

E-01933A-15-0239



ORIGINAL Arizona Corporation Commis
Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Jenny Gomez Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 3/3/2016
Opinion Number: 2016 - 129138 Priority: Respond within 5 business days
Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 3/3/2016 7:51 AM

First Name: David Last Name: Keating Account Name: David Keating
Address: <<< REDACTED >>>
City: Tucson State: AZ Zip Code: 85748
Home: <<< REDACTED >>> Home: <<< REDACTED >>> Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Tucson Electric Power Company Division: Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-01933A-15-0239 Docket Position: Against

I have read the TEP Application for another rate increase Renewable Energy via a tariff to our monthly electric bill. I am in total disagreement with this proposed increase as it would basically tax all customers across the board. All customers are already paying a tariff for renewable energy sources, even though we are not using renewable energy sources. I believe any additional funding should be payed by TEP as a cost of doing business, or the customers who are getting the benefit of renewable energy sources, such as solar power on their homes. This proposed tariff increase looks small at first, but actually isn't. As an example, my tariff that I am paying would increase from approx \$ 3.76 to \$ 6.11, and that is without an increase on the surcharge cap, which is also something that TEP is requesting in the same bill. Right now the surcharge CAP on my bill is 470 kWh, and this proposal by TEP does not tell us what the new CAP would be. In other words the higher they raise the CAP that they could implement this tariff against (power usage), the higher this tariff increase would go. Raising the CAP that TEP could charge this new increase against is totally unacceptable, and is something that TEP is trying to "sneak in". Another interesting point to make. In the Public Notice of Hearing Note that TEP included in their paper bill, they have listed the wrong Docket # under the section "Public Hearing Information", "Where Written Public Comments May Be Submitted" on page two. Error on purpose to confuse people trying to comment to the ACC? In Summary, these increased tariffs on a renewable energy program should be absorbed by TEP as a cost of doing business, especially at a time where they are also requesting an additional increase on a separate Docket (for increases to regular power billing) at the same time.

Investigation
Date: 3/3/2016 Analyst: Jenny Gomez Submitted By: Telephone Type: Investigation

Noted and filed for the record in Docket Control.

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
MAR 04 2016

DOCKETED BY *JG*

RECEIVED
2016 MAR -4 A 9:39
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL