ORIGINAL



February 26, 2016

Arizona Corporation Commission

Arizona Corporation Commission

1200 West Washington

Phoenix, AZ 85007

Arizona Corporation Commission

MAR 0 8 2016

RECEIVED

DOCKETED BY

2016 MAR -8 A 10: 44

Dear Chairman Little and Commissioners,

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

Regarding Chairman Little's December 22, 2015 COMMISSION'S INVESTIGATION OF VALUE AND COST OF DISTRIBUTED GENERATION, my reason for responding is the very interesting void I noticed in the section for the consideration of seven "Core Cost and Benefit Categories" (on "Page 2, 4.f). "Environmental Benefits" needs a companion. "Environmental Costs" such as AMI bio-toxic human and environmental 'smart' meter pulsed microwave pollution. Dirty electricity information fits here too.

So I request, to start with, that the Commission please fill in this spot with maps of their AMI mesh network polluting grids. The are invisible, I know but each electric service provider can make a map with square mile designations to share with those of us who are blind to the invisibility of the mesh network grids, how big they are, what frequencies are involved and any pertinent information that the Commission would like to know about for themselves and for the public.

As an APS customer, I would like to know how a map for 900 MHz would look. Maybe we can have figures for Transmissions Frequency Per 24 Hour Period Maximum, and Frequency Per 24 Hour Period Average. A collective map of all ACC Regulated Arizona activity would be useful. Perhaps you already have a better visual aid that would work for us.

In addition to the ACC regulated Mesh Network Grid maps, I suggest all of the public comments and studies pointing up health effects in humans, plants and animals would be good to include in this section. We have the various Bioinitiative 2012 Reports posted by the public.

Also MORE THAN 2000 DOCUMENTS PRIOR TO 1972 ON BIOEFFECTS OF RADIO FREQUENCY RADIATION, ZORACH R. GLASER, PH.D. LT, MSC, USNR, A Bibliography of reported

biological phenomena (effects) and clinical manifestations attributed to microwave and radiofrequency radiation. Naval Medical Research Institute MF12.54.015-004B, Report No. 2, revised. The original for this one is on Dr. Magda Havas.com

Received a verbal report about what is happening in the Valley. Some areas are now becoming so dense with solar installations that their 'smart' bio-toxic pulsed microwave radiation meters and mesh networks are presenting a challenge to residents who wish to mitigate their impact. People are noticing neighbors with not just TWO smart meters per residence but THREE smart meters per residence! I was asked the question: "What is the reason for that?" Does the Commission know about this? Is that legal? Do the residents of these homes and their neighbors know that biotoxic pulsed microwave meters and grids are experimental in nature and have never been proven safe? Have the residents of those residences been informed of the enhanced risk of that kind of constant exposure?

Last year, on **Docket E-01345A-13-0069**, regarding bio-toxic 'smart' meters and grids, APS' did not wish to allow solar customers the right to have safe analog meters, just like everybody else. APS did not wish for solar customers to participate in a so called "optout" fee, that APS claims is <u>not an extortion fee</u>. APS' attorney, Thomas Mumaw, claimed that he knew of no electrical service provider that allowed safe analog meters throughout Arizona or anywhere else. We found a company larger than APS, **Florida Power and Light**, that allows analog meters for solar customers. They charge a monthly fee.

The Arizona Corporation Commission rescinded and abrogated their own opt-out order last year.

Last year, I requested a safety recall of all ACC regulated analog meters. More than ever, I am convinced it is the only sane safe solution for the people of Arizona and for our environment. I referred us to the following statutes:

A.R.S.40-361.B – Every public service corporation shall furnish and maintain such service, equipment and facilities as will promote the safety, health, comfort and convenience of its patrons, employees and the public, and as will be in all respects adequate, efficient and

reasonable.

A.R.S.40-321.A - When the commission finds that the equipment, appliances, facilities or service of any public service corporation, or the methods of manufacture, distribution, transmission, storage or supply employed by it, are unjust, unreasonable, unsafe, improper, inadequate or insufficient, the commission shall determine what is just, reasonable, safe, proper, adequate or sufficient, and shall enforce its determination by order or regulation.

A.R.S.40-334.Discrimination between persons, localities or classes of service as to rates, charges, service or facilities prohibited.

- A. A public service corporation shall not, as to rates, charges, service, facilities or in any other respect, make or grant any preference or advantage to any person or subject any person to any prejudice or disadvantage.
- B. No public service corporation shall establish or maintain any unreasonable difference as to rates, charges, service, facilities or in any other respect, either between localities of between classes of service. (opt out charges are both unjust and unreasonable.

Shame on all of us involved in this docket! In 2007, the ACC allowed the installation of what amounts to a 21<sup>st</sup> Century human experimentation project or maybe updated invisible versions of WW2 concentration camps, even in the middle of Arizona cities.<sup>1</sup> Oh, it wasn't billed as that, but Nuremburg principles, that we agreed to uphold, were ignored and now weaponized pulsed radiation technology is being used to harm Arizona citizens, including children, seniors and very vulnerable, yet unborn babies, that are at high risk of genetic damage and death. The technology is not as quick as the WW2 style eugenics program but the goals appears to be similar and more far reaching. At least with this version one gets to watch TV while one becomes what Curtis Bennett calls, a *lobotomized zombie*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ACC July 30, 2007 DECISION NO. 69736 does not seem to comply with my understanding of the Nuremburg Code: http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/archive/nurcode.html#

Is APS collecting "smart" information their human experimentation study?"

Are solar inverters regulated relative to dirty electricity problems?

Is APS studying potential MK Ultra style information on the Arizona pulsed microwave "smart" enhanced toxicity combined with the dirty electricity caused by unregulated solar inverters?

Although I now have filtration that removes dirty electricity from APS electricity before it enters my home and removes toxic dirty electricity from my solar inverters in addition to Stetzer and Greenway filters throughout my home, hope the ACC and solar industry can find a way to clean up this issue on behalf of the safety of the solar industry in AZ.

I was on what APS called a "proprietary information" electric meter study in 2012. APS did not request my permission, refused to tell me the purpose of the study and refused to take the offending meter off my home for quite a while. I request the ACC regulate this sort of thing on behalf or all of us.

A reminder: Life on Earth evolved in naturally healthy environments of 7.83 Hz, now known as The Schuman Resonance. Bio-toxic APS AMI uses 900 MHz and 2.4 GHz. Our brains operate at about 8 Hz.

Respectfully submitted,

etricia Ferke

Patricia Ferre