

ORIGINAL



0000169126

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

DOUG LITTLE, Chairman
BOB STUMP, Commissioner
BOB BURNS, Commissioner
TOM FORESE, Commissioner
ANDY TOBIN, Commissioner

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

2016 MAR 18 PM 12 46

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
OF GARKANE ENERGY COOPERATIVE,
INC., AN ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE
NONPROFIT MEMBERSHIP
CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION
OF THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS PROPERTY
FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A
JUST AND REASONABLE RETURN
THEREON, AND TO APPROVE RATES
DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH RETURN
AND REQUEST FOR WAIVER.

DOCKET NO. E-01891A-15-0176

**NOTICE OF FILING REJOINDER
TESTIMONY**

Garkane Energy Cooperative, Inc. by and through undersigned counsel, hereby gives
notice of the filing of Rejoinder Testimony of David Hedrick.

DATED this 18th day of March, 2016.

Law Offices of William P. Sullivan, P.L.L.C.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAR 18 2016

DOCKETED BY

By:

William P. Sullivan
501 East Thomas Road
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3205
Attorneys for Garkane Energy
Cooperative, Inc.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25


PROOF OF AND CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on this 18th day of March, 2016, I caused the foregoing document to be served on the Arizona Corporation Commission by delivering the original and thirteen (13) copies of the above to:

Docket Control
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Copy of the foregoing mailed
this 18th day of March, 2016 to:

Court Rich
Rose Law Group pc
7144 E. Stetson Drive, Suite 300
Scottsdale, Arizona 85251
Attorney for the Alliance for Solar Choice



P:_WPS PLLC\2069 Garkane\010 ACC Rates\0100 2015 Rates (15-0176)\Pleadings Working\Notice of Filing Rejoinder Testimony.docx

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

DOUG LITTLE, Chairman
BOB STUMP, Commissioner
BOB BURNS, Commissioner
TOM FORESE, Commissioner
ANDY TOBIN, Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE
APPLICATION OF GARKANE
ENERGY COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR A
HEARING TO DETERMINE THE
FAIR VALUE OF ITS PROPERTY
FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES,
TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE
RETURN THEREON, TO APPROVE
RATES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP
SUCH RETURN AND FOR
RELATED APPROVALS.

DOCKET NO. E-01891A-15-0176

REJOINDER TESTIMONY OF DAVID HEDRICK

**ON BEHALF OF GARKANE ENERGY
COOPERATIVE, INC.**

MARCH 18, 2016

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2 A. My name is David W. Hedrick and my business address is 5555 North Grand
3 Boulevard, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73112-5507.

4
5 **Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND WHAT IS YOUR POSITION?**

6 A. I am employed by Guernsey, Engineers, Architects and Consultants. I am Senior
7 Vice-President and Manager of the Analytical Services group.

8
9 **Q. HAVE YOU PROVIDED TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET?**

10 A. Yes, I have provided direct and rebuttal testimony.

11
12 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REJOINDER TESTIMONY?**

13 A. I will provide Garkane's response to Commission Staff's surrebuttal testimony.

14
15 **Q. WHAT IS GARKANE'S GENERAL RESPONSE TO COMMISSION
16 STAFF'S TESTIMONY?**

17 A. Garkane is pleased that Staff is recommending approval of the rates, charges and
18 revised Electric Service Regulation proposed by Garkane. Garkane appreciates
19 that common recommendations can be made without complete agreement on
20 methodology. Therefore, while there remains differences on methodology, they
21 need not be addressed or resolved in this case as there is consensus on the end
22 result.

23
24 Garkane acknowledges that the forms of the Bill Estimation Tariff, the Plan of
25 Administration for the Wholesale Power Cost Adjustment mechanism and the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Prepaid Service Tariff have not yet been agreed upon. Garkane also agrees that these items can be resolved by post-decision filings as suggested by Staff. However, Garkane continues to work with Staff in an effort to be in a position to present mutually agreed upon documents so as to eliminate the need for post-decision filings relating to some or all of these three documents.

Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. Yes, it does.