## ORIGINAL

28



26
,
ire that
eeding
y topic.
ng the
ition in
public
ncrease
_

form of notice, and to the extent that notice is required, TEP, UNS, and Trico support the form of notice. Commission Staff has reviewed the notice. Due to time constraints, however, APS was unable to obtain final approval from Commission Staff and circulate the notice to all other parties.

## **Timing**

APS agrees with the schedule that Staff proposed during the November 4 procedural conference:

Direct Testimony December 22
Rebuttal Testimony January 29
Hearing February

Several factors suggest that this is more than sufficient time to develop a full record in this matter, including that (i) this proceeding will focus more on establishing methodology and policy, rather than calculating final, binding numbers; and (ii) a significant amount of detailed material has already been filed in this docket.

## Scope

This generic proceeding will cover the costs and benefits of serving customers with DG, and the value of DG. During the procedural conference, APS proposed that this hearing also consider a closely-related third issue: policy issues related to the intersection of cost and value. Utility rates are based on costs incurred (and savings experienced) during a historical test-year. The value of DG, on the other hand, stems from long-term projections of values that DG may (or may not) provide to a utility or society over time. Given the fundamental difference between future values and historical costs, it is not clear what role value should play in ratemaking. Determining this role is an important policy question that must be resolved.

APS has no additional comments at this time and looks forward to a meaningful and expeditious proceeding.

1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 2 day of November 2015.
2	day of two chief so in the same of the sam
3	
	By:
4 5	Thomas A. Loquwam Thomas L. Mumaw Melissa M. Krueger
6	Attorneys for Arizona Public Service Company
7	
8	ORIGINAL and thirteen (13) copies of the foregoing filed this 13 day of November, 2015, with:
10	Docket Control ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
11	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
12	COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered this day of November, 2015 to:
13	day of November, 2015 to:
14	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Dwight Nodes
15	Legal Division Chief Administrative Law Judge Arizona Corporation Commission Arizona Corporation Commission
16	1200 West Washington Street 1200 West Washington Street
17	Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Phoenix, Arizona 85007
18	Thomas Broderick C. Webb Crockett
19	Utilities Division Patrick J. Black Arizona Corporation Commission Fennemore Craig, P.C.
20	1200 West Washington Street 2394 East Camelback Road, Suite 600
21	Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Phoenix, Arizona 85016-3429 Attorneys for AECC and Freeport-McMoRan
22	Dillon Holmes Court S. Rich Clean Power Arizona Rose Law Group pc
23	9635 North 7 <sup>th</sup> Street, #47520 7144 East Stetson Drive, Suite 300
24	Phoenix, Arizona 85068 Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Attorney for TASC
25	Mall A
26	

## PUBLIC NOTICE OF PROCEEDING AT THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION REGARDING THE COST TO SERVE CUSTOMERS WITH DISTRIBUTED GENERATION AND THE VALUE OF DISTRIBUTED GENERATION

The Arizona Corporation Commission (Commission) has initiated a generic proceeding to investigate the cost to serve customers with distributed generation, and the value of distributed generation, in Docket No. E-00000J-14-0023. The proceeding will include an evidentiary hearing and is intended to produce a factual record that will be available to the Commission in future proceedings for electric public service corporations.

Any person having a direct and substantial interest in this proceeding is entitled to intervene as a matter of law. Persons desiring to intervene must file a Motion to Intervene with the Commission in the above-referenced docket and send a copy of the Motion to all parties of record in the docket. The Motion to Intervene must contain the following:

- 1. The name, address, and telephone number of the proposed intervenor and of any person upon whom service of documents is to be made if different than the intervenor.
- 2. A short statement of the proposed intervenor's interest in the proceeding.
- 3. A statement certifying that a copy of the Motion to Intervene has been mailed to all parties of record.

The granting of motions to intervene is governed by Arizona Administrative Code R14-3-105, except that all motions to intervene must be filed on or before [INSERT DATE]. For information about requesting intervention, visit the Commission's webpage at http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/utilities/forms/interven.pdf.