

ORIGINAL



0000164038

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

SUSAN BITTER SMITH - Chairman
BOB STUMP
BOB BURNS
DOUG LITTLE
TOM FORESE

RECEIVED
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

2015 SEP 2 PM 3 11

In the matter of:

RED MOUNTAIN FUNDING, INC., an Arizona
corporation; and

BRYAN J. SAMMONS and MARY L. SAMMONS,
husband and wife,

Respondents.

DOCKET NO. S-20920A-14-0388

EIGHTH
PROCEDURAL ORDER
(Vacates Hearing)

BY THE COMMISSION:

On November 14, 2014, the Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") filed a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing Regarding Proposed Order to Cease and Desist, for Restitution, for Administrative Penalties, and for Other Affirmative Action ("Notice") against Red Mountain Funding, Inc., and Bryan J. Sammons and Mary L. Sammons (collectively "Respondents"), in which the Division alleged violations of the Arizona Securities Act ("Act") in connection with the execution of notes.

The spouse of Bryan J. Sammons, Mary L. Sammons ("Respondent Spouse"), is joined in the action pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2031(C) solely for the purpose of determining the liability of the marital community.

The Respondents were duly served with a copy of the Notice.

On December 1, 2014, Respondents filed a Request for Hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1972 and A.A.C. R14-4-306.

On December 2, 2014, by Procedural Order, a pre-hearing conference was scheduled for December 22, 2014.

...

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

SEP 02 2015

DOCKETED BY RAU

1 On December 9, 2014, the Respondents filed a Motion to Continue the Prehearing Conference
2 Scheduled for December 22, 2014. The reason for a continuance was attributed to a vacation by
3 Respondents' counsel. Respondents' counsel asserted he contacted counsel for the Securities
4 Division and stated that a short continuance would not be opposed.

5 On December 10, 2014, by Procedural Order, the pre-hearing conference was rescheduled to
6 January 6, 2015.

7 On December 22, 2014, the Respondents filed an Answer.

8 On December 26, 2014, by Procedural Order, the pre-hearing conference, scheduled for
9 January 6, 2015, was rescheduled to January 26, 2015.

10 On January 26, 2015, a pre-hearing conference was held. The Division and the Respondents
11 appeared through counsel. The parties requested that a status conference and hearing be scheduled.

12 On January 26, 2015, by Procedural Order, a hearing was scheduled to commence on
13 September 21, 2015. A status conference was scheduled for July 21, 2015.

14 On July 21, 2015, a status conference was held. The Division and the Respondents appeared
15 through counsel. The parties requested a postponement of the deadline for the exchange of their
16 exhibits and witness lists. The parties also requested another status conference be scheduled prior to
17 the hearing.

18 Also on July 21, 2015, by Procedural Order, a status conference was scheduled for September
19 2, 2015. It was further ordered that the hearing would remain scheduled to commence on September
20 21, 2015. The exchange of witness lists and exhibits was ordered for August 21, 2015.

21 On August 19, 2015, the Respondents filed a Motion to Continue Deadline for Exchanging
22 Lists of Witnesses and Exhibits. The Respondents requested that the deadline for the exchange of
23 witness lists and exhibits be continued to August 31, 2015, because the parties were engaged in
24 settlement discussions. The Respondents asserted that Division counsel had been contacted and he
25 did not oppose a continuance.

26 On August 20, 2015, by Procedural Order, good cause was found to continue the exchange of
27 witness lists and exhibits to August 31, 2015.

28 ...

1 On August 28, 2015, the Respondents filed a Motion to Continue Deadline for Exchanging
2 Lists of Witnesses and Exhibits. The Respondents requested that the deadline for the exchange of
3 witness lists and exhibits be continued to September 4, 2015, because the parties were engaged in
4 ongoing settlement discussions. The Respondents asserted that Division counsel had been contacted
5 and he did not oppose a continuance.

6 Also on August 28, 2015, by Procedural Order, good cause was found to continue the
7 exchange of witness lists and exhibits to September 4, 2015.

8 On September 2, 2015, a status conference was held. The Division and the Respondents
9 appeared through counsel. The parties announced that they had agreed to settle the matter by a
10 proposed consent order. The parties stated that they expect to present the proposed consent order to
11 the Commission for consideration at the Open Meeting scheduled for October 20 and 21, 2015. The
12 parties also requested that the hearing be vacated.

13 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that **the hearing currently scheduled to commence on**
14 **September 21, 2015, is vacated.**

15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113-Unauthorized
16 Communications) is in effect and shall remain in effect until the Commission's Decision in this
17 matter is final and non-appealable.

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Rules 31 and 38 of the Rules
19 of the Arizona Supreme Court and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to the practice of law and admission
20 *pro hac vice*.

21 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal or representation must be made in compliance
22 with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Rule 42 of the
23 Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court). Representation before the Commission includes appearances
24 at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is
25 scheduled for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the
26 Administrative Law Judge or the Commission.

27 ...

28 ...

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter,
2 amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by
3 ruling at hearing.

4 DATED this 2nd day of September, 2015.


5
6
7
8 
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
MARK PRENY
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

11 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered
12 this 2nd day of September, 2015, to:

12 Paul J. Roshka, Jr.
13 Craig M. Waugh
14 POLSINELLI, PC
15 CityScape
16 One East Washington Street, Suite 1200
17 Phoenix, AZ 85004-2568
18 Attorney for Respondents

16 Matthew Neubert, Director
17 Securities Division
18 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
19 1300 West Washington Street
20 Phoenix, AZ 85007

19 COASH & COASH, INC.
20 Court Reporting, Video and
21 Videoconferencing
22 1802 North 7th Street
23 Phoenix, AZ 85006

23
24 By: 
25 Tammy Velarde
26 Assistant to Mark Preny
27
28