85028

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Joan Weinberg <Jmw880@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:43 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Joan Weinberg

PO box 66077  
Tucson, AZ 85728

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Peter Baenziger <pbaenzig@mindspring.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:43 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

While I'm not a UNS electric customer, this case is precedent setting and its outcome will affect APS rates. I understand that utilities need to be fairly compensated for their role as peak use supplier, demand charges however is not the way to do it.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Peter Baenziger

255 Madole Rd  
Sedona, AZ 86336

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Michael Nystrom <nystrom\_mike@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:46 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please don't hurt the residential solar energy business. I love my system and these systems are pollution free and reduce the need to burn fossil fuels.

Sincerely,

Michael Nystrom

1909 Riley Ln  
Prescott, AZ 86301



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** John Neville <John@jsedona.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 1:40 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please honor your sworn commitment to Arizona ratepayers and reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering. Their proposal is anti-consumer and only serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

John Neville

49 Pinon Ct

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Geoff Drumm <geoffdrumm@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 1:34 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Geoff Drumm

White Rock Rd  
White Rock Rd  
Chino valley, AZ 86323

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Thomas Leander <Tdleander@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 1:28 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Thomas Leander

26852 n 155 th ave  
Surprise, AZ 85387

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** DeWayne Halfen <halfendt@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 1:28 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

DeWayne Halfen

1737 N Cloverland Ave

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** John Oleson <dragonstonejohn@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 1:16 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Enough is enough! The assault on Solar as well as customers by heavy handed, greedy utilities has got to stop! UNS's latest request are excessive, manipulative, and by far onerous to its customers. UNS needs to stop oppressing their customers and start INVESTING in new technologies rather than killing them. The greed needs to stop now. Kindly remember you are there to protect the citizens of Arizona, not rubber stamp every proposal put forth by the utilities.

Sincerely,

John Oleson

P.O. Box 1729  
St. Johns, AZ 85936

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Myra Baum <mybaum72@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 1:16 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I wish to stop utility companies from charging customers for the customers use of solar. In other words, I am for solar without any company making money for the customers use of solar.

Sincerely,

Myra Baum

8318 E. Via de Las Flores  
Scottsdale,, AZ 85258

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** RONALD WHITE <sdusa1@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 2:14 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

RONALD WHITE

2054 S ROGERS ST  
MESA, AZ 85202

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** John Spall <b-boppn57@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 2:10 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Arizona is the perfect place for solar, Lets not screw it up. Unisource (UNS), a Canadian owned monopoly, is proposing to levy excessive charges on all of its customers and eliminate solar, just like Nevada has done.

Sincerely,

John Spall

13810 N39th LN  
13810 N39th LN  
Phoenix, AZ 85053



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Gary Sibell <galixsi@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 2:46 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Gary Sibell

736 E Terrace Ln  
Camp Verde, AZ 86322

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Elaine Miller <Elaine.mill@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 2:40 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Elaine Miller

12310 W Parkwood Dr  
Sun City West, AZ 85375

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Evelyn Verrill <im2valla@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 2:40 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Verrill

1155 W Fawn Lane  
Prescott, AZ 86305

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Harold Hubbard <hrhubbard@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 2:34 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Harold Hubbard

26921 W Wahalla Ln  
Buckeye, AZ 85396

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Robert Simonetti <rsimon.5@q.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 3:38 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

SHOULD have guessed the ACC has to make their decision. How many of the current committee was brought in with DARK MONEY?? Oh yes the majority are Republicans in charge of making the decision! The ENTIRE REPUBLICAN PARTY is corrupt with DARK MONEY and it's time the people of the United States take back the absolute right to make their own decisions and not taken from the billionaires that are CORRUPTING the SYSTEM! If this proposal is approved, we owe it to the corrupt Republican Party and fossil fuel companies. SHAME ON ALL OF YOU THAT VOTE IN FAVOR OF THIS proposal!!!

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Robert Simonetti

3111 W Redbird Rd  
3111 W Redbird Rd  
Phoenix, AZ 85083

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Chessa Frei <chessafrei@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 3:19 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

This proposal coincides with a crisis building in Lake Havasu City, a town that was severely affected by the recent recession & is still working out of. The Lake Havasu Unified School District has frozen the salaries & wages of both teachers and support staff for the last 8 years. We are now facing insurance premium hikes of over \$800 a month. This change will affect approximately 600 families in Lake Havasu. Please consider all of the retirees, the elderly on fixed incomes. But also consider the educators who devote their time to our youth, ensuring a better tomorrow. We are hardly able to make ends meet now. It will make living in Lake Havasu nearly impossible.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that provides clean energy to my home as well as my neighbors with no expense to Unisource; story still charge the same rate, while I'm providing and maintaining the infrastructure to make that possible.

Please help to keep solar energy affordable for all citizens. Its the right thing to do for our state, our planet, and for our children's future.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Chessa Frei

2409 Ajo Drive  
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Sue E. Dean <deanks@juno.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 4:14 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

I am a solar supporter. I have a 5 kilowatt system on my roof, installed in 2011 using a 20 year lease agreement which is proving to be very helpful to my cost of living in Arizona. Needless to say, I do not want that to change.

I agree with the traditional power providers, there needs to be a better, more balanced way to continue promoting solar using tax rebates and various financial incentives, but it absolutely must be done in a fairer way and must not retroactively negatively impact current solar programs and customers.

In my view, that means no across-the-board flat fees added to all solar customer bills, because number one, we know, as has happened in Nevada, that has virtually killed the nascent industry and the jobs it created.

There has to be a way, especially in our sunny state to pioneer a viable and fair path that encourages solar businesses and jobs without undoing the progress we've already made.

I urge the solar industry to work with the power companies and the Arizona Corporation Commission to work out just such a plan.

Arizona could and should be the solar capital of the world. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

Net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. It is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice, something energy users have been promised for decades but never achieved.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Sue E. Dean

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Charles Conn <eaglecmi@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 4:04 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Charles Conn

13242 W.Castlebar Dr  
Sun City west, AZ 85375



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Richard Reischel <Rick85338@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 3:58 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Richard Reischel

14539 S 182nd Dr  
Goodyear, AZ 85338

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Leah Loveday <leah@truthconsciousness.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 3:56 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Leah Loveday

3325 w. Sweetwater Dr  
Tucson, AZ 85745

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** DW west <xipe@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 3:56 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

DW west

AZ 85064

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** John Peehl <John.peehl@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 3:50 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

John Peehl

16083 W Vale Dr  
Goodyear, AZ 85395

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Edwin Phillips <Eddiephillipssr@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 4:32 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Edwin Phillips

1850 Sentinel Dr  
Lake havasu city, AR 86404

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Herbert Roskind <hroskind@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 4:43 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** veto SB 1417.

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

We just learned that net metering rates are not what they indicate. The Arizona Corp Commission has granted Arizona Power Service (APS) the ability to buy back excess roof top solar generated peak energy at \$.03/kwh. They sell it retail @\$.25/kwh, which leads the unsuspecting (me) to assume we were getting net metering.

Our 2015 surplus of 2175 peak hours generated a payment to us of \$65.25. This was a small percentage of \$543.75 which should have been paid before definitions were changed. Plus somebody got charged \$543.75 for the use of our solar generation.

That is good business when one can short change the provider, take his energy value, and charge another customer full value.

Dark money elected three of five members of the Arizona Corp Commission. They set the rules, define net metering, and resultant payments.

Our newspaper (Arizona Republic) has been publishing the hunt for this dark money. Despite court orders APS has repeatedly refused to provide the e-mails and other documents including money sources for the election of these new members. Arizona recently passed a protection of dark money bill.

Arizona is becoming an anti-solar state. In several ways it already is.

It is the primary state where solar could have been a driving force for employment and development of solar energy. The salaries would be relatively high, and the jobs not exportable.

On top of this, the State regularly lies by advertising it is the "Solar State."

Thank you for your time.

Herbert Roskind Jr.  
hroskind@cox.net  
10629 E. Desert Willow  
Scottsdale, AZ 85255  
602 312-3332-cell  
480 473-7651-FAX  
Mail Attachment.jpeg

Sincerely,

Herbert Roskind

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Marie Ybarra <mybarra3@q.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 4:56 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Marie Ybarra

AZ 85029

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Eliazar Medina <eliasbmedina@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 8:26 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

This is outrageous. Stop the tyranny.

Sincerely,

Eliazar Medina

6733 N 49th Ave  
Phoenix, AZ 85302