

ORIGINAL



0000169590

RECEIVED

2016 APR 11 A 10: 20

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL


Memorandum
From the office of
Chairman Doug Little
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. WASHINGTON
PHOENIX, ARIZONA
(602) 542-0745

TO: Docket Control
DATE: April 11, 2016
FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office
SUBJECT: Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

APR 11 2016



Chairman Little's office received 175 opposition emails referencing the above Docket Number. The emails can be viewed either in Docket, or on the website via the eDocket link.

Andrea Gaston

From: laura martin <ruthmarie330@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2016 12:44 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

laura martin

165 Acacia Lane
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403

Andrea Gaston

From: Sandra Ainsworth <sandyainsw@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2016 1:44 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Demand charges are not the answer. Net metering is working in Arizona. Please represent the voice of the people, not of utility monopolies.

Sincerely,

Sandra Ainsworth

2419 Lillie Ave
Kingman, AZ 86401

Andrea Gaston

From: rsimon.5@q.com
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2016 1:47 PM
To: Little-Web; Forese-Web; Tobin-Web; Tobin-Web
Subject: Your solar vote coming up

Just wanted to let you know that as a solar customer we will be looking VERY closely at your BIASED decision to favor APS. It's become SO obvious just how much CORRUPTION there is within the WHOLE Republican Party across the country including the Governor's latest decision to ALLOW MORE DARK MONEY TO BE USED to Further DESTROY the right of the voters decisions! SHAME on ALL of you and if you repeat NV decision based strictly on HUGE amounts of the fossil fuel industry donating DARK MONEY SHAME on ALL of you! The ENTIRE Democratic voting system has been severely DAMAGED and successfully ELIMINATED allowing INDIVIDUAL states to make their own rules. Bernie Sanders is the ONLY HONEST person running this year. I can NOT wait until batteries become the standard install for ALL homeowners so the utility companies can see just how BACKWARDS thinking they are. ALL based on dark money. I remember a quote that stated "Those that resist the hardest will fall the fastest" I can't wait until that happens. The fact that Republicans don't even recognize the seriousness of climate change, AH YES, but then again the fossil fuels have definitely brainwashed all of them. I really don't know how you can go to sleep at night with your money based decisions!

WILL be watching!

AZ voter DISGUSTED with ALL REPUBLICANS!

Andrea Gaston

From: Dale DeCLARK <ddeclark@ymail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2016 2:57 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: NO DEMAND FEES FOR UNISOURCE

I am writing to beg you to not let Unisource place a demand fee on our residential properties. I am retired and do all I can to keep my utility use down. It is up to Unisource to curb their expenses, overhead and business practices. This will do irreparable damage to residential community.

Please see that this does not happen to our utility bills.

Thank you, Dale DeClark

Andrea Gaston

From: Rory Cantrall <rcantral@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2016 8:58 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please do not allow any change from net metering. It works very well here.

Sincerely,

Rory Cantrall

3728 N Copenhagen Dr
3728 N Copenhagen Dr
Avondale, AZ 85392

Andrea Gaston

From: Gary Herzog <Gary.herzog@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2016 5:26 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Gary Herzog

2439 Clarke Drive
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403

Andrea Gaston

From: Lorraine Arnold <lrarnold1936@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2016 8:53 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

There is no way I can pay a higher Electric bill as I am below the poverty level and social Security is not getting any higher. What can I do???

Sincerely,

Lorraine Arnold

2250 Havasupai Blvd
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403

Andrea Gaston

From: Jim Royals <Royalsjim@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 06, 2016 3:02 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Jim Royals

6840 w. Chinle Dr
Golden Valley, AZ 86413

Andrea Gaston

From: Brenda Mitchell <feelinfyn22@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 06, 2016 4:16 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Take into consideration the unjust and socially appalling nature of your company's proposed 'demand charge'. Disabled Americans, such as myself, will suffer personally as a result of this corporate maneuver.

Sincerely,

Brenda Mitchell

1984 Martinique Dr
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406

Andrea Gaston

From: Octavio Vasquez <Ovasquez47@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 06, 2016 5:50 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Octavio Vasquez

180 Calle Conquistador
Rio Rico, AZ 85648

Andrea Gaston

From: Dave Bowling <davebowling40@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2016 6:44 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Dave Bowling

888 paso dr
Lake havasu, AZ 86406

Andrea Gaston

From: Walter Gates <webdragonaz@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2016 3:08 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Walter Gates

4165 N. glen Rd
Kingman, AZ 86409

Andrea Gaston

From: Michael Penner <Elkhunter1957@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 06, 2016 5:40 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I want solar power

Sincerely,

Michael Penner

3600 yucca dr
Lake havasu city, AZ 86404

Andrea Gaston

From: Kathi Declark <kathideclark@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2016 11:08 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Unisource Demand Charge *15-0142*

My husband and I are adamantly opposed to the Unisource proposed demand charges. We are retired and live on a fixed social security income. We do our best to control our usage, to control our costs. We did not use our heater all winter. Instead we wear layers of clothing as needed. We do not use our air conditioner, except at night if the temperature is in the 90 and above range. The two of us have a load of laundry a week. I cook on my barbeque most nights so as to not use my stove. I do have on refrigerator and a TV that we watch for 3 hrs. a night.

Please, do not allow Unisource to put a demand charge on residential property. They need to lower their costs and make changes on their part. It is easier, of course, to raise rates than to look at their own business practices. Please do not allow this to occur.

Kathleen DeClark

Andrea Gaston

From: Mark Hayduke Grenard <grenardmarkhayduke@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 6:14 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Reject the unisource demand charges which will hurt all consumers with high charges for their electricity use. Don't kill solar with these charges like it was killed in Nevada by Warren Buffet's coal burning and polluting plants which received demand charges and solar was forced to leave Nevada. Allow a free market to flourish. Keep solar in Arizona kill these demand charges now.

Sincerely,

Mark Hayduke Grenard

12810 N. Cave Creek Rd.#105
Phoenix,Yuck,Sprawl, AZ 85022

Andrea Gaston

From: Bill Ryan <Billryan1@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 8:07 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Bill Ryan

2304 Daytona loop
Lake havasu city, AZ 85403

Andrea Gaston

From: Patrick Sullivan <pjsully57@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 11:55 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Patrick Sullivan

5783 E Camino De Azucar
Tucson, 85756

Andrea Gaston

From: Joseph Njuguna <jnjugunah@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 2:20 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Joseph Njuguna

44349 W Bailey dr
Maricopa, AZ 85138

Andrea Gaston

From: Roxana Huggins <qwiji2@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 7:22 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Roxana Huggins

Tucson, AZ 85742

Andrea Gaston

From: Luann Waddell <lis4luann@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 1:22 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I write to urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject Unisources's double assault on consumer choice. The proposed "demand charges" by Unisource is not the answer. This will kill any Unicource customer's choice to save money by going solar, and will hurt local jobs. Net metering is working in Arizona. You were elected by the good citizens of Arizona and you should represent the voice of the people and NOT the interest of utility monopolies.

Sincerely,

Luann Waddell

1650 W Posada Ave
Mesa, AZ 85202

Andrea Gaston

From: Andres Jaramillo <borntomambo@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 2:10 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Andres Jaramillo

9751 E Jagged Peak Rd
Scottsdale, AZ 85262

Andrea Gaston

From: Frank & Jo Anne Knell <knells@cox.net>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 12:32 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Arizona should be leading the world in solar research and utilization. It would mean economic development and fighting climate change. Wake up and do your jobs! Leave fossil fuels in the ground where they can't hurt people.

Sincerely,

Frank & Jo Anne Knell

20022 N Hearthstone Drive
Surprise, AZ 85374

Andrea Gaston

From: Peggy <jpase@msn.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 12:51 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: UniSource Electric rate Case Docket: E-04204A-15-0142.

Dear ACC Commissioner Little,

- I am opposed to UNS Electric's proposed increase in its basic service charge from \$10 per month to \$20 per month. This is a huge increase in the basic service charge and it will limit the ability of Arizonans to go solar and to engage in energy efficiency.
- The Commission should reject the UNS Electric basic service charge because it would instantly become one of the largest basic charges in the country, and would make Arizona less competitive.
- Please reject UNS Electric's proposal to adopt demand charges. Demand charges are unfair, confusing, and make it impossible for the average Arizonan to go solar.
- The Commission shouldn't adopt demand charges that unfairly seek to punish solar users, and that fail to recognize the value that solar provides to the overall grid.
- UNS Electric should go back to the drawing board and consult its customers before making proposals like the ones it has put forward in this rate case. The utility has failed to prove to the Commission that the basic service charge and demand charges won't unduly discriminate against solar customers, and hasn't yet shown that these charges will accomplish what the company says they will accomplish.
- We urge the ACC to protect the people they represent against unfair charges and taxes like the ones being proposed in this rate case, and to push back against charges that will clearly limit Arizonans' energy choices, and kill jobs in our recovering economy.

Respectfully,

Margaret Sins Pase
3211 N 146th Dr
Goodyear, AZ 85395

Andrea Gaston

From: Cynthia Millard <azmillard@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 2:14 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Millard

9616 N. 53rd Ave
Glendale, AZ 85302

Andrea Gaston

From: Kent Lesueur <jklesueur@cableone.net>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 5:25 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Stop Demand Charges

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Kent Lesueur

PO Box 611
Taylor, AZ 85939

Andrea Gaston

From: Jonathan Weisbuch <jbweisbuch@mac.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 6:49 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Weisbuch

2210 Encanto Dr., NW
2210 Encanto Dr., NW
Pheonix, AZ 85007

Andrea Gaston

From: cindy smith <cindyjerry1968@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 7:04 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: "Mandatory Demand Charge"

I am a retiree who hasn't gotten any cost of living increases. My health insurance went up 25% this year. My cable/internet/phone has gone up by 34% this year. My food bill went up 15% last year. If UniSource Energy Services gets their way (using their figures) my electric bill will go up 11%.

I cannot believe a company like UniSource has not budgeted for replacement/repair of their equipment. A company this size would have gone broke if they were not budgeting. For years UniSource and other electric companies have been asking consumers to conserve and go green (solar), now they want to charge users more because we are conserving!!

April 8 (Havasu News Herald) UniSource wants people with pools, to buy a new energy efficient pool pump and offers a \$200 rebate to conserve. Then want demand charges to when they use it??

I believe the present billing system is working for this company and should not be allowed to change!!!!
Please let me know of the hearing date for Lake Havasu, AZ.

Please DO NOT ALLOW this request for DEMAND CHARGES to get its foot in the door!!!

THANK YOU

Gerald Smith

Andrea Gaston

From: Abrena Winston <Winab57@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 9:26 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Abrena Winston

249 E laurel Av
249 E laurel Av
Gilbert, AZ 85234

Andrea Gaston

From: Don Harris <donnieh30@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 10:31 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Solar

Dear Chairman Little,

Commissioners, I would love to see you encourage utilities to find ways to bring their costs down to the consumer. Utility companies seem to always find ways to increase costs. This current attempt is confusing to rate payers. Rate payers deserve more from the monopolies they are stuck with.

Don

Sincerely,

Don Harris

3171 N 83rd Pl
3171 N 83rd Pl
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Andrea Gaston

From: Vicky Cecere <hprules1031@frontiernet.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2016 12:08 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Vicky Cecere

4426 S Bernard Pl
Fort Mohave, AZ 86426

Andrea Gaston

From: Brian Beitman <bbeitman@cox.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2016 5:37 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Brian Beitman

3311 E. Sunnyside In
phx., AZ 85032

Andrea Gaston

From: Scott McCay <smccay@cox.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2016 7:58 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Scott McCay

16037 N 52 PI
16037 N 52 PI

Andrea Gaston

From: Laurie Velasquez <Lvelasquez42518@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2016 9:56 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly. Could you just once protect the consumer instead of the utilities? Just once? Don't we deserve a bone thrown our way once in a while?

Sincerely,

Laurie Velasquez

3328 W. Purdue Ave
Phoenix, AZ 85051

Andrea Gaston

From: Wayne Johnson <wjohnson270@cox.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2016 9:52 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Wayne Johnson

6386 W. Greenbriar Dr
Glendale, AZ 85308-3610

Andrea Gaston

From: RICHARD TUCKER <there42nhaha@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 8:02 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

RICHARD TUCKER

9863 W Salter Drive
PEORIA, AZ 85382-0552

Andrea Gaston

From: Jack Grenard <jackgrenard1462@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 8:37 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jack Grenard

Box 7162
Cave Creek, AZ 85327

Andrea Gaston

From: Charles Conn <eaglecmi@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 8:40 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Charles Conn

13242 W.Castlebar Dr
Sun City west, AZ 85375

Andrea Gaston

From: Doug Ayers <drdouglasa@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 8:49 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please REJECT UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Demand charges are inherently unfair to consumers.

Furthermore, distributed solar does NOT 'shift unfair charges to non-solar customers'. To the contrary, study after study has found that distributed solar actually SAVES non-solar customers as well as the solar users. It does so by bringing other benefits, including but not limited to a) less need for future infrastructure investments by utilities (fewer future power plants and less need for future long-distance power transmission lines), and b) fewer emissions of CO2 and other pollutants (leading to better public health and smaller health-related consumer expenses). **DON'T FALL FOR THE FALSE UTILITY ARGUMENTS ABOUT NON-SOLAR CUSTOMER COSTS!**

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a monopolistic strategy to erode consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers - solely to maintain their decades-old stranglehold on the public.

Sincerely,

Doug Ayers

8045 Higgins Feather
Tucson, AZ 85743

Andrea Gaston

From: Joan Weinberg <Jmw880@comcast.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:01 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Joan Weinberg

PO box 6

Andrea Gaston

From: Keith Wolma <keithwolma@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:13 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

You work for us, not the other way around. The power companies should not be dictating policy about solar and renewable energy!!

Sincerely,

Keith Wolma

3537 E Bartlett Place
3537 E Bartlett Place
Chandler, AZ 85249

Andrea Gaston

From: Robert Izzo <izzocrobert@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 12:38 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Robert Izzo

HCR -2 Box 567
Tucson, AZ 85735

Andrea Gaston

From: D.E. Whitcomb <diamondd22@earthlink.net>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 5:28 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

D.E. Whitcomb

255 E Jacinto
Tucson, AZ 85705

Andrea Gaston

From: Horace Ellis <horace.ellisiii@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 6:04 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I have been reading some of Warren Woodward's emails about his dealings with the Arizona Corporate Commission, and apparently its members are incompetent puppets who not only do not understand the law but who don't even know right from wrong. The illegality and corruption permeating their dealings is beyond belief.

So now they are going to outsource our utility bills to a foreign company? The Commission should be tried for treason!

Holding the meeting in the most remote location possible so people cannot attend reeks of the five hour lines to vote in the last primaries. It is a deliberate attempt to suppress participation.

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Horace Ellis

PO Box 151
Cornville, DE 86325

Andrea Gaston

From: Loyd Adams <Lm_adams@yadams.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 7:01 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Commissioner. Please do not let UNS change the way they bill for electricity.
560 Carol Lane, Lake Havasu City, Az. 86404

Loyd Adams

Sincerely,

Loyd Adams

560 Carol Lane
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86404

Andrea Gaston

From: Pamela Milavec <pamjmil@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 7:32 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

We have just installed solar panels on our house. They work!!! ACC should be working to support solar in order to fight climate change, not allowing utilities to discourage residential solar by imposing unreasonable fees. Please do your job to protect Arizona residents by opposing UNS's proposal.

Sincerely,

Pamela Milavec

220 Blackjack Dr
220 Blackjack Dr
Sedona, AZ 86351

Andrea Gaston

From: Dan & Patty Chance <dchance4@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 8:04 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Dan & Patty Chance

14131 E Lost Summit Pl
Vail, AZ 85641

Andrea Gaston

From: Nicholas Acciaro <nacciardo@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 9:02 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

It is difficult to assume that you have lowered yourselves onto such disparate paths.

Knowing the difference between right and wrong, between paying and free, and the difference between truthful and lies is the issue here!

You have to keep in mind that the future of our population is dependent on the decisions which you will make! Money isn't the major factor in our lives,..

our ability to exist is however, the State of Arizona has forgotten this important fact. Because of greed, crying, and money making scams, Arizona has forgotten the most important issue here..."Our Lives Matter"!

Please consider yourself as one of us...No better and no worse. Vote the right way!!!

Sincerely,

Nicholas Acciaro

11243 So. Santa Margarita Ln
Goodyear, AZ 85338

Andrea Gaston

From: Darrell Price <xvenomx2003@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 9:37 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Darrell Price

2644 Calle Charcas
Kingman, AZ 86409

Andrea Gaston

From: Dennis Yee <dennisyee.cello@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 10:26 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Dennis Yee

6350 N. 78th St
6350 N. 78th St
Scottsdale, AZ 85250

Andrea Gaston

From: Kenneth & JoAnn Bierman <kbierman1@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 10:37 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Kenneth & JoAnn Bierman

2926 N Mountain Creek Way
2926 N Mountain Creek Way

Andrea Gaston

From: Jo Anne Barksdale <JBDAL1@COX.NET>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 10:38 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

We are blessed in Arizona to have the sun shining most of the days out of the year. We should be using more solar energy than any other type. Why aren't we? Greed.

Please do not give in to UNS's proposal to create "demand charges." This would be such a burden to many of us, and would jeopardize the growth of solar in our state, which should be leading the nation in solar energy.

I am a retiree, as is my husband. Please do not add another financial burden to retirees and any Arizonan for that matter.

Sincerely,

Jo Anne Barksdale

3511 E Monica Ave
Phoenix, AZ 85032

Andrea Gaston

From: Geoff Drumm <geoffdrumm@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2016 11:16 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Geoff Drumm

White Rock Rd
White Rock Rd
Chino valley, AZ 86323

Andrea Gaston

From: Julie Decker <adoptnaz@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2016 9:34 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I have 4 special needs children. Two require ventilators. Our electric costs in the summer, which in Arizona lasts about eight months, already costs upwards of \$500. This proposal is unfair, and for my family, life threatening. Arizona residents should not only have the choice to use solar power, but should be encouraged to use it. I will vote for choice and for the those who support it.

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Julie Decker

478 Cheyenne Lane
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403

Andrea Gaston

From: Ron Reynolds <Ronjreynolds@aol.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 5:37 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Ron Reynolds

POBox 4135
Sedona, AZ 86340

Andrea Gaston

From: Sue E. Dean <deanks@juno.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 2:01 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

I am a solar supporter. I have a 5 kilowatt system on my roof, installed in 2011 using a 20 year lease agreement which is proving to be very helpful to my cost of living in Arizona. Needless to say, I do not want that to change.

I agree with the traditional power providers, there needs to be a better, more balanced way to continue promoting solar using tax rebates and various financial incentives, but it absolutely must be done in a fairer way and must not retroactively negatively impact current solar programs and customers.

In my view, that means no across-the-board flat fees added to all solar customer bills, because number one, we know, as has happened in Nevada, that has virtually killed the nascent industry and the jobs it created.

There has to be a way, especially in our sunny state to pioneer a viable and fair path that encourages solar businesses and jobs without undoing the progress we've already made.

I urge the solar industry to work with the power companies and the Arizona Corporation Commission to work out just such a plan.

Arizona could and should be the solar capital of the world.

Further, demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Sue E. Dean

33945 N. 66th Way
Scottsdale, AZ 85266

Andrea Gaston

From: Tom Edwards <tompenn70@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 2:07 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Tom Edwards

122 E.Piping Rock Rd
122 E.Piping Rock Rd
Phoenix, AZ 85022

Andrea Gaston

From: Janet Schieber <schieber@cableone.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 2:13 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Janet Schieber

157 N. French Dr
Prescott, AZ 86303

Andrea Gaston

From: John Neville <John@jsedona.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 2:16 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please do your job as commissioner and reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering. This proposal is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while bolstering the profits the monopoly utility. You are sworn to protect Arizona ratepayers from this type of action on the part of utilities.

The current fee structures charge ratepayers for the energy they use. It does not crush them with exorbitant charges based on a short period of peak energy use within a month.

The current net metering is already biased towards the utilities. They obtain free electricity during hours of peak demand from their solar customers. They can charge premium rates to time-of-use customers with that free solar energy. Then they compensate those solar customers at below market rates and the cheapest electricity at night. However, net metering is an adequate policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice. It should not be eliminated.

Please do your sworn duty and protect Arizona ratepayers from this unwarranted rate hike by monopoly utilities.

Sincerely,

John Neville

49 Pinon Ct
Sedona, AZ 86336

Andrea Gaston

From: April Smith <asmith4610@msn.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 2:17 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

April Smith

PO Box 533
Flagstaff, AZ 86002

Andrea Gaston

From: A. E. Smith <asmith4610@msn.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 2:19 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

A. E. Smith

1351 N Pine Cliff
Flagstaff, AZ 86001

Andrea Gaston

From: Barbara Ehrlich <Cavaliermama56@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 2:25 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Barbara Ehrlich

4535 E. Orange Drive
Phoenix, AZ 85018

Andrea Gaston

From: D Anthony Leiterman <Legolas2030@me.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 2:31 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

D Anthony Leiterman

14919 N 174th Lane
Surprise, AZ 85388

Andrea Gaston

From: Judy Whitehouse <jkwhitehouse@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 2:34 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Judy Whitehouse

4843 E. Coronado Road
Phoenix, AZ 85008-4215

Andrea Gaston

From: Nicole Chalmers <rchalmers@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 3:10 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Nicole Chalmers

13794 W Waddell Rd
Surprise, AZ 85379

Andrea Gaston

From: Roy Chalmers <rchalmers@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 3:13 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Roy Chalmers

13794 W Waddell Rd
Surprise, AZ 85379

Andrea Gaston

From: Jeanne Devine <jdevinesoll@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 3:31 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I am 74 and invested in solar panels in 2008 to save money in my retirement. Much more importantly, I invested in the future for my grandchildren. Every effort must be made to promote clean energy, reduce the use of fossil fuels and nuclear energy, EVEN THOUGH that will cost APS some profit. Your investments in dirty energy over the last 50 years were a GIANT MISTAKE; It is time for APS to move on, accept your losses and work for life!

Sincerely,

Jeanne Devine

3323 S McAllister ave
Tempe, AZ 85282

Andrea Gaston

From: RONALD WHITE <sdusa1@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 3:43 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

RONALD WHITE

2054 S ROGERS ST
MESA, AZ 85202

Andrea Gaston

From: Janice Jarrett <Jjjazz@mac.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 3:55 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I am unable to retire because of the current economic situation although I am certainly of age. I got solar panels to control my utility expenses and to help future generations have some semblance of the life I have had. Recently not only did almost everything but my Social Security get raised - again - (Congress got their raise.), but I stand to be penalized for contributing to energy resources with some unfair fee? This is madness and so backward I can't find the words. I am clearly urging you to protect our collective ability to sustain life and acquire alternate means of our needed energy so as not to choke our planet to death.

Sincerely,

Janice Jarrett

Andrea Gaston

From: David Maywald <cozonie@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 4:08 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

David Maywald

16776 W Bristol Ln
16776 W Bristol Ln
Surprise, AZ 85374

Andrea Gaston

From: Henry Harding <hardinghenry@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 4:13 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Henry Harding

Phoenix, AZ

Andrea Gaston

From: Greg Winston <winair88@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 5:02 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Greg Winston

1186 W Circulo Del Sur
Green Valley, AZ 85614

Andrea Gaston

From: Debra Graham <grahamdebra2826@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 6:40 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Debra Graham

2826 War Eagle Dr
2826 War Eagle Dr
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406

Andrea Gaston

From: Jim HAESSLY <peghaessly@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 7:40 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jim HAESSLY

3769 Cherry Tree Dr
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406

Andrea Gaston

From: Randy Dunton <randy-dunton@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 7:46 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Randy Dunton

16026 S. 14th Dr
Phoenix, AZ 85045

Andrea Gaston

From: Ervin Mrotek <erv@mrotek.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 11:38 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Ervin Mrotek

989 S. Main St., Ste. A, PMB-432
Cottonwood, AZ 86326-4602

Andrea Gaston

From: John Rodwick <Johnrodwick@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 11:46 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I have written ACC many times with articles suggestions and my opinion on alternatives to gutting solar in Arizona and have never heard back as to whether or not you are reading what I send. But I would like to think that you are not just going to do whatever the utility companies want you to do. You should be creative, supportive and innovative and not keep trying back door measures to maintain business as usual. Things are going to change if not now then in five years or less and the utilities are going to look pretty silly in retrospect with all the obstructionism, baiting and misrepresentations that have been practiced up to this point. Please reject this demand charge here and everywhere. It's not nice to do to poor people or anyone.

John Rodwick
Scottsdale AZ

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

John Rodwick

26609 North 71st Pl
Scottsdale, AZ 85266

Andrea Gaston

From: Donna Lucier <Dyonia@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 11:49 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Donna Lucier

665 W Vekol Ct
665 W Vekol Ct
Casa Grande, AZ 85122

Andrea Gaston

From: Nicholas Thompson <nrthompson1@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 11:58 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Thompson

695 S. Cardinal St
GILBERT, AZ 85296

Andrea Gaston

From: Jackie Barbour <jbarbour55@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 12:02 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Jackie Barbour

1445 White Spar Road
Prescott, AZ 86303

Andrea Gaston

From: michael Cataldo <mcataldo919@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 12:07 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I completely oppose the idea of levying charges on folks who took the initiative to purchase a clean energy source. This is all about the greed of the power Companies and the criminal Republican legislators led by none other than our illustrious Gov. Ducey. This guy should be recalled fast.

Sincerely,

michael Cataldo

10608 East Arabian Park Drive
10608 East Arabian Par
Scottsdale, AZ 85258

Andrea Gaston

From: Eloise Lawrence <elawrence9@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 12:31 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please no more energy charges.

Sincerely,

Eloise Lawrence

3302 East Mountain View Rd
Phoenix, AZ 85028

Andrea Gaston

From: Donna Garrett <Dondol53@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 12:33 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I oppose UNS's proposal regarding Docket#E4204A

Sincerely,

Donna Garrett

26965 n. 176 th Dr
Surprise, AZ 83487

Andrea Gaston

From: Stephen Bearden <sbearden211986@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 12:49 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Sunrun Inc. Employee who is pro solar choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Stephen Bearden

Glendale, AZ 85301

Andrea Gaston

From: Umberto Santoni <usantoni@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 12:52 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Umberto Santoni

18170 N. 99th St
Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Andrea Gaston

From: Charles West <caw0224@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 1:00 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I am a retired senior,veteran of the US Army. With the 65 million retirees in direr distress over raising costs every where that is not factored into the real cost of living. We speak out to stop all considered charges to the structured rates that we are under now. We also need for APS to stop interfering with Solar Power for personal home use, and pay back what is far and just.

Yours Truly
Charles A. West
12418 N. La Paloma Ct.
Sun City AZ. 85351

Sincerely,

Charles West

12418 N. La Paloma Ct
Sun City, AZ 85351

Andrea Gaston

From: John Oleson <dragonstonejohn@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 1:01 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

John Oleson

P.O. Box 1729
St. Johns, AZ 85936

Andrea Gaston

From: Neal Dederich <neal.fourboyz@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 1:04 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Solar is the future. There has to be a way for it to work for all sides. Please look for a balance. Do not let it be killed.

Sincerely,

Neal Dederich

13821 N40th Ave
Phx., AZ 85053

Andrea Gaston

From: Edward Ahn <Zeus76dds@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 1:07 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Edward Ahn

690 Chemehuevi Plaza
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406

Andrea Gaston

From: Sabina Dunton <sabinainisedona@q.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 1:17 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Sabina Dunton

111 Fox Road
111 Fox Road
Sedona, AZ 86336

Andrea Gaston

From: Kay Lowe <saki13@att.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 1:20 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Kay Lowe

3820 E 117th Avenue
3820 E 117th Avenue
Thornton, CO 80233-1604

Andrea Gaston

From: Cynthia Scherer <Cynthiashopping@mac.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 1:29 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Anything that discourages solar energy is a bad deal for Arizonans and for our contry. We have one of nature's great sources for energy to harness. Do not allow solar energy to be curbed.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Scherer

PO Box 44189
Tucson, AZ 85733

Andrea Gaston

From: Chris Funkhouser <chris.funkhouser@me.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 1:37 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Chris Funkhouser

1053 E Lodgepole Dr
Gilbert, AZ 85298

Andrea Gaston

From: Larry Martin <jamlwm@me.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 1:55 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Larry Martin

2125 Mimosa Dr
Lake Havadu, AZ 86403

Andrea Gaston

From: Barbara Boelter <blueaz50@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 1:56 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Provide services! Without massive profit-taking!!

Sincerely,

Barbara Boelter

80 Sunset Ln
Sedona, AZ 86336

Andrea Gaston

From: J. Shamosh <Greenfingersherbal@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:08 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Demand charges are not the answer. Net metering is working in Arizona. Please represent the voice of the people not utility monopolies.

Sincerely,

J. Shamosh

3104 E. Camelback Road
Phoenix, AZ 85016

Andrea Gaston

From: bob woodruff <bobwoodruff2014@outlook.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:13 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

bob woodruff

2307 S SUNSET DR
2307 S SUNSET DR
CAMP VERDE, AZ 863226812

Andrea Gaston

From: Virginia Aguilar <dcvmaguilar@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:14 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Virginia Aguilar

3025 E 18th St
Tucson, AZ 85716

Andrea Gaston

From: Bryan Hess <Votsenergy@outlook.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:19 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Bryan Hess

726 W. 19th St
Tempe, AZ 85281

Andrea Gaston

From: Elaine Miller <Elaine.mill@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:20 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Elaine Miller

12310 W Parkwood Dr
Sun City West, AZ 85375

Andrea Gaston

From: Kelly O'Brien <kellyeo@mac.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:22 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

This proposal is out of balance, favoring Unisource rather than the common good. Canadian energy companies, as a side note, do not appear to have made good choices (viz. the collapse of the Shale Gas 'revolution').

I strongly oppose this measure. Please do not approve it.

Sincerely,

Kelly O'Brien

1746 E. Windsong Drive
Phoenix, AZ 85048

Andrea Gaston

From: Nellie Dezinski <ndezenski@ncats.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:40 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Do not do away with solar systems for Michigan, but make it afford able for all, even the low-income & non-profits.

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Nellie Dezinski

8410 E Baker Rd
Hesperia, MI 49421

Andrea Gaston

From: una thomas <mayday4@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:40 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

una thomas

1694 w glendale ave #456
phoenix, AZ 85021

Andrea Gaston

From: Paul Lo Presto <Pjlopresto@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:50 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Paul Lo Presto

4162 E. Camden Ave
San tan valley, AZ 85140

Andrea Gaston

From: Dennis Brydon <den1shan1@outlook.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:52 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Dennis Brydon

AZ 86315

Andrea Gaston

From: nancy warthan <skipalong2u@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:56 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

nancy warthan

box 2066
arizona city, AZ 85123

Andrea Gaston

From: Eugene Lewin <lasparky11@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:56 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Living on a fixed income I cannot afford another rate increase. Especially one that has no merit.

Sincerely,

Eugene Lewin

6931 W Mayberry Trail
Peoria, AZ 85383

Andrea Gaston

From: Linda Dunbar <L_minas@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 11:04 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Linda Dunbar

1655 w. Helena Dr
Phoenix, AZ 85023

Andrea Gaston

From: Allan & Olga Kruger <aok@frontier.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 11:07 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Allan & Olga Kruger

1316 W. Skyline Dr
Eagar, AZ 85925

Andrea Gaston

From: Nicholas Acciaro <nacciardo@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 11:10 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Why are you, the political branches of our Government looking to manipulate our free and given right! This act of "robbery" is wrong!

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Acciaro

11243 So. Santa Margarita Ln
Goodyear, AZ 85338

Andrea Gaston

From: Ronald Drake <headduck2@cableone.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 11:13 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Ronald Drake

7405 N Bridle Path
Prescott, AZ 86305-8912

Andrea Gaston

From: Peter Baenziger <pbaenzig@mindspring.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 11:16 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

As an APS customer, I'm not directly affected by the proposal. But once UNS gets its way, APS can't be far behind. Our family is in the process of having solar panels installed and we're counting on a rate structure similar to the current one to reclaim the large investment over the years.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and reduce conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Please reject the UNS Energy's proposal. Once UNS gets its way, APS and SRP can't be far behind.

Sincerely,

Peter Baenziger

255 Madole Rd
Sedona, AZ 86336

Andrea Gaston

From: Doug Myers <bldeagle@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 11:25 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Doug Myers

8943 W Patrick Ln
Peoria, AZ 85383

Andrea Gaston

From: Jerald Templeton <sculptfingers@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 11:25 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Stop pandering to the monopolist power companies and give people a sensible choice that is better for the future and the future of our families !

Sincerely,

Jerald Templeton

12141 n 85th dr
12141 n 85th dr
Peoria, AZ 85345

Andrea Gaston

From: Robert Heise <heiseconsulting@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 11:34 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Solar should be a requirement for every new home construction in Arizona.

Sincerely,

Robert Heise

Andrea Gaston

From: Holly Reilly <hjreilly48@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:07 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Support alternative energy sources. Solar energy will help save the planet. Your profits are sufficient. You don't need to sabotage solar to make more money. Greed is ugly. Wake up.

Sincerely,

Holly Reilly

PO Box 1028
Prescott, AZ 86302

Andrea Gaston

From: Stanley Listzwan <stanaz51@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:08 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Stanley Listzwan

9909 e creek st
TUCSON, AZ 85730

Andrea Gaston

From: Rodney Smith <rodneyleesmith@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:10 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

TEP and UNS are using a false argument to over charge consumers.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Rodney Smith

9206 E Palm Tree Dr
TUCSON, AZ 85710

Andrea Gaston

From: Laurie Hill <desertgemre@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:14 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Laurie Hill

16528 W. Isleta Ct
Surprise, AZ 85387

Andrea Gaston

From: christopher davidson <christopherdavidson@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:14 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

christopher davidson

14777 w escondido dr n
14777 w escondido dr n
litchfield park, AZ 85340

Andrea Gaston

From: Phillip Stafford <Phillip.stafford@asu.edu>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:16 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

demand charges are not a 'way to 'save and control energy use', they are another money spigot that the electric companies have drilled into our collective maple tree. We have two electric cars and solar water and pv. We would face enormous enormous increases just because we are doing what is best for our planet. This is not progress.

Sincerely,

Phillip Stafford

3419 e. Kachina dr
Phoenix, AZ 85044

Andrea Gaston

From: John Spall <b-boppn57@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:26 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

John Spall

13810 N39th LN
Phoenix, AZ 85053

Andrea Gaston

From: Bill Duey <Bill.duey@me.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:26 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I'm on a fixed income with no rate hike in years. I flat can't afford all the price increases being laid on us by big business. they say the price of gasoline so low that we can afford to be gouged on everything else. that's B.S. The more I try to save the harder it is to get by. Please let us win just once. they seem to win every time. sincerely. Bill Duey.

4390 Stardust
Kingman, Az.

Sincerely,

Bill Duey

4390 Stardust
Kingman, AZ 86409

Andrea Gaston

From: Steve Kennedy <steve@kennedy.name>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:28 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Steve Kennedy

4835 E. Camelhead Drive
4835 E. Camelhead Drive
Phoenix, AZ 85018

Andrea Gaston

From: Barbara Hirt <ragalyi-hirt@esedona.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:40 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I am resending this letter as I feel so strongly about this matter. We have a right to know in advance what our energy charges will be.

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Barbara Hirt

65 Courthouse Butte Rd
Sedona, AZ 86351

Andrea Gaston

From: Charlie DeMarco <ultrawide4@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:44 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please, I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Charlie DeMarco

126 Frontier Dr
Prescott, AZ 86303

Andrea Gaston

From: Preston Mink <pm0421@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:44 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Preston Mink

9403 W Glen Oaks Circle
Sun City, AZ 85351

Andrea Gaston

From: John Kracht <johnk856@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:46 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Billing based on a peak usage that may be only a few minutes a day is an excessive OVERCHARGE and it is the ACC's job to protect Arizona customers from utility companies. This way of charging should be rejected by those who represent the people. Why should any customer wish to be overcharged this way? Public comment should not be needed to deny this billing method!

Sincerely,

John Kracht

P.O.Box 83
Cottonwood, AZ 86326-0083

Andrea Gaston

From: Ana Ligia Coggin <Acoggin@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:49 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Ana Ligia Coggin

3913 w Stella lane
Phoenix, AZ 85019

Andrea Gaston

From: JOSEPH DLUGOSZ <JOESPHOTOS@LIVE.COM>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:52 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

WHY ARE YOU DOING THIS TO ALL THE ROOFTOP SOLAR OWNERS DONT YOU MAKE ENOUGH MONEY ?

Sincerely,

JOSEPH DLUGOSZ

45 REDROCK RD
SEDONA, AZ 86351

Andrea Gaston

From: Richard Quade <r.quade@comcast.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:56 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice. Please consider this proposal a attack on the middle class. I retired after 43 years working and dreaming for a better world to live in. This move will continue to erode a better quality of life for all. This move will only hender those that strive to make the world a better place to live for our children and their children.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Richard Quade

1839 Via Nevada
Rio Rico, AZ 85648

Andrea Gaston

From: Darrell R. Lanham <Darlin93@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:58 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Darrell R. Lanham

4617 E Buckhorn Trail
Cave Creek, AZ 85331

Andrea Gaston

From: Jolene Pierson <jpierson@commspeed.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:00 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering! It is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jolene Pierson

3330 Chimney Rock Lane
Sedona, AZ 86336

Andrea Gaston

From: Mariah Irons <tobyirons1@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:01 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Mariah Irons

8649 N. Lucretia Mott Rd
McNeal, AZ 85617

Andrea Gaston

From: Henry Mailloux <hbmailloux@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:07 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

As a ACC commissioner it is your duty to protect citizens from this monopoly owned company. These excessive charges punish families on limited incomes and it is very excessive. Your duty a public commissioners is to protect the people who put you in office and not be in bed with a Monopolistic owned company. This goes against everything that is American. When a company like Unisource proposes excessive demand charges that are really out of the realm we have a big problem. It is your duty to protect citizens against this type of Monopoly. When a situation like this occurs and it affects all the citizenry and Solar company's plus the jobs it creates then it is your duty to vote against these unrealistic charges. The benefits of going Solar is clean energy, we save water which in Arizona is a priority, it save trees, it creates jobs good for the economy, it does not pollute and creates the type of environment we desperately need. Also it is a big plus for families struggling every day . We play by the rules, go to work every day, pay taxes and then it seems like you cannot wait to take something which is very beneficial to everyone and mess it all up. What we need is true leadership on your part and reject this ridiculous proposal. So, please if you love this Country do the right thing and vote against this insane proposal.

Thank you for you consideration. Henry Mailloux Goodyear, AZ Phone# 623 536-2133

Sincerely,

Henry Mailloux

15942 West Merrell Street
Goodyear, AZ 85395-8196

Andrea Gaston

From: carol keck <carolkeck@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 2:37 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

carol keck

2761 E Simmons St
Tucson, AZ 85716

Andrea Gaston

From: R Lansing <rlpublic001@aol.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 3:02 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

No more penalties for solar producers.

We are trying to help the planet.

We should be looking at refunds from power companies for causing less wear and tear on the grid.

Keep our bills simple and encourage solar by discounts.

Sincerely,

R Lansing

19330 n guardian lane
Surprise, AZ 85387

Andrea Gaston

From: Soraya ALI <soraya.ali@cox.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2016 9:32 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Arizona should lead the nation in solar energy, and its residents should be awarded rather than punished for utilizing clean energy.

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Soraya ALI

401 E. Crescent Place
Chandler, AZ 85249

Andrea Gaston

From: Stacy Morton <stacymorton02@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2016 7:07 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

To whom it may concern,

I am on a fixed income, and I can't afford what you are trying to pass...please reconsider what your company is trying to propose, as this would affect many seniors in our community. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Stacy Morton

3571 N. Roosevelt St
Kingman, AZ 86409-3254

Andrea Gaston

From: Nick Chavez <nickchavez1941@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2016 10:19 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Nick Chavez

4041 Terria Vista Drive
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406

Andrea Gaston

From: Dennis Bonnee <dbnhavas@yaho.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 7:25 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Dennis Bonnee

2647 Rango Drive
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406

Andrea Gaston

From: James Roberts <Brobertslhc@frontier.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 6:37 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

James Roberts

2461 viejo ln
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406

Andrea Gaston

From: Bobbie Howard <bchoward123@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 8:49 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Bobbie Howard

9783 East Hidden Green Drive
Scottsdale, AZ 85262-3611

Andrea Gaston

From: paul lupo <lupo86301@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 8:50 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

paul lupo

11052 e turquoise circle
dewey
az, AZ 86327

Andrea Gaston

From: Donna Caley <rock4dc@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 8:50 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Stop this highway robbery! Leave it the way it is.

Sincerely,

Donna Caley

3901 Northstar Dr
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406

Andrea Gaston

From: Mark Zuehlke <zuehlke950@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 8:50 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Mark Zuehlke

40662 West Hopper Dr
Maricopa, AZ 85138

Andrea Gaston

From: James Stitley <jstitley@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 8:55 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

James Stitley

785 Wandering River Rd
Cornville, AZ 86325

Andrea Gaston

From: Gary Sprunk <GarySprunk@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 8:56 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Utilities should be encouraging, not discouraging, solar in sun-drenched Arizona.

Sincerely,

Gary Sprunk

205 Sunset Dr., Lot 113
Sedona, AZ 86336-5436

Andrea Gaston

From: Carmen Agostinelli <Carmagostinelli@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 8:56 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Demand charges are not the answer. Net metering is working in Arizona

Please represent the voices of the people.

Sincerely,

Carmen Agostinelli

8825 n 192nd ave
Waddell, AZ 85355

Andrea Gaston

From: Richard Hileman <rhileman_96@msn.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 8:56 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Richard Hileman

p o box 1261
Clarkdale, AZ 86324

Andrea Gaston

From: Dean Patterson <dgpatte@sonic.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:00 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Dean Patterson

1030 Crown Ridge Rd
Sedona, AZ 86351

Andrea Gaston

From: Janet Zampieri <jlz@psconsult.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:01 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Demand charges are not the answer. Net metering is working in Arizona.

Please represent the voice of the people, not of utility monopolies.

Sincerely,

Janet Zampieri

3125 W Mockingbird Ln
Tucson, AZ 85713

Andrea Gaston

From: john lee <leezureacres@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:02 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

"To you members of the ACC "

Start listening to the General public who elected you to preserve their rights as citizens and tax payers , and stop these utilities who are funneling thousands of dollars into elections to buy your vote for what they want.

This wide spread corruption has to stop "NOW"

John Lee

1514 w. maverick ln.

Williams, Az. 86046

Sincerely,

john lee

1514 w. maverick ln

1514 w. maverick ln

williams, AZ 86046

Andrea Gaston

From: DeWayne Halfen <halfendt@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:04 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142.

Sincerely,

DeWayne Halfen

1737 N Cloverland Ave
Tucson, AZ 85712

Andrea Gaston

From: Pelauria Abbott <Pelauria@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:04 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Stop pandering to the Koch brothers and "secret" ALEC cabal. Republicans SUCK, and you will all lose in the end!!!!!!

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Pelauria Abbott

AZ 85018

Andrea Gaston

From: Anne Ayers <Shianne66@aol.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 7:32 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Anne Ayers

1315 Piper Dr
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86404

Andrea Gaston

From: Raymond Petersen <Idelia412@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 8:56 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Raymond Petersen

19412 N. Desert Mesa Drive
Surprise, AZ 85374

Andrea Gaston

From: Fred Cardenas <teodocio@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:14 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Fred Cardenas

Tempe, AZ 85283

Andrea Gaston

From: Ray Rudick <rayrudick@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:14 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Ray Rudick

29222 N 49th Way
Cave Creek, AZ 85331

Andrea Gaston

From: Charles Brown <Huntmasterrown@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:20 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please do not allow this to happen on s s l and can not afford increases also it is not fair and true

Sincerely,

Charles Brown

2337wallapai ave
King man, AZ 86401

Andrea Gaston

From: jane ryan <janeanddick50@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 9:28 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Alternative energy is our route to lower pollutants in our air space. This is wrong to bring higher charges to utility bills.

Sincerely,

jane ryan

381 so lynx creek rd
prescott, AZ 86303

Andrea Gaston

From: stephen engelby <s_engelby@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:13 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

stephen engelby

6811 w Del Rio st
Chandler, AZ 85226

Andrea Gaston

From: Bill Nee <billneemail@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:32 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I am strongly against forced demand charges, which cap momentary peaks of service and applies that charge to the entire monthly service.

This momentary peak has no relevance to the actual cost by Unisource to provide service during the month and is a capricious increase in fees to pad their revenue stream with the pretense of cost justification. Depending on the rate plan, utilities are already getting higher rates for peak power load hours, so demand loads on top of that would harm rate payers with unknown charges at the time of service.

Demand spikes for each customer would be smoothed out in the system over the course of the day, so the real cost to the utility would be a blended demand rate not an aggregate of highest spiked individual rates. This is a usurious rate request.

My wife and I are senior citizens. Our Social Security did not go up one cent this year as the government said there was no inflation.

Doubling the service charge from \$10.00 dollars per month to \$20.00 has no bearing on real costs of providing electrical service and the additional accessorial fees and taxes on this proposed service increase make the resulting increase even more extreme. A 100% increase in the base rate request is bizarre and unfair.

Please vote against these unjustified and unfair rate increases.

Sincerely,

Bill Nee

15955 W EDGEMONT AVE
15955 W EDGEMONT AVE
GOODYEAR, AZ 85395-8118

Andrea Gaston

From: Fred Chalfin <azfchalfin@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:37 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Greed is built into each and every business model. That is why there are laws to regulate this tendency. THIS is the reason for the Corporation Commission to exist. If you are not going to defend the ratepayers from this greed, you are not doing your job.

Sincerely,

Fred Chalfin

12802 S
Phoenix, AZ 85044

Andrea Gaston

From: Jean Revie <Jreviemom@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:46 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

We are retired and invested in solar as a clean environmental source of energy as well as a cost saving in our retirement. Additional fees will reduce the number of people willing to invest in the future and hurt those of us who already made that investment.

Jean Revie
606 E Tahoe Vista
Payson, AZ 85541

Sincerely,

Jean Revie

606 E Tahoe Vista
Payson, AZ 85541

Andrea Gaston

From: Nicholas Alcock <Azattorney@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:49 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Alcock

504 E Tuckey Lane
Phoenix, AZ 85012

Andrea Gaston

From: Chester Homan <chesterhoman@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:52 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is crazy. It's solely based on greed and makes it impossible for consumers to control their use in a reasonable manner. It is extremely anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

When the utilities try tricks like this, it is time to put much more control on them by disallowing things like advertising, controlling their other expenditures to stop abuse, and limit their profits to a greater degree.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Chester Homan

20321 N. 106th Drive
Peoria, AZ 85382

Andrea Gaston

From: Linda Jennings <Adnil153@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 10:52 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Why punish citizens investing in progressive technology? You aren't promoting crony capitalism are you?

Sincerely,

Linda Jennings

7227 S Mesquite Dr
Mohave Valley, AZ 86440

Andrea Gaston

From: Amado Del Rosario <aero273@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 11:08 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please do not vote to confirm and enforce the utility company to establish a monopoly! Arizona can become a better state by producing energy cleanly through solar. Additionally, solar allows residents to contribute to green energy and become an active participant in providing energy to the utilities and others. Arizona, with abundant sunlight, can be the model state in terms of GREEN energy by promoting solar residentially and by businesses. Please do not allow what happened in Nevada to happen in our great state! Let's grow clean green energy and keep solar business and jobs in Arizona!

Sincerely,

Amado Del Rosario

8034 E Del Timbre Dr
Scottsdale, AZ 85258

Andrea Gaston

From: Margaret Rainwater <Rainwatermargaret@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 11:13 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket # E-04204A-15-014. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Margaret Rainwater

3989 E. Mc Vicar Ave
Kingman, AZ 86409

Andrea Gaston

From: James Hays <Jamesrhays@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 11:13 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

James Hays

13025 W, Larkspur Rd
El Mirage, AZ 85335

Andrea Gaston

From: Ruth Doremus <rdoremus_2000@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 12:00 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

this is not a big city--most people are senior citizens-- this is not fair to us, we are seniors on a fixed income and our SS income has not had a raise and everything is going up in price. Please take us into consideration when you plan to approve or disapprove the measure-- don't forget this is a Canadian company and all profits will go to Canada and not here

Sincerely,

Ruth Doremus

2455 E Ames Ave
Kiongman, AZ 86409

Andrea Gaston

From: Janilyn Harding <Janilynaz@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 12:14 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Janilyn Harding

1237 w Ellis
Mesa, AZ 85201

Andrea Gaston

From: Sharon Barone <shanbarone@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 12:19 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Sharon Barone

8237 E. Whispering Wind Drive
Scottsdale, AZ 85255-2844

Andrea Gaston

From: Harry Lantz <ocot1llo@aol.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2016 2:31 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Harry Lantz

17633 W Ocotillo Rd
17633 W Ocotillo Rd
Waddell, AZ 85355

Andrea Gaston

From: Paul M Getty <paul.getty@gettyengineering.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2016 10:07 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Embracing solar energy does the following:

- Creates jobs
- Inspires our youth
- Improves our environment
- Improves our health
- Promotes community justice

Trying to kill solar is wrong and holds back our evolution into the 21st century.

WE have to save our planet, there is no one else that will do it for us.

Sincerely,

Paul M Getty

6929 N Hayden Rd
C4-605