

0000169247

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

Memorandum

2016 MAR 25 P 1:45

**From the office of
Chairman Doug Little
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. WASHINGTON
PHOENIX, ARIZONA
(602) 542-0745**


ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

TO: Docket Control
DATE: March 25, 2016
FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office
SUBJECT: Docket No: E-04204A-15-0142

Chairman Little's office received 107 emails opposing the UNS case with the above Docket Number. These emails can be viewed either in Docket, or on the website via the eDocket link.

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

MAR 25 2016

DOCKETED BY 

Andrea Gaston

From: Ralph Fish <Rfish47@msn.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 1:04 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Ralph Fish

1331 E. Redwood Dr
Chandler, AZ 85286-2598

Andrea Gaston

From: Jeffrey Fischer <jeffrey.fischer@back2basics.bz>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 1:09 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket Number E-04204A-15-0142. / APS

Mr. Doug Little,

I will make this simple.

1. This move hurts everyone from those with low income to those with very high income.
2. This kills solar for businesses and home owners.
3. It is a continuation of trying to extort money from consumers by APS. APS needs to clean up its own act.
4. APS overcharges for electricity and in their fees more than other utilities in the region.
5. They speak "about being a solar provider" but do not deliver or even qualify correctly for homes. They subcontract with companies to provide the service that jack up the bills so there is no savings.
6. We have been qualified for solar since our move to Arizona in April 2014 and still do not have it, nor have we ever had an APS person in our home to conduct any type of energy audit. In Colorado, Public Service of Colorado, conducted "free" energy audits and made recommendations to homeowners. If you wanted to step up a level then they would charge you \$99 for a home compression test. If they did the work, the \$99 came off the bill. If you had product that they sold they would utilize that product to reduce your overhead expense. Several of our neighbors took advantage of this option. Better Public Service on the roof than the resident.
7. APS has just started budget billing that runs for a year. They have extorted more money from people who cannot afford it by jacking up rates and not properly disclosing rate calculations to users so they can justify charging you \$193 a month versus the \$153 real cost.

Your decision impacts the economic future of Arizona.

Jeffrey C. Fischer
Back2Basics
Phone: 623-935-2325
Cell: 303-912-4351
Jeffrey.Fischer@Back2Basics.bz



Virus-free. www.avast.com

Andrea Gaston

From: Anthony Profera <koolage@citlink.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:34 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Anthony Profera

Cisco Dr. S
Cisco Dr. S
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403

Andrea Gaston

From: DeWayne Halfen <halfendt@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:34 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

DeWayne Halfen

1737 N Cloverland Ave
Tucson, AZ 85712

Andrea Gaston

From: Jerald Templeton <sculptfingers@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:37 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jerald Templeton

12141 n 85th dr
12141 n 85th dr
Peoria, AZ 85345

Andrea Gaston

From: Edwin Phillips <Eddiephillipssr@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:38 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I live on a fixed income an cannot afford the drastic an unfair proposals submitted by Unisorce

Sincerely,

Edwin Phillips

1850 Sentinel Dr
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86404

Andrea Gaston

From: Greg Fahr <gfahr@fahrassociates.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:43 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Greg Fahr

4901 e sunrise dr 107
tucson, AZ 85718

Andrea Gaston

From: Kathleen Rahn <kprahn@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:44 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Arizona is the perfect location for a growing solar industry. Actions such as these proposed will limit the growth of this clean energy source in Arizona and send it to other states. This is bad for our economy as well as Arizona consumers.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Let Arizona be a leader in expanding the options for the solar power market, not be a roadblock.
Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Rahn

3759 N. Kings Peak
Mesa, AZ 85215

Andrea Gaston

From: Douglas Forsyth <Dugdeb@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:49 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Douglas Forsyth

4690 E Moccasin Tr
Rimrock, AZ 86335

Andrea Gaston

From: Kenneth & JoAnn Bierman <kbierman1@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:50 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Kenneth & JoAnn Bierman

2926 N Mountain Creek Way
2926 N Mountain Creek Way
Tucson, AZ 85745

Andrea Gaston

From: Kelly O'Brien <kellyeo@mac.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:33 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Sir, this program is a giveaway to entities having in interest in the future of Arizona. ASU stands out as a solar example... an organization prepared to go into the future.

Why not support common citizens taking private courses of action to do the same?

Please, do the right thing!

Sincerely,

Kelly O'Brien

1746 E. Windsong Drive
Phoenix, AZ 85048

Andrea Gaston

From: audrey ross <audreymross@msn.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:30 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

audrey ross

lee st
tucson, AZ 85712

Andrea Gaston

From: Brandon Anderson <brandon@solaronesw.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:30 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.)

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Brandon Anderson

2080 Susan Ave
2080 Susan Ave
Clarkdale, AZ 86324

Andrea Gaston

From: J. Shamosh <Greenfingersherbal@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:31 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

J. Shamosh

3104 E. Camelback Road
Phoenix, AZ 85016

Andrea Gaston

From: Annabelle Herbert <annabelleh13@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:14 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Annabelle Herbert

1830 E Broadway #124
Tucson, AZ 85719

Andrea Gaston

From: John Spall <b-boppn57@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:16 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

John Spall

13810 N39th LN
Phoenix, AZ 85053

Andrea Gaston

From: Lynn DeMuth <lmdemuth@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:19 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Lynn DeMuth

2961 W Comstock Dr
2961 W Comstock Dr
Chandler, AZ 85224

Andrea Gaston

From: Janet Zampieri <jlz@psconsult.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:22 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Unisource is asking the ACC for "demand charges", an extra high charge on your bill tied to using energy-heavy appliances at the same time, almost impossible to control for! If your oven and washing machine are one at the same time, you get slapped with a high charge. If your AC kicks on at the same time you're blowdrying your hair, you get slapped with a high charge. This will kill any Unisource customer's choice to save money by going solar, and will hurt local jobs.

Demand charges are not the answer. Net metering is working in Arizona. Please represent the voice of the people, not of utility monopolies.

Sincerely,

Janet Zampieri

3125 W Mockingbird Ln
Tucson, AZ 85713

Andrea Gaston

From: Juanita Colucci <juanita@eclectic-resources.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:26 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Juanita Colucci

2647 Avenida Grande
Bullhead City, AZ 86442

Andrea Gaston

From: June Cohen <az2006@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:26 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

June Cohen

11541 E Salero Drive
Scottsdale, AZ 85262

Andrea Gaston

From: Judy Shaffer <shaffer.judya@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 23, 2016 5:40 PM
Subject: reference Docket Number E-04204A-15-0142.

Arizona Corporation Commission,

APS has been making a concerted effort to hinder the Solar Industry. This is not their first attempt to raise rates on Solar Customers.

As a Solar Customer, I generate much more electricity than I use. This electricity goes back to APS and they in turn sell it to their customers at a very high rate. They are not satisfied to make this profit, they want to squeeze even more out of their customers.

The net effect of Demand Charges for APS will be to totally kill new rooftop solar and to increase their income and earnings. APS has 3 million customers and only 1%, about 35,000, have solar. Here are data from APS [Pinnacle West] financial filings for 2015.

- 2015 Net Earnings: \$437 Million
- 2015 Dividends Paid to Shareholders: \$295 Million
- 2015 Compensation of APS Chairman and CEO: \$3.12 Salary plus 87,000 shares of stock at not cost. At current share price of & 72.98 those shares are worth \$6.4 million.

For a regulated monopoly with very little risk and virtually guaranteed income, APS is financially healthy and does not need a huge increase in rates. • Please reject UNS Electric's proposal to adopt demand charges. Demand charges are unfair, confusing, and make it impossible for the average Arizonan to go solar.

- The Commission shouldn't adopt demand charges that unfairly seek to punish solar users, and that fail to recognize the value that solar provides to the overall grid.
- UNS Electric should go back to the drawing board and consult its customers before making proposals like the ones it has put forward in this rate case. The utility has failed to prove to the Commission that the basic service charge and demand charges won't unduly discriminate against solar customers, and hasn't yet shown that these charges will accomplish what the company says they will accomplish.
- We urge the ACC to protect the people they represent against unfair charges and taxes like the ones being proposed in this rate case, and to push back against charges that will clearly limit Arizonans' energy choices, and kill jobs in our recovering economy.
- I am opposed to UNS Electric's proposed increase in its basic service charge from \$10 per month to \$20 per month. This is a huge increase in the basic service charge and it will limit the ability of Arizonans to go solar and to engage in energy efficiency.
- The Commission should reject the UNS Electric basic service charge because it would instantly become one of the largest basic charges in the country, and would make Arizona less competitive.

Please block this greedy attempt by APS to raise rates!

Sincerely,
Judy Shaffer

Andrea Gaston

From: Scott Gay <se_and_cc@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 23, 2016 8:02 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: UES - Demand Charge Metering Scam 15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Pursuant to the recently introduced proposal to increase UES/Tucson Electric customers charges based upon peak demand, i.e. an upgraded charge based upon the single hour of peak energy use is, simply put, a scam to increase revenue without regard to increased consumer consumption or cost of production and distribution.

In addition the proposed changes in Net Metering will decrease rooftop distributed Solar Energy production and discourage the very sources of energy, we the taxpayer supported by the provision of Federal and State Tax Credits. What is being proposed is nothing short of "taking from the taxpayer with one hand, then taking more with the other".

We the Consumers believe that Public Utilities were intended to be run "in the public interest" and not as a massive revenue generator to enrich faceless corporations.

In this years political climate I'm sure you recognize that the voters are not in a mood to forgive or forget those who side with corporations who try to rob them. If you would be so kind please pass these sentiments onto the other members of the commission.

Thanking you in advance for your consideration of the public interest. I will be pleased to discuss, any reply you choose to favor me with, with the guys down at the Legion and VFW, believe me Utility rates are a topic of great interest.

Scott Gay
Lake Havasu City, AZ.

Andrea Gaston

From: Carol Kaploe <bckap@frontiernet.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:55 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Carol Kaploe

2356 Graystone Dr
Kingman, AZ 86409

Andrea Gaston

From: Nicole Chalmers <rchalmers@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 5:31 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Nicole Chalmers

13794 W Waddell Rd
Surprise, AZ 85379

15

Andrea Gaston

From: Linda Merritt <linda.merritt@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 5:26 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Linda Merritt

3352 N. Garden Lane
Avondale, AZ 85392

Andrea Gaston

From: Deb Sparrow <think1-mail@usa.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 5:20 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Recent actions have undermined the nascent solar industry, employees and solar and would be solar consumers.

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Deb Sparrow

Andrea Gaston

From: Janet Schieber <schieber@cableone.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 5:14 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Janet Schieber

157 N. French Dr
Prescott, AZ 86303

Andrea Gaston

From: Nicholas Acciardo <nacciardo@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 5:14 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly. It would be in poor taste!

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time!

Sincerely,

Nicholas Acciardo

11243 So. Santa Margarita Ln
Goodyear, AZ 85338

Andrea Gaston

From: mart schonberg <martschonberg@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 5:13 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

mart schonberg

125 spotted fawn ct
sedona, AZ 86351

Andrea Gaston

From: John Oleson <dragonstonejohn@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:58 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

John Oleson

P.O. Box 1729
St. Johns, AZ 85936

Andrea Gaston

From: Harry Lantz <ocot1llo@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:19 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Harry Lantz

17633 W Ocotillo Rd
17633 W Ocotillo Rd
Waddell, AZ 85355

Andrea Gaston

From: Maryanne Banola <terravitasoon@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:26 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Maryanne Banola

6529 eart night glow circle
Scottsdale, AZ 85266

Andrea Gaston

From: Kim Fox <foxontherun99@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:27 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please listen to the citizens of Arizona and not APS Southwest and Salt River Project.

Sincerely,

Kim Fox

2650 W Union Hills Dr Lot 262
Phoenix, AZ 85027

Andrea Gaston

From: Steve Fanning <sfanning5v1@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:28 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Steve Fanning

Andrea Gaston

From: William Jonas <williamjonas@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:40 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

William Jonas

11209 E. Roselle Ave
Mesa, AR 85212-4164

Andrea Gaston

From: Ruth Jonas <ruthjonas@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:46 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Ruth Jonas

11209 E. Roselle Ave
Mesa, AZ 85212-4164

Andrea Gaston

From: John West <jwest_3@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:56 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

John West

2001 Magnolia Dr #7
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403

Andrea Gaston

From: Paul M Getty <paul.getty@gettyengineering.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 9:38 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly Urge the ACC to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs in our State and Nation. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Don't allow what happened in Nevada to happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal! It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over electric bills, in order to hold ratepayers captive for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Paul M Getty

6929 N Hayden Rd
C4-605
Scottsdale, AZ 85250

Andrea Gaston

From: Joseph Fleischmann <Jflash1@verizon.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 7:50 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Joseph Fleischmann

2635 San Juan Drive
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403

Andrea Gaston

From: Patricia Harrington <Barefootcajun694@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 7:40 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly. Customers who got solar earlier have no new charge rated budgeted and cannot afford this!

Sincerely,

Patricia Harrington

3539 West Michigan Ave
Glendale, AZ 85308-2928

Andrea Gaston

From: Marissa Williams <marissakwilliams@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 7:26 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Marissa Williams

5116 S Salk Ln
Mesa, AZ 85212

Andrea Gaston

From: Levi Williams <leviwilliams4676@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 7:20 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Levi Williams

5116 S Salk Ln
Mesa, AZ 85212

Andrea Gaston

From: Jolene Pierson <jpierson@commspeed.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 7:08 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Arizona should become a leader in the solar industry and our officials should do everything possible to promote that goal.

Sincerely,

Jolene Pierson

3330 Chimney Rock Lane
Sedona, AZ 86336

Andrea Gaston

From: Sara Gaetz <sgaetz10@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 7:01 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Once again, foreign power and short sighted individuals are trying to stop positive progress away from fossil fuels and toward more efficient and non-polluting solar power. This is absolutely one of the most ignorant things Arizona government could do. But what can we expect when AZ is ranked in the bottom five in nearly every education category. Let us hope there are a few well positioned people in this state's government who can prevent the demise of a profitable future built by forward thinking job creators like Elon Musk.

Sincerely,

Sara Gaetz

AZ 85629

Andrea Gaston

From: Joanne Woods <n2order@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 6:46 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Joanne Woods

15449 N. 25th Ave
#2012
Phoenix, AZ 85023

Andrea Gaston

From: Carrie Darling <carrielynned@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 6:43 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Carrie Darling

Andrea Gaston

From: Chris Funkhouser <chris.funkhouser@me.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 6:40 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Chris Funkhouser

1053 E Lodgepole Dr
Gilbert, AZ 85298-7313

Andrea Gaston

From: Paul Smith <paulandbea@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 6:38 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please stop this insanity.

First we are encouraged to install solar panels to help reduce our reliance on coal and other dirty power sources, to help the environment.

We spent a large amount of money putting solar in, which also helps reduce Unisource's need to build additional power plants.

We have helped Unisource reduce the need for purchasing additional power because our solar panels are part of an distributed power source. This helps them just for that reason.

Please don't let them reduce the savings we have planned on receiving during our retirement years while we are on a fixed budget.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Paul Smith

660 Aquamarine Drive
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406

Andrea Gaston

From: Janet Santiago <janeta2@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 6:37 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Janet Santiago

4502 W El Caminito
Glendale, AZ 85302

Andrea Gaston

From: Pam Cataldo <Pamhere5@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 6:28 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Pam Cataldo

10608 east Arabian park drive
Scottsdale, AZ 85258

Andrea Gaston

From: Richard Reischel <Rick85338@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 6:28 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Richard Reischel

14539 S182nd Drive
Goodyear, AZ 85338

Andrea Gaston

From: Dr. Peter Ryers <biz@pnj89.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 6:28 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

We have made the investment in the future of solar energy and have been taxed, docked, and discouraged from having a clean energy future by the republican administration of Arizona. What do you have against moving forward instead of trying to reclaim the past and putting money into pockets that are already full? Of all states, we should be the leader in solar energy, and it is an embarrassment to have administration that wants to block it. Get with the future!

Sincerely,

Dr. Peter Ryers

2239 S. Box Canyon Trail
Flagstaff, AZ 86005

Andrea Gaston

From: Steve Antonsen <steveninsurprise@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 6:25 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Steve Antonsen

15530 n 159 ct
15530 n 159 ct
Surprise, AZ 85374

Andrea Gaston

From: Alyse Howard <Alyseshoward@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 6:19 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

The sun is Arizona's most abundant resource. We need to take advantage of it!

Sincerely,

Alyse Howard

1809 E Manhatton Dr
Tempa, AZ 85282

Andrea Gaston

From: Elaine Miller <Elaine.mill@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 6:10 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Elaine Miller

12310 W Parkwood Dr
Sun City West, AZ 85375

Andrea Gaston

From: Cheri Woods <aumgirl@q.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 6:00 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Enough is enough! It's past time to stop harrassing those who want to go with solar. It is a crime and a shame what APS is always trying to do to undermine the ease and cost of solar for the consumer. Please, do your job and put an end to the APS stonewalling of further fair solar dealings. Arizona is a disgrace at this point when it comes to solar. The people want it and the utilities just drag their feet and keep increasing fees. Please settle this fairly and equitably FOR THE CONSUMER for once and for all. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cheri Woods

126 N. Verde Heights Drive
Cottonwood, AZ 86326

Andrea Gaston

From: Barbara Hirt <ragalyi-hirt@esedona.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 5:58 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I urge all members of the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject Unisource's double assault on consumer choice. Demand charges are not the answer as they are confusing and excessive. Net metering is working in Arizona for customers and the electric companies, therefore, I request that you vote to retain it. Please represent the voice of the people who have elected you, not the utility monopolies.

Sincerely,

Barbara Hirt

65 Courthouse Butte Rd
Sedona, AZ 86351

Andrea Gaston

From: Randy French <Randy@havasusolar.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 5:49 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Randy French

3160 Star Dr
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406

Andrea Gaston

From: Sybil Melody <sybil@melodylife.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 11:38 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Solar should be supported in Arizona not eliminated.

Sincerely,

Sybil Melody

PO Box 1179
PO Box 1179
Clarkdale, AZ 86324

Andrea Gaston

From: Jade Nielson <yyoddball@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 11:37 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Jade Nielson

17667w Pershing st
Surprise, AZ 85388

Andrea Gaston

From: Pamela Milavec <pamjmil@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 11:31 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Pamela Milavec

220 Blackjack Dr
220 Blackjack Dr
Sedona, AZ 86351

Andrea Gaston

From: Judith Salzman <judiths345@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 11:26 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Demand charges are not the answer.

Net metering is working in Arizona.

Represent the voice of the people, not of utility monopolies.

We have the best solar on the planet earth. Every roof should have solar as does mine.

We should not be run by corporations!

Sincerely,

Judith Salzman

3262 S. Lakeside Ridge Loop
Tucson, AZ 85730

Andrea Gaston

From: Stan Kingman <dragonfiretree@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 11:07 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Dear and Honorable Commissioners,

Is it not your legal responsibility and sworn duty to oversee fair practices in support of ratepayers? Standing as the primary firewall of protection for the People against monopolized Utility Companies for which ratepayers have little if any choice in their sourcing of utilities? Any and all unfair or imbalanced policies or practices allowed by the Commission, have multiplying effects against the welfare of the People in this State.

Therefore, I very strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent precedent setting proposal to impose demand metering and eliminate net metering. To be done in a most sobering manor, least you add to your already over-the-top and growing legal problems.

Demand metering charges are an anti-consumer billing procedure deceptively designed as a disincentive to ratepayer conservation and energy efficiency. This double-speak shell game be the epitome of sloppy, greedy, Corporate bold faced lying! Thoroughly checking and re-checking their proposed statistical numbers will bear this out. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with extortionate charges based on a small peak slice of their monthly usage. Unfair and deceptive practices are the very core of the Commission's legal mandate to regulate and prevent such occurrences.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to choose solar, or other options, and the maintaining of thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona. Make Arizona healthy and happily running smooth with ratepayer friendly and fair policy. As opposed to the increasing chaos of illegal infringements on ratepayers! We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Or fail in any way to uphold the lawful duty of the Commission. Please slow down and get it right!

This non-sense has NO place in a healthy society. So you must reject UNS Energy's proposal. This power grab erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly. Thank you for your time.

Respectfully,

Stan Kingman
P.O. Box 1168
Sedona, AZ 86339

Sincerely,

Stan Kingman

Andrea Gaston

From: Susan Jerez <sljerez@q.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 10:19 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Arizona should be a giant in the solar industry. Don't allow this Canadian company's greed and short-sighted proposal shut down our solar industry. If homeowners all had self-sufficient solar power no accident or terrorist attack could turn off our electricity, which could be fatal when it's 110, as it will be soon. Solar makes sense in all ways. Utilities just have to get used to making less money with their monopoly on a public necessity. We need jobs and reliable affordable electricity.

Sincerely,

Susan Jerez

1672 W Hudson Dr
Tucson, AZ 85704

Andrea Gaston

From: Craig Schmidlin <craig.schmidlin@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 9:32 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Craig Schmidlin

18216 W La Mirada Dr
Goodyear, AZ 85388

Andrea Gaston

From: Rodney Smith <rodneyleesmith@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 9:31 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

It is your job to protect consumers and make a more balanced economy for corporations. When a corporation can make more than the current bank interest they are profitable. That means all they need to make is 2% over value. Taking away the value of Arizona Solar which is free sun power cheats us all. Solar does not steal from their grid, they never took care of it anyway. I never see a utility company in my area except to read meters. All this new plan is, is a way to stop consumers from providing a share of the power from their own property. Tell them to come back with a better plan.

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Rodney Smith

9206 e palm tree dr
TUCSON, AZ

Andrea Gaston

From: Tom Edwards <tompenn70@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 9:28 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Tom Edwards

122 E.Piping Rock Rd
122 E.Piping Rock Rd
Phoenix, AZ 85022

Andrea Gaston

From: John Neighbors <jrshoes1@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 9:23 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

John Neighbors

4350 W Sungate Pl
4350 W Sungate Pl
Tucson, AZ 85741-3914

Andrea Gaston

From: John Hiett, PE <John.Hiett@hr5consulting.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 9:23 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

John Hiett, PE

1914 E. Gemini Drive
Tempe, AZ 85283

Andrea Gaston

From: Marta Herrero <mph@asu.edu>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 9:22 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Marta Herrero

412 E. Erie Dr
Tempe, AZ 85282

Andrea Gaston

From: Eugene Lewin <lasparky11@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 9:16 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Eugene Lewin

6931 W Mayberry Trail
Peoria, AZ 85383

Andrea Gaston

From: Nasrin Mazuji <nmazuji@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 9:07 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Nasrin Mazuji

3498 Little Hill lane
Sierra Vista, AZ 85635

Andrea Gaston

From: Jacob Larson <jlarson.20@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 9:05 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

This is un-American and unjust. Monopolies should not be allowed to shut out competitors like this.

Sincerely,

Jacob Larson

2846 E Dartmouth
Mesa, AZ 85213

Andrea Gaston

From: Louise Erickson <Wooderickson@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 9:02 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I oppose UNS's proposal.

Sincerely,

Louise Erickson

3812 N 37 PL
Phoenix, AZ 85018

Andrea Gaston

From: Kay Lowe <saki13@att.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 8:43 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Kay Lowe

3820 E 117th Ave
3820 E 117th Ave
Thornton, CO 80233-1604

Andrea Gaston

From: Stephen Adelsman <sadelsman@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 8:01 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please do your job representing the interests of the people and not just the utilities. It is time to move into the exciting future, one which best serves everyone, rather than trying to hold onto the structures and strategies of the past.

Sincerely,

Stephen Adelsman

634 Silver Springs Circle
Cottonwood, AZ 86326

Andrea Gaston

From: Christine Dayton <teachers12@q.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 8:01 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Christine Dayton

10049 N Rancho Sonora Drive
10049 N Rancho Sonora Drive
Oro Valley, AZ 85737

Andrea Gaston

From: Neal Dederich <neal.fourboyz@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 7:58 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Solar is the long term answer. Please do not suppress it.

Sincerely,

Neal Dederich

13821 N40th Ave
Phx., AZ 85053

Andrea Gaston

From: DW west <xipe@cox.net>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 10:01 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

DW west

Andrea Gaston

From: Michael Hess <redcorvette1@cox.net>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 9:19 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Michael Hess

18417 N. 147th Dr
surprise, AZ 85374

Andrea Gaston

From: Robert Simonetti <rsimon.5@q.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 9:19 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

STOP, STOP, STOP ALL decisions based on the ABSOLUTE OUTRAGEOUS act of using DARK MONEY to make your decisions. WE all know how you got elected in this manner and if you favor the PRO-UTILITIES decisions you should be ASHAMED of yourselves. The ENTIRE REPUBLICAN PARTY IS CORRUPT and I truly hope the NOV elections will stop your criminal behavior. As in Nevada, if you go alone with DARK MONEY decisions, it will HIGHLY effect the AZ economy, job loss and even the WORST, climate change in which ALL REPUBLICAN's DENY! SHAME ON ALL OF YOU!!

Sincerely,

Robert Simonetti

3111 W Redbird Rd
3111 W Redbird Rd
Phoenix, AZ 85083

Andrea Gaston

From: James Hakeem <jujimar4@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 9:13 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please stop this craziness by Unisource and any others. For so long, Solar was promoted as one of the non polluting methods of getting the USA to energy independence. Now that quite a number of us agreed and bought in to it, vendors see an opportunity to gouge us. We must have politicians that are watching out for the people.

I urge you to vote against any attempt by industry or government to impose new policies in the form of fees or charges that will affect past, present or future solar power users.

Sincerely,

James Hakeem

1324 Wilson Dr
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86404

Andrea Gaston

From: rex miller <rextreme99@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 9:01 AM
To: Little-Web
Cc: editor@havasunews.com
Subject: Demand Charges

Mr Doug Little
Chairman
Arizona Corporation Commission

Hello Mr Little,
Please allow me to state my absolute objection to allowing UNISYS to initiate Demand Charges on residential consumers.
Low income working families, and fixed income retirees such as myself, can not afford yet another increase in expenses over which we have little control.
We do as much as possible to minimize our usage, and now UNISYS wants to penalize (spelled "rip off") us for implementing the very things they have encouraged us to do, such as LED lighting and Solar power, among others.
Their explanation for doing this is nothing short of pure propaganda, insulting our intelligence with their thinly veiled rationale for their greed.
The most equitable way for them to increase their bottom line (profits) is to REDUCE EXPENSES, not raise rates by every scheme they can come up with!
Please to see it that the ACC does NOT approve this consumer rip off , via "Demand Charges" by UNISYS!

Thank you for your attention to this matter, and your service on behalf of the Arizona citizens.

rex miller
Lake Havasu City

Andrea Gaston

From: Michael Oskins <mkosk1@cableone.net>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 8:37 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Solar users should get the spot rate for energy sent from their systems to APS

Sincerely,

Michael Oskins

4759 Sharp Shooter Way
4759 Sharp Shooter Way
Prescott, AZ 86301

Andrea Gaston

From: McCue, Monte W <monte.mccue@evoqua.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 8:16 AM
To: RBurns-Web; Stump-Web; Little-Web; Forese-Web; Tobin-Web
Subject: UNS Rate Increase and Change in Net Metering - Please Vote No.

Gentlemen:

I purchased a PV system for my home at 815 Paso Drive in Lake Havasu City, AZ last year. The system was up and running on November 17, 2015. The system I bought (not leased) was \$46,000. After the tax credit, my out of pocket cost was around \$32,000. I installed this system with the understanding that I could "bank" my credits in the winter time and use them during the summer months. This was the deciding factor in going ahead with the purchase. Under this UNS proposal, it appears I would not qualify for banking anymore. I think it is grossly unfair to now change the rules by back-dating when one could qualify for banking (Net Metering). I urge the ACC NOT to allow UNS to discontinue banking for installations AFTER June 1, 2015. At the very worst case, UNS should not be allowed to discontinue banking for anyone currently owning a PV system on the date of ACC's approval of this rate increase (which I hope you do not approve).

I understand UNS's need to remain profitable, but not at the expense of my investment. I do not want to be penalized for trying to do the right thing for the environment.

I urge the Commission NOT to allow the change in Net Metering and Banking. Do not allow them to change the rules retroactively back to June 1, 2015.

In addition, the request to institute a demand charge for residential users must not be approved. UNS should not be given the ability to charge residential users more on our electric bills if we some unknown quantity of electricity above some predetermined unspecified amount.

If UNS requires more revenue to achieve their rate of return on investment, simply increase the price per kWh. Everyone can understand and anticipate what they will have to pay for electricity.

I encourage the Commission to vote against UNS's rate increase as written and I hope you will not penalize people that have made a sizable investment in solar power for their homes.

Thank you.

Monte McCue

Andrea Gaston

From: Dennis Brydon <den1shan1@outlook.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 8:02 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Dennis Brydon

8387 N Pepperbox Rd
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

Andrea Gaston

From: Larry Burstyn <larryburstyn@commspeed.net>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 7:58 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Demand charges are not the answer. Net metering is working in Arizona. Do not fix what is not broken.

Sincerely,

Larry Burstyn

2775 S D.R. Ranch Ln
Cornville, AZ 86325

Andrea Gaston

From: Gary Sibell <galixsi@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 7:30 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Solar is Arizona. To block residents from accessing solar energy makes no sense. It only makes "cents" for the utility companies.

Sincerely,

Gary Sibell

736 E Terrace Ln
Camp Verde, AZ 86322

Andrea Gaston

From: ROY MANNNS <rlmanns@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 7:30 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I Urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject Unisource's double assault on consumer choice. Demand charges are not the answer. Net metering is working in Arizona. Please represent the voice of the people, not of utility monopolies.

Remember many citizens are on a fixed income with little to no increases - especially in Social Security. This is one of the reasons I went with solar - to stabilize my utility costs.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

ROY MANNNS

637 Silver Springs Circle
Cottonwood, AZ 86326

Andrea Gaston

From: Kevin Underwood <Theydare@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 6:52 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Kevin Underwood

14210 W Greentree Dr. S
Litchfield park, AZ 85340

Andrea Gaston

From: JOSEPH DLUGOSZ <JOESPHOTOS@LIVE.COM>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 6:10 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

JOSEPH DLUGOSZ

45 REDROCK ROAD
SEDONA
AZ 86351

Andrea Gaston

From: Patricia Montgomery <AZsunfun2u@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 5:10 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Patricia Montgomery

P.O. Box. 6052
7817 E Carefree Estates Circle
Carefree, AZ 85377

Andrea Gaston

From: Deborah Thalasis <dthalasis@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 4:58 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering. Proposals need to be fair to all customers and the utilities must consider ways to reduce administrative overhead just like every other industry has been required to do. Simply passing costs on to consumers, both solar and regular is not acceptable.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Deborah Thalasis

1735 W Hudson Drive
Tucson, AZ 85704

Andrea Gaston

From: Stanley Listzwan <stanaz51@cox.net>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 3:02 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Stanley Listzwan

9909 e creek st
TUCSON, AZ 85730

Andrea Gaston

From: Chris Lindsey <chris@christopherlindsey.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 2:36 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Chris Lindsey

PO Box 434
Tonto Basin, AZ 85553

Andrea Gaston

From: Suzy Mound <findsuzy@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 1:55 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Suzy Mound

646 Center Ave
Jerome, AZ 86331

Andrea Gaston

From: Josh Spradling <ok2go@cox.net>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 1:49 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Josh Spradling

9012 W. Yellow Bird Ln
9012 W. Yellow Bird Ln
Peoria, AZ 85383

Andrea Gaston

From: John Durr <johnboyaz68@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 11:55 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

John Durr

18445 W. Tere St
Goodyear, AZ 85338

Andrea Gaston

From: Wayne Johnson <wjohnson270@cox.net>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 9:58 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please do everything within your power to strengthen solar electric in AZ. Reign in the utility companies and their solar killing fees and proposals.

Sincerely,

Wayne Johnson

6386 W. Greenbriar Dr
Glendale, 85308-3610

Andrea Gaston

From: Crystal Deen <Arbella3@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 10:37 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

STOP PUNISHING SOLAR CUSTOMERS! We are trying to do the right thing for the environment. Why aren't you? Any candidate that doesn't support Solar will no longer get my vote or my friends and families votes. Tired of politics for the 1%.

Sincerely,

Crystal Deen

2753 E Broadway Rd Ste 101-239
Mesa, AZ 85204

Andrea Gaston

From: Alberto Saldana <ajsaldana6@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 11:07 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Alberto Saldana

162 W Raven Dr
Chandler, AZ 85286

Andrea Gaston

From: Doug Reichert <dreichert2@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 11:10 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Doug Reichert

4817 East Hobart St
Mesa, AZ 85205

Andrea Gaston

From: Evelyn Verrill <im2valla@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 12:26 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Verrill

1155 W Fawn Lane
Prescott, AZ 86305

Andrea Gaston

From: Randy Miller <rmiller@stopraisingprices.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 11:52 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please don't throw more roadblocks in front of solar adoption in AZ.

Sincerely,

Randy Miller

Gilbert, AZ 85295

Andrea Gaston

From: Sue E. Dean <deanks@juno.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 11:46 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I am a solar supporter. I have a 5 kilowatt system on my roof, installed in 2011 using a 20 year lease agreement which is proving to be very helpful to my cost of living in Arizona. Needless to say, I do not want that to change.

I agree with the traditional power providers, there needs to be a better, more balanced way to continue promoting solar using tax rebates and various financial incentives, but it absolutely must be done in a fairer way and must not retroactively negatively impact current solar programs and customers.

In my view, that means no across-the-board flat fees added to all solar customer bills, because number one, we know, as has happened in Nevada, that has virtually killed the nascent industry and the jobs it created.

There has to be a way, especially in our sunny state to pioneer a viable and fair path that encourages solar businesses and jobs without undoing the progress we've already made.

I urge the solar industry to work with the power companies and the Arizona Corporation Commission to work out just such a plan.

Arizona could and should be the solar capital of the world.

Respectfully,

Sue E. Dean
33945 N. 66th Way
Scottsdale, AZ 85266
480-595-8113
deanks@juno.com

Sincerely,

Sue E. Dean

33945 N. 66th Way
Scottsdale, AZ 85266

Andrea Gaston

From: Spencer Hunter <shunter@u.arizona.edu>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 12:50 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please represent us the ratepayers instead of utility monopolies. The Unisource demand charges are a sneaky way of increasing our utility costs and discouraging energy efficiency and the option to install solar (also increasing our carbon footprint). Please reject such unsound poliicy.

Sincerely,

Spencer Hunter

1303 E. University Blvd. #20770
Tucson, AZ 85719-0521

Andrea Gaston

From: Jennifer Smith <jennifersmithaz@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 12:52 PM
To: Little-Web
Subject: I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Demand charges is NOT the answer. Just because individuals elect solar as a clean ,efficient ,cost effective energy source and pay for the upfront costs of solar installation we should not incur additional charges because we are solar and have lower energy costs. Big monopolies vs. the consumer. NOT FAIR! NO to all proposed legislation hiking fees to the individual consumer.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Smith

1245 Whitetail Run
Cottonwood, AZ 86326