

ORIGINAL

OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM



0000167434

1 J. Alan Smith, Private Citizen
2 600 S. Oak Street Space #4
3 Payson, Arizona 84451
4 (928) 951-2083
5 In Propria Persona
6

EXCEPTION

RECEIVED

2016 JAN -4 A 10: 51

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATIO COMMISSION

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

COMMISSIONERS

Arizona Corporation Commission

10 Susan Bitter Smith-?

DOCKETED

11 Bob Stump

JAN 04 2016

12 Bob Burns

13 Doug Little

14 Tom Forese

DOCKETED BY *Me*

16 J. Alan Smith, Injured party

DOCKET NO. W-03514A-12-0007

18 COMPLAINANT

FILING OF EXECPTIONS TO THE
RECOMMEDATION OF THE
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
A.A.C. RULE R14-3-110(B)

20 VS.

22 PAYSON WATER CO., INC/BROOKE
23 UTILITIES, INC.

25 RESPONDENT

30 Now comes, the complainant J. Alan Smith to give notice to the Commission and the
31 Respondents of the complaints compliance with the rule to file exceptions to the recommendation of
32 the Administrative Law Judge by the deadline date of January 4, 2016. The Complainant makes
33 presentment of his exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge, and discloses
34 the following:

36 The ROO is using a different Administrative Law Judge not in attendance at my hearings on this
37 matter I object. ROO Page 20 footnote 29. The Allegation's are described in regards to alleged actions
38 by Payson, although Mr. Smith made the allegations against Payson/Brooke essentially characterizing
39 the two as a single entity. There was not sufficient evidence to pierce the corporation.
40 I object evidence on the record is substantial.

- 1 The emergency WAS tariff is a controversy at law
- 2 The revised Curtailment Tariff is a controversy at law.
- 3 The Emergency Application is a controversy at law
- 4 Hauling water to the EVP system is a controversy at law.
- 5 Selling the Utility knowing litigation is pending is a controversy at law.
- 6 Water does not matter only the hourly rate is a controversy at law.
- 7 Invoices still missing is a controversy at law.
- 8 Payson did not commit fraud is a controversy at law.
- 9 Testifying of Judge Nodes during hearing not supported by the record is a controversy at law.
- 10 The Administrative Law Judge recommendation using a piecemeal application of evidence is a
- 11 controversy at law.
- 12 Applying refunds for the overcharges of travel time in the methodology proposed is a controversy at
- 13 law.
- 14 Applying refunds to the Hutchinson account is a controversy at law.

15

16 **THIS ENTIRE CASE IS A CONTROVERSY AT LAW, AND CONTAINS THE FOLLOWING;**

17 Falsifying a record, spoliation, withholding of evidence, error, tampering with a public record,
18 frivolous defense, sham defense. Coercive power either overt or covert, color of law, badge of fraud,
19 intrinsic fraud, reasonable time, sanctions, hidden tax, alter ego rule, false measurement, splitting a
20 cause of action, willful blindness, using fake records, perjury, disobedience to a subpoena, failure to
21 appear, broken agreements, bad faith, fraudulent alienation, witness tampering, not knowing how to
22 enforce subpoenas, conspiracy and this list is not exhaustive.

23

24 Therefore I will be going to a Court that has proper jurisdiction to settle this matter.

25

26

27


28

29

30

1 Wherefore, notice is given to the Commission and Respondents that the Complainant is going
2 to a Court that has jurisdiction to settle this matter.

3 Respectfully submitted this 31 day of December, 2015.

4
5 
6 J. Alan Smith, in Propria Persona

7
8 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

9
10 The Original and 13 copies of the foregoing exceptions to the Recommended Opinion and Order to the
11 Following:

12 DOCKET CONTROL
13 **Arizona Corporation Commission**
14 1200 West Washington Street
15 Phoenix, Arizona 85507
16

17 Copies of the foregoing mailed
18 This ___ day of December, 2015, to:

19
20 Jason Williamson, President
21 Payson Water Co., Inc.
22 7581 East Academy Boulevard, Suite 229
23 Denver, Co 80230
24

25 Janice Alward, Chief Counsel
26 Legal Division
27 Arizona Corporation Commission
28 1200 West Washington Street
29 Phoenix, AZ 85007
30

31 Thomas Broderick, Director
32 Utilities Division
33 Arizona Corporation Commission
34 1200 West Washington Street
35 Phoenix, AZ 85507
36

37 By:

38 
39 J. Alan Smith
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

ORIGINAL

OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM



0000167434

1 J. Alan Smith, Private Citizen
2 600 S. Oak Street Space #4
3 Payson, Arizona 84451
4 (928) 951-2083
5 In Propria Persona
6

EXCEPTION

RECEIVED

2016 JAN -4 A 10: 51

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATIO COMMISSION

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

7
8
9 COMMISSIONERS

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JAN 04 2016

10 Susan Bitter Smith-?
11 Bob Stump
12 Bob Burns
13 Doug Little
14 Tom Forese
15

DOCKETED BY *Me*

16 J. Alan Smith, Injured party

DOCKET NO. W-03514A-12-0007

17
18 COMPLAINANT

FILING OF EXECPTIONS TO THE
RECOMMEDATION OF THE
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
A.A.C. RULE R14-3-110(B)

19
20 VS.

21
22 PAYSON WATER CO., INC/BROOKE
23 UTILITIES, INC.

24
25 RESPONDENT
26
27
28
29

30 Now comes, the complainant J. Alan Smith to give notice to the Commission and the
31 Respondents of the complaints compliance with the rule to file exceptions to the recommendation of
32 the Administrative Law Judge by the deadline date of January 4, 2016. The Complainant makes
33 presentment of his exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge, and discloses
34 the following:
35

36 The ROO is using a different Administrative Law Judge not in attendance at my hearings on this
37 matter I object. ROO Page 20 footnote 29. The Allegation's are described in regards to alleged actions
38 by Payson, although Mr. Smith made the allegations against Payson/Brooke essentially characterizing
39 the two as a single entity. There was not sufficient evidence to pierce the corporation.
40 I object evidence on the record is substantial.
41
42

- 1 The emergency WAS tariff is a controversy at law
- 2 The revised Curtailment Tariff is a controversy at law.
- 3 The Emergency Application is a controversy at law
- 4 Hauling water to the EVP system is a controversy at law.
- 5 Selling the Utility knowing litigation is pending is a controversy at law.
- 6 Water does not matter only the hourly rate is a controversy at law.
- 7 Invoices still missing is a controversy at law.
- 8 Payson did not commit fraud is a controversy at law.
- 9 Testifying of Judge Nodes during hearing not supported by the record is a controversy at law.
- 10 The Administrative Law Judge recommendation using a piecemeal application of evidence is a
- 11 controversy at law.
- 12 Applying refunds for the overcharges of travel time in the methodology proposed is a controversy at
- 13 law.
- 14 Applying refunds to the Hutchinson account is a controversy at law.

15

16 **THIS ENTIRE CASE IS A CONTROVERSY AT LAW, AND CONTAINS THE FOLLOWING;**

17 Falsifying a record, spoliation, withholding of evidence, error, tampering with a public record,
18 frivolous defense, sham defense. Coercive power either overt or covert, color of law, badge of fraud,
19 intrinsic fraud, reasonable time, sanctions, hidden tax, alter ego rule, false measurement, splitting a
20 cause of action, willful blindness, using fake records, perjury, disobedience to a subpoena, failure to
21 appear, broken agreements, bad faith, fraudulent alienation, witness tampering, not knowing how to
22 enforce subpoenas, conspiracy and this list is not exhaustive.

23

24 Therefore I will be going to a Court that has proper jurisdiction to settle this matter.

25

26

27


28

29

30

1 Wherefore, notice is given to the Commission and Respondents that the Complainant is going
2 to a Court that has jurisdiction to settle this matter.

3 Respectfully submitted this 31 day of December, 2015.

4
5 
6 J. Alan Smith, in Propria Persona

7
8 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

9
10 The Original and 13 copies of the foregoing exceptions to the Recommended Opinion and Order to the
11 Following:

12 DOCKET CONTROL

13 **Arizona Corporation Commission**

14 1200 West Washington Street

15 Phoenix, Arizona 85507

16
17 Copies of the foregoing mailed

18 This ___ day of December, 2015, to:

19
20 Jason Williamson, President

21 Payson Water Co., Inc.

22 7581 East Academy Boulevard, Suite 229

23 Denver, Co 80230

24
25 Janice Alward, Chief Counsel

26 Legal Division

27 Arizona Corporation Commission

28 1200 West Washington Street

29 Phoenix, AZ 85007

30
31 Thomas Broderick, Director


32 Utilities Division

33 Arizona Corporation Commission

34 1200 West Washington Street

35 Phoenix, AZ 85507

36
37 By:

38 
39 J. Alan Smith

ORIGINAL

OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM



0000167434

1 J. Alan Smith, Private Citizen
2 600 S. Oak Street Space #4
3 Payson, Arizona 84451
4 (928) 951-2083
5 In Propria Persona
6

EXCEPTION

RECEIVED

2016 JAN -4 A 10: 51

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATIO COMMISSION

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

7
8
9 COMMISSIONERS

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JAN 04 2016

10 Susan Bitter Smith-?
11 Bob Stump
12 Bob Burns
13 Doug Little
14 Tom Forese
15

DOCKETED BY *Me*

16 J. Alan Smith, Injured party

DOCKET NO. W-03514A-12-0007

17
18 COMPLAINANT

FILING OF EXECPTIONS TO THE
RECOMMEDATION OF THE
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
A.A.C. RULE R14-3-110(B)

19
20 VS.

21
22 PAYSON WATER CO., INC/BROOKE
23 UTILITIES, INC.

24
25 RESPONDENT
26
27
28
29

30 Now comes, the complainant J. Alan Smith to give notice to the Commission and the
31 Respondents of the complaints compliance with the rule to file exceptions to the recommendation of
32 the Administrative Law Judge by the deadline date of January 4, 2016. The Complainant makes
33 presentment of his exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge, and discloses
34 the following:
35

36 The ROO is using a different Administrative Law Judge not in attendance at my hearings on this
37 matter I object. ROO Page 20 footnote 29. The Allegation's are described in regards to alleged actions
38 by Payson, although Mr. Smith made the allegations against Payson/Brooke essentially characterizing
39 the two as a single entity. There was not sufficient evidence to pierce the corporation.
40 I object evidence on the record is substantial.
41
42

- 1 The emergency WAS tariff is a controversy at law
- 2 The revised Curtailment Tariff is a controversy at law.
- 3 The Emergency Application is a controversy at law
- 4 Hauling water to the EVP system is a controversy at law.
- 5 Selling the Utility knowing litigation is pending is a controversy at law.
- 6 Water does not matter only the hourly rate is a controversy at law.
- 7 Invoices still missing is a controversy at law.
- 8 Payson did not commit fraud is a controversy at law.
- 9 Testifying of Judge Nodes during hearing not supported by the record is a controversy at law.
- 10 The Administrative Law Judge recommendation using a piecemeal application of evidence is a
- 11 controversy at law.
- 12 Applying refunds for the overcharges of travel time in the methodology proposed is a controversy at
- 13 law.
- 14 Applying refunds to the Hutchinson account is a controversy at law.

15

16 **THIS ENTIRE CASE IS A CONTROVERSY AT LAW, AND CONTAINS THE FOLLOWING;**

17 Falsifying a record, spoliation, withholding of evidence, error, tampering with a public record,
18 frivolous defense, sham defense. Coercive power either overt or covert, color of law, badge of fraud,
19 intrinsic fraud, reasonable time, sanctions, hidden tax, alter ego rule, false measurement, splitting a
20 cause of action, willful blindness, using fake records, perjury, disobedience to a subpoena, failure to
21 appear, broken agreements, bad faith, fraudulent alienation, witness tampering, not knowing how to
22 enforce subpoenas, conspiracy and this list is not exhaustive.

23

24 Therefore I will be going to a Court that has proper jurisdiction to settle this matter.

25

26

27


28

29

30

1 Wherefore, notice is given to the Commission and Respondents that the Complainant is going
2 to a Court that has jurisdiction to settle this matter.

3 Respectfully submitted this 31 day of December, 2015.

4
5 
6 J. Alan Smith, in Propria Persona

7
8 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

9
10 The Original and 13 copies of the foregoing exceptions to the Recommended Opinion and Order to the
11 Following:

12 DOCKET CONTROL
13 **Arizona Corporation Commission**
14 1200 West Washington Street
15 Phoenix, Arizona 85507
16

17 Copies of the foregoing mailed
18 This ___ day of December, 2015, to:

19
20 Jason Williamson, President
21 Payson Water Co., Inc.
22 7581 East Academy Boulevard, Suite 229
23 Denver, Co 80230
24

25 Janice Alward, Chief Counsel
26 Legal Division
27 Arizona Corporation Commission
28 1200 West Washington Street
29 Phoenix, AZ 85007
30

31 Thomas Broderick, Director
32 Utilities Division
33 Arizona Corporation Commission
34 1200 West Washington Street
35 Phoenix, AZ 85507
36

37 By:

38 
39 J. Alan Smith
40