

COMMISSIONERS
DOUG LITTLE - Chairman
BOB STUMP
BOB BURNS
TOM FORESE
ANDY TOBIN



0000169103

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

To: Docket Control

RE: UNISOURCE Docket # E-04204A-15-0142

Please docket the attached 15 customer comments opposing the above filed case.

Customer comments can be reviewed in E-docket under the above docket number.

Filed by: Utilities Division – Consumer Services

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
MAR 17 2016

DOCKETED BY *[Signature]*

2016 MAR 17 AM 9:53
RECEIVED
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Michael Buck	Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>	Opinion Date: 3/16/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 129368	Priority: Respond within 5 business days	
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed	Closed Date: 3/16/2016 8:32 AM	

First Name: Withrow	Last Name: Wier	Account Name: Withrow Wier
Address: <<< REDACTED >>>		
City: Oro Valley	State: AZ	Zip Code: 85755
Home: <<< REDACTED >>>		

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)	Division: Electric
--	---------------------------

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142	Docket Position: Against
--	---------------------------------

From: Withrow Wier [mailto:gwier001@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 7:58 AM

Subject: Reject the Unisource proposal

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject the Unisource proposal, docket E-04204A-15-0142, to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

This proposal will have the effect of profoundly discouraging homeowners to adopt solar electricity production. I truly believe such proposals are completely unconscionable in view of the coming crisis of climate change. We have paid \$20,000 in order to make our own electricity using solar. Our primary objective was to reduce carbon emissions. If the power companies were actively switching to renewable energy, we would be more than happy to obtain our power from them and to pay appropriate rates. I do not believe that they are switching to renewable energy however. Therefore this proposal is fundamentally wrong, as it opposes the important task of reducing carbon emissions in order to slow climate change. I am a University Professor of more than 30 yrs. My profession has required me to evaluate data and think objectively. I categorically oppose this proposal.

Demand charges are nearly impossible for customers to understand and control. Demand charges disproportionately impact customers while they are home with their families, cooking dinner, doing laundry, and enjoying the amenities they work hard for. Customers should be charged only for the energy they use, not penalized for using too much energy during a specific period of the day.

No commission in the country has approved mandatory demand charge rates on residential and small business customers. Historically, demand charges have only been imposed on commercial customers, who rely on demand management software and energy engineers to control their peak time usage. Small, residential families cannot be expected to do the same.

2016 MAR 17
 AZ CORP COMMISSION
 DOCKET COMPLAINTS
 RECEIVED

E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

In Nevada, a similar type of proposal was recently passed, resulting in devastating impacts to the solar industry and thousands of solar workers.

Please reject Unisource's proposal. It is an obvious attempt to stifle solar growth and overcharge customers.

Sincerely,

Withrow Wier

<<< REDACTED >>>

Oro Valley, AZ 85755

<<< REDACTED >>>

Investigation			
Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:
3/16/2016	Michael Buck	Telephone	Investigation
Enter for the record and docketed. Closed.			

E-04204A-15-0142

**Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Complaint Form**

Investigator: Michael Buck **Phone:** <<< REDACTED >>> **Opinion Date:** 3/16/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 129369 **Priority:** Respond within 5 business days
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed **Closed Date:** 3/16/2016 8:41 AM

First Name: Gloria **Last Name:** Stinson **Account Name:** Gloria Stinson
Address: <<< REDACTED >>>
City: Tucson **State:** AZ **Zip Code:** 85730
Home: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS) **Division:** Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142

From: Gloria Stinson [mailto:gjstinson@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 5:08 AM

Subject: Reject the Unisource proposal

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject the Unisource proposal, docket E-04204A-15-0142, to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are nearly impossible for customers to understand and control. Demand charges disproportionately impact customers while they are home with their families, cooking dinner, doing laundry, and enjoying the amenities they work hard for. Customers should be charged only for the energy they use, not penalized for using too much energy during a specific period of the day.

No commission in the country has approved mandatory demand charge rates on residential and small business customers. Historically, demand charges have only been imposed on commercial customers, who rely on demand management software and energy engineers to control their peak time usage. Small, residential families cannot be expected to do the same.

In Nevada, a similar type of proposal was recently passed, resulting in devastating impacts to the solar industry and thousands of solar workers.

Please reject Unisource's proposal. It is an obvious attempt to stifle solar growth and overcharge customers.

E-04204A-15-0142

**Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Complaint Form**

Sincerely,

Gloria Stinson

<<< REDACTED >>>

Tucson, 85730

<<< REDACTED >>>

		Investigation	
Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:
3/16/2016	Michael Buck	Telephone	Investigation
Entered and docketed for the record. Closed			

E-04204A-15-0142

**Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Complaint Form**

Investigator: Jenny Gomez **Phone:** <<< REDACTED >>> **Opinion Date:** 3/15/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 129358 **Priority:** Respond within 5 business days
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed **Closed Date:** 3/15/2016 3:58 PM

First Name: Darry **Last Name:** Lancaster **Account Name:** Darry Lancaster
Address: <<< REDACTED >>>
City: Lake Havasu City **State:** AZ **Zip Code:** 86406

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS) **Division:** Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142 **Docket Position:** Against

Subject: Email from Public for Utilities Division - UniSource Rate Increase

Without a more thorough explanation from Unisource I would like to present my objections to the proposed Unisource rate increase.

The Unisource monthly bill currently includes a Customer Delivery Charge of \$10, an increase from \$8 several years ago.

It was my understanding this is the cost to maintain the infrastructure needed to deliver services to every customer, even if they delivered no energy.

If this cost does not cover the cost of maintaining the infrastructure Unisource should request a rate increase, not propose a new charge.

The increased Solar installations on houses should in no way impact this fee. The fee is to maintain the infrastructure regardless of whether energy is delivered or not.

It would seem the infrastructure costs may even decrease because the amount of power to be delivered decreases with more solar installations.

As a regulated monopoly Unisource should be allowed to make a reasonable profit but should not gouge the consumer with unreasonable fees.

The infrastructure charges do not change throughout the day if I draw more power at 1PM than I do at 3PM so I should not be charged differently. I pay for this through my usage charges based on the amount of energy I consume.

I hope the ACC continues to protect the consumer as they have done in the past.

Thank You

Darryl Lancaster

Investigation

E-04204A-15-0142

**Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Complaint Form**

Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:
3/15/2016	Jenny Gomez	Telephone	Investigation

Noted and filed for the record in Docket Control. Closed

E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Jenny Gomez	Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>	Opinion Date: 3/15/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 129360	Priority: Respond within 5 business days	
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed	Closed Date: 3/15/2016 4:07 PM	

First Name: James R.	Last Name: Courtney	Account Name: James R. Courtney
Address:		
City:	State:	Zip Code:
Home: <<< REDACTED >>>		

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)	Division: Electric
--	---------------------------

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142

Docket Position: Against

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox

Subject: Utility rate increase proposal is bad for Arizona

I am writing in regards to UniSource's proposed rate fee increase proposal. I understand that the proposal is now in front of the Arizona Corporation Commission. As a Arizona resident and a small business owner I want to let you know that the proposed rate changes they are proposing are bad practices for Arizona. Even though I am not a customer of UniSource, I believe this proposal will set a precedent for other Arizona Utilities to raise their rates. Arizona Small businesses and residents deserve better. In these economic times it is not good to have something like this that will stymie Arizona's economic growth with small businesses. I urge you to seriously consider the impact of approving this proposal and it's effect on Arizona's economy and residents of this great state.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Regards,

J.R.

James R. Courtney

Manager / Owner

Healthcare Business Integration Solutions LLC.

Date:	Analyst:	Investigation Submitted By:	Type:
3/15/2016	Jenny Gomez	Telephone	Investigation

Noted and filed for the record in Docket Control. Closed

E-04204A-15-0142

**Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Complaint Form**

Investigator: Jenny Gomez **Phone:** <<< REDACTED >>> **Opinion Date:** 3/15/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 129362 **Priority:** Respond within 5 business days
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed **Closed Date:** 3/15/2016 4:24 PM

First Name: Clark **Last Name:** Brown **Account Name:** Clark Brown
Address: <<< REDACTED >>>
City: Kingman **State:** AZ **Zip Code:** 86409
Home: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS) **Division:** Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142

Docket Position: Against

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox

Subject: I oppose the proposed Unisource Rate Changes

Greetings,

I would like to express my opposition to the proposed rate changes by Unisource Electric.

Sincerely,

Clark Brown

		Investigation	
Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:
3/15/2016	Jenny Gomez	Telephone	Investigation

Noted and filed for the record in Docket Control. Closed

E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Jenny Gomez	Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>	Opinion Date: 3/15/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 129364	Priority: Respond within 5 business days	
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed	Closed Date: 3/15/2016 4:32 PM	

First Name: Linda	Last Name: O'Connor	Account Name: Linda O'Connor
Address:		
City:	State:	Zip Code:

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)	Division: Electric
---	--------------------

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142

Docket Position: Against

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox

Subject: basic service charge

Dear Corporation Commission,

I am against an increase in the basic service charge and the demand charge that is being proposed. I read Diane E. Brown's article in the Arizona Republic and I agree with her opinion. I trust that you will consider what is beneficial for the citizens of this state and not the utility companies.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Linda O'Connor

Investigation			
Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:
3/15/2016	Jenny Gomez	Telephone	Investigation
Noted and filed for the record in Docket Control. Closed			

E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Jenny Gomez	Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>	Opinion Date: 3/15/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 129361	Priority: Respond within 5 business days	
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed	Closed Date: 3/15/2016 4:13 PM	

First Name: Kim	Last Name: Page	Account Name: Kim Page
Address: <<< REDACTED >>>		
City: Tucson	State: AZ	Zip Code: 85749

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)	Division: Electric
--	---------------------------

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142

Docket Position: Against

No commission in the country has approved mandatory demand charge rates on residential and small business customers. Historically, demand charges have only been imposed on commercial customers, who rely on demand management software and energy engineers to control their peak time usage. Small, residential families cannot be expected to do the same.

In Nevada, a similar type of proposal was recently passed, resulting in devastating impacts to the solar industry and thousands of solar workers.

Please reject Unisource's proposal. It is an obvious attempt to stifle solar growth and overcharge customers.

Sincerely,

Kim Page

Investigation			
Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:
3/15/2016	Jenny Gomez	Telephone	Investigation
Noted and filed for the record in Docket Control. Closed			

E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Richard Martinez	Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>	Opinion Date: 3/15/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 129353	Priority: Respond within 5 business days	
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed		Closed Date: 3/15/2016 3:06 PM

First Name: Bo-Vonne	Last Name: Ochse	Account Name: Bo-Vonne Ochse
Address:		
City:	State:	Zip Code:

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)	Division: Electric
--	---------------------------

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142

Docket Position: Against

From: bochse@att.net [mailto:bochse@att.net]

Sent: Friday, March 11, 2016 9:50 AM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Oppose proposed AZ Electric Bill increase

We are writing to oppose the plan being proposed by utility companies to the Arizona Corporation Commission to increase the "Basic Service Charge" and to add a "demand charge" for residential customers.

We are on a fixed income and cannot afford excessive charges like those proposed. Please do not allow these changes to affect the lives of so many of us that cannot afford it.

Thank You,

Bo-Vonne Ochse

Florence AZ

Date:	Analyst:	Investigation	Submitted By:	Type:
3/15/2016	Richard Martinez	Telephone		Investigation

Noted for the record and file in Docket Control.

E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Jenny Gomez	Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>	Opinion Date: 3/14/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 129330	Priority: Respond within 5 business days	
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed	Closed Date: 3/14/2016 12:27 PM	

First Name: John	Last Name: Wemlinger	Account Name: John Wemlinger
Address: <<< REDACTED >>>		
City: Nogales	State: AZ	Zip Code: 85621
Home: <<< REDACTED >>>	Email: <<< REDACTED >>>	

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)	Division: Electric
--	---------------------------

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142

Docket Position: Against

I am against the proposed rate increase raising the customer charge for the following reasons: 1) It hurts folks on fixed incomes, 2) It is not a user generated cost, 3) It is a disincentive to conserve electricity, and 4) It is a disincentive to go solar. If Unisource needs more income raise the rate or better yet, make the rate standard across the board, eliminating less costly electricity for heavy users.

Investigation			
Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:
3/14/2016	Jenny Gomez	Telephone	Investigation

Noted and filed for the record in Docket Control. Closed

E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Jenny Gomez	Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>	Opinion Date: 3/14/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 129324	Priority: Respond within 5 business days	
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed	Closed Date: 3/14/2016 9:18 AM	

First Name: Denise	Last Name: Samson	Account Name: Denise Samson
Address: <<< REDACTED >>>		
City: Kingman	State: AZ	Zip Code: 86401
Cell: <<< REDACTED >>>		

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)	Division: Electric
--	---------------------------

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142

Docket Position: Against

UNS has recently applied for a rate increase that would change the structure of the billing to demand at peak times instead of actual use. I was estimated to increase bills by approximately \$10 or more monthly. This request would be very confusing for consumers who attempt to monitor their consumption, and would cause extreme hardships on seniors, low income consumers, and others who already struggle on fixed incomes. We would have a difficult time attempting to stay moderately comfortable during the summer with temperatures ranging in the 90's and 100's. Also, how would UNS actually accomplish determining peak periods? This request also is counter-productive to the national desire to utilize solar power. This request is completely unfair to all who are forced to receive their electricity through UNS. Please deny their request.

Investigation			
Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:
3/14/2016	Jenny Gomez	Telephone	Investigation
Noted and filed for the record in Docket Control. Closed			

E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Tom Davis	Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>	Opinion Date: 3/14/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 129327	Priority: Respond within 5 business days	
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed		Closed Date: 3/14/2016 9:35 AM

First Name: James and Rhenda	Last Name: Gallup	Account Name: James and Rhenda Gallup
Address:		
City: Scottsdale	State: AZ	Zip Code:
Email: <<< REDACTED >>>		

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)	Division: Electric
--	---------------------------

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142	Docket Position: Against
--	---------------------------------

Dear Commissioners : UniSource Electric wants you to approve the "basic service charge" by 50 percent. That would apply to small businesses and consumers for their customers.

If such an increase spreads to the other utility customers in Arizona, it would adversely impact seniors like me and my wife, as well as poor folks and people on fixed incomes.

In addition there would be a new mandatory charge, a "demand charge",so if a household uses a bunch of appliances at the same time ,then their charge would be very high and persist for the entire month.

We vehemently object to our Corporation Commission giving approval to these new fees.

We will, I promise, be paying close attention.

Date:	Analyst:	Investigation Submitted By:	Type:
3/14/2016	Tom Davis	Other	Investigation

Entered for the record and docketed. CLOSED

E-04204A-15-0142

**Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Complaint Form**

Investigator: Tom Davis **Phone:** <<< REDACTED >>> **Opinion Date:** 3/14/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 129328 **Priority:** Respond within 5 business days
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed **Closed Date:** 3/14/2016 9:39 AM

First Name: Scott **Last Name:** Martin **Account Name:** Scott Martin
Address:
City: **State:** **Zip Code:**
Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS) **Division:** Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142 **Docket Position:** Against
Stop higher Basic Service Charges and stop the new demand charges

Investigation			
Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:
3/14/2016	Tom Davis	Other	Investigation

Entered for the record and docketed. CLOSED

E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Jenny Gomez	Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>	Opinion Date: 3/14/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 129318	Priority: Respond within 5 business days	
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed	Closed Date: 3/14/2016 8:40 AM	

First Name: Lisa	Last Name: Samstag	Account Name: Lisa Samstag
Address: <<< REDACTED >>>		
City: Kingman	State: AZ	Zip Code: 86401
Home: <<< REDACTED >>>	Email: <<< REDACTED >>>	

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)	Division: Electric
--	---------------------------

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142

Docket Position: Against

We are against the UNS proposal to add a mandatory demand charge takes away any incentive for energy conservation. In 2004 we built our dream home in Kingman, AZ with energy efficiency in mind. We have additional insulation, radiant barrier on our outside walls and roof sheeting, double low-e windows with argon gas, high efficiency HVAC unit, as well as having our thermostats on 5 specific temperature points every day to be the most energy efficient. While UNS may seem like they just filed this plan in 2015, in reality they have been working on it much longer than that by changing out meters starting more than 2 years ago. We feel this is an unjust way to add this mandatory demand charge and is designed to burden many members of our community, especially seniors on a fixed income. We are strongly against this proposal as it is specifically about profits, and not about what is best for the consumer. It does not support energy conservation in any way!

		Investigation	
Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:
3/14/2016	Jenny Gomez	Telephone	Investigation
Noted and filed for the record in Docket Control. Closed			

E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Jenny Gomez	Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>	Opinion Date: 3/14/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 129319	Priority: Respond within 5 business days	
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed	Closed Date: 3/14/2016 8:42 AM	

First Name: David and Elizabeth	Last Name: Collins	Account Name: David and Elizabeth Collins
Address: <<< REDACTED >>>		
City: Cave Creek	State: AZ	Zip Code: 85331
Cell: <<< REDACTED >>>	Email: <<< REDACTED >>>	

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)	Division: Electric
--	---------------------------

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142

Docket Position: Against

We are opposed to the rate increase requested by the above utility. The basic service charge increase does not balance the customers' interest in affordable utility service and goes far beyond providing the utility a fair profit. The new mandatory demand charge goes far beyond charging customers for their actual usage. Providing the utility a fair profit should not include charging customers above and beyond the energy they have used. Customers have no means of measuring or regulating their peak usage for which one hour of high usage would impact an entire month. The approval of this request would set an unreasonable precedent for all Arizona residents.

Investigation			
Date:	Analyst:	Submitted By:	Type:
3/14/2016	Jenny Gomez	Telephone	Investigation

Noted and filed for the record in Docket Control. Closed

E-04204A-15-0142

**Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Complaint Form**

Investigator: Michael Buck **Phone:** <<< REDACTED >>> **Opinion Date:** 3/15/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 129355 **Priority:** Respond within 5 business days
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed **Closed Date:** 3/15/2016 3:32 PM

First Name: John **Last Name:** Slone **Account Name:** John Slone
Address: <<< REDACTED >>>
City: Tucson **State:** AZ **Zip Code:** 85743
Home: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS) **Division:** Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142

Docket Position: Against

From: John Slone [mailto:jslone@integratedaxis.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 10:43 AM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject the Unisource proposal

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I urge you to reject the Unisource proposal, docket E-04204A-15-0142, to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are nearly impossible for customers to understand and control. Demand charges disproportionately impact customers while they are home with their families, cooking dinner, doing laundry, and enjoying the amenities they work hard for. Customers should be charged only for the energy they use, not penalized for using too much energy during a specific period of the day.

No commission in the country has approved mandatory demand charge rates on residential and small business customers. Historically, demand charges have only been imposed on commercial customers, who rely on demand management software and energy engineers to control their peak time usage. Small, residential families cannot be expected to do the same.

In Nevada, a similar type of proposal was recently passed, resulting in devastating impacts to the solar industry and thousands of solar workers.

Please reject Unisource's proposal. It is an obvious attempt to stifle solar growth and overcharge customers.

Sincerely,

John Slone

<<< REDACTED >>>

Tucson, AR 85743

<<< REDACTED >>>

E-04204A-15-0142

**Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Complaint Form**

Date:	Analyst:	Investigation	Submitted By:	Type:
3/15/2016	Michael Buck	Telephone		Investigation

Entered into the record and docketed.
