

ORIGINAL



0000169052

RECEIVED

2016 APR 22 P 1:34

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

**Memorandum**  
**From the office of**  
**Chairman Doug Little**  
**Arizona Corporation Commission**  
1200 W. WASHINGTON  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA  
(602) 542-0745

TO: Docket Control  
DATE: April 22, 2016  
FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office  
SUBJECT: Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142

Arizona Corporation Commission

**DOCKETED**

APR 22 2016

DOCKETED BY	
-------------	---

---

Chairman Little's office received 26 emails referencing the above Docket Number. The emails can be viewed either in Docket, or on the website via the eDocket link.

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Michael Ballard <Mballard@rhsolar.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 9:52 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Michael Ballard

220 W Birchwood pl  
Chandler, AZ 85248

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Tim Collette <timcollette65@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 11:08 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I appose these regulatory changes for the same reasons that other monopolies used to kill advancements for their own gains. The State of Arizona should be doing everything in their power, with its access to a free energy source, to establish a state of the art system. Solar energy is the way of the future, don't cripple it now.

Thank You

Tim Collette  
904 W Kilarea Ave  
Mesa AZ 85210

Sincerely,

Tim Collette

904 W. Kilarea Ave  
Mesa, AZ 85210

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Rafael Santiago <janeta2@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 10:34 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Rafael Santiago

4502 W El Caminito  
Glendale, AZ 85302

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Arlene Baker <socialist.democrat@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 10:20 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Arlene Baker

775 W Roger Rd, Lot 132  
Tucson, AZ 85705

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Jerry Guevin <jfguevin@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 12:52 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jerry Guevin

7243 e. Granada rd  
Scottsdale, AZ 85257

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Jo Anne Barksdale <JBDALE1@COX.NET>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 12:46 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Demand charges should be rejected. Arizona is the perfect state for solar. By this time, we should be almost 100% solar run with the energy we get from the 320+ days of sunshine each year; unfortunately that is not the case. But it can be. Let's make solar affordable for all. Please reject UNS's proposal.

Sincerely,

Jo Anne Barksdale

3511 E Monica Ave  
Phoenix, AZ

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Jonathan Weisbuch <jbweisbuch@mac.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 12:28 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Please do not prevent the continuing installation of solar panels to help save the planet. The Utilities should be part of the solution, not part of the problem as they appear to be at this time,

JB Weisbuch

Sincerely,

Jonathan Weisbuch

2210 Encanto Dr., NW  
Phoenix, AZ 85007



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Stephen Kreutzberg <skreutzberg@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 11:38 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Stephen Kreutzberg

14273 W. Morning Star Trl  
Surprise, AZ 85374

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** LARRY ARENAS-MSgt-USAF-Retired <ARENASLE@GMAIL.COM>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 1:50 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

LARRY ARENAS-MSgt-USAF-Retired

3544 E. Southern Ave  
STE 104 PMB 286  
MESA, AZ 85204

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Cynthia Millard <azmillard@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 3:32 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Millard

9616 N. 53rd Ave

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Robert Izzo <izzocrobert@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 4:52 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Robert Izzo

HCR -2 Box 567  
Tucson, AZ 85735

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Jolene Pierson <jpierson@commspeed.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 9:55 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jolene Pierson

3330 Chimney Rock Lane  
Sedona, AZ 86336

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Horace Ellis <horace.ellisiii@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 11:56 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Allowing foreign intervention in American affairs, this is fascism pure and simple. Taking people's money on factitious charges, this is organized crime and racketeering.

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Sincerely,

Horace Ellis

PO Box 151  
Cornville, AZ 86325

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Dan & Patty Chance <dchance4@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 21, 2016 5:49 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Dan & Patty Chance

14131 E Lost Summit Pl

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Rita Childers <ritachilders@zoho.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 8:58 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

As our need is great to move to renewables this is so backward. Pollution threatens our environment and our health and all Unisource can think of is \$. The greater good needs to be the rule of the day, everyday! Let's protect and restore our environment by choosing sustainable living practices.

Sincerely,

Rita Childers

910 N. Cactus St  
COTTONWOOD, AZ



**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Richard Quade <r.quade@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 7:28 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

This would be a terrible mistake to penalize those individuals that desire to lower their carbon foot print.

Sincerely,

Richard Quade

1839 Via Nevada  
Rio Rico, AZ 85648

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Suzy Mound <findsuzy@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 7:32 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Suzy Mound

Jerome, AZ 86331

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Beverly Hedin <Bsmilealot@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 7:43 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Beverly Hedin

1181 Shoshone Lane  
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86406

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Rebecca Madsen <Beckiemadsen@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 9:16 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I will forever oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Stop allowing this to happen. New research is showing a benefit to all customers with their neighbors having rooftop solar! The only people not benefitting are the Energy companies because they aren't collecting big bucks from every household that doesn't have a choice anymore. Can you not see that? Give the people a choice and don't charge them for what they don't use. Seriously how backwards is this debate? The energy company's pocket is getting a little lighter and now they are attacking everything and anything in order to gain their money back. I think of it like the postage stamp. They didn't want email but what...! I'm emailing you right now and I don't have to pay a premium for using a stamp that wait, what...? I'm not even using!! Funny how that works. People Shouldn't have to pay for energy that they don't use. A demand charge is a joke and is another way for any energy company to collect more money from their customers.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Madsen

1429 e Leland  
Mesa, AZ 85203

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** carol keck <carolkeck@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 8:14 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

carol keck

2761 E Simmons St

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Terry Floyd <edanenter@Yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 20, 2016 12:07 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

Stop the greed. This corruption beexposed.

Sincerely,

Terry Floyd

6747 e thunderbird rd  
Scottsdale, AZ 85254

## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Lorraine Arnold <lrarnold1936@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 11:36 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Arnold

2250 Havasupai Blvd  
Lake Havasu City, 86403

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Norma Lembach <normarose2002@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:38 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. I oppose UNS's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Please reject UNS proposed demand charges and elimination of net metering on the grounds that it is anti-consumer and serves to raise bills while protecting the utility monopoly.

Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Norma Lembach

350 Overland Dr  
Lake Havasu City, AZ 86404



## Andrea Gaston

---

**From:** Sabina Dunton <sabinainedona@q.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 21, 2016 4:25 PM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** Docket# E-04204A-15-0142. Please Reject UNS Energy's proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

I strongly urge you to reject UNS Energy's recent proposal to impose demand charges and eliminate net metering.

Demand charges are an anti-consumer billing mechanism designed to confuse ratepayers and disincentivize conservation and energy efficiency. Demand charges ambush ratepayers. You only know when your peak demand has been set after the fact. Ratepayers should be charged for the energy they use, not ambushed with exorbitant charges based on a short period within a month.

In addition, net metering is vital to preserving the ability of ratepayers to go solar and protecting thousands of jobs. Net metering is a fair policy that creates jobs and gives consumers energy choice.

We know what happens when you eliminate fundamental solar policies. Do not let what happened in Nevada happen in Arizona.

Reject UNS Energy's proposal. It is a power grab that erodes consumer choice and control over bills in order to ensure captive ratepayers for their monopoly.

Sincerely,

Sabina Dunton

111 Fox Road  
111 Fox Road  
Sedona, AR 86336

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Meade Bethel <Meade.Bethel@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 21, 2016 11:32 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's proposal, regarding Docket# E-04204A-15-0142

Dear Chairman Little,

I have a new solar panel system which will help to defer major expenditures for new utility generation. I do not feel it is right to penalize us for taking this initiative by changing the electrical rate structure after the fact.

I request that you oppose any law changes that will penalize the owners of existing solar panel systems.

Thank you

Meade Bethel  
1911 Riley Ln  
Prescott, AZ. 86301  
a new Arizona resident as of 2016

Sincerely,

Meade Bethel

1911 Riley Ln  
Prescott, AZ 86301

**Andrea Gaston**

---

**From:** Larry Brophy <brophy.larry@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 22, 2016 9:46 AM  
**To:** Little-Web  
**Subject:** I oppose UNS's solar proposal

Dear Chairman Little,

Instead of making solar energy less attractive to homeowners, please protect our children and grandchildren and reject the UNS proposal to restrict solar energy production.

Sincerely,

Larry Brophy

871 E Desert Glen Dr  
Oro Valley, AZ 85755