

ORIGINAL



0000163816

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
RECEIVED

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

COMMISSIONERS

SUSAN BITTER SMITH - Chairman
BOB STUMP
BOB BURNS
DOUG LITTLE
TOM FORESE

2015 JUL 30 P 2:18

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

In the matter of:

LOANGO CORPORATION, a Utah corporation,

JUSTIN C. BILLINGSLEY and HEATHER
BILLINGSLEY, husband and wife,

JEFFREY SCOTT PETERSON, an unmarried man,

JOHN KEITH AYERS and JENNIFER ANN
BRINKMAN-AYERS, husband and wife,

Respondents.

DOCKET NO. S-20932A-15-0220

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JUL 30 2015

DOCKETED BY

THIRD
PROCEDURAL ORDER
(Schedules Hearing)

BY THE COMMISSION:

On June 30, 2015, the Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") filed a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing Regarding Proposed Order to Cease and Desist, for Restitution, for Administrative Penalties, and for Other Affirmative Action ("Notice") against LoanGo Corporation, Justin C. Billingsley and Heather Billingsley, husband and wife (the "Billingsleys"), Jeffrey Scott Peterson, and John Keith Ayers and Jennifer Ann Brinkman-Ayers, husband and wife (the "Ayerses") (collectively "Respondents"), in which the Division alleged violations of the Arizona Securities Act ("Act") in connection with the offer and sale of securities in the form of stock.

The spouse of Justin C. Billingsley, Heather Billingsley ("Billingsley Spouse") and the spouse of John Keith Ayers, Jennifer Ann Brinkman-Ayers ("Ayers Spouse") (collectively "Respondent Spouses") are joined in the action pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2031(C) solely for the purpose of determining the liability of the respective marital communities.

The Respondents were duly served with copies of the Notice.

1 On July 13, 2015, Respondents LoanGo Corporation and Jeffrey Scott Peterson filed a
2 Request for Hearing pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-306.

3 On July 15, 2015, Respondents John Keith Ayers and Jennifer Ann Brinkman-Ayers filed a
4 Request for Hearing.

5 On July 17, 2015, by Procedural Order, a pre-hearing was scheduled to commence on July 30,
6 2015.

7 On July 22, 2015, Frank R. Mead and Joshua C. Black filed a Notice of Appearance on behalf
8 of the Billingsleys.

9 On that same date, Respondent Justin Billingsley filed a Request for Hearing.

10 On July 23, 2015, by Procedural Order, the pre-hearing conference scheduled for July 30,
11 2015, was affirmed.

12 On July 30, 2015, the pre-hearing conference was held as scheduled. The Division and
13 Respondents appeared through counsel. The parties requested the scheduling of a hearing and agreed
14 to the date of February 4, 2016.

15 Accordingly, a hearing should be scheduled.

16 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that a **hearing** shall be held commencing on **February 4,**
17 **2016, at 10:00 a.m.,** at the Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington Street, Hearing Room No.
18 1, Phoenix, Arizona.

19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **the parties shall also set aside February 5, and 8-12,**
20 **2016 for additional days of hearing,** if necessary.

21 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **the Division and Respondents shall exchange copies of**
22 **their Witness Lists and copies of the Exhibits by December 21, 2015,** with courtesy copies
23 provided to the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

24 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **if the parties reach a resolution of the issues raised in**
25 **the Notice prior to the hearing, the Division shall file a Motion to Vacate the Proceeding.**

26 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113-Unauthorized
27 Communications) is in effect and shall remain in effect until the Commission's Decision in this
28 matter is final and non-appealable.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Rules 31 and 38 of the Rules
2 of the Arizona Supreme Court and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to the practice of law and admission
3 *pro hac vice*.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal or representation must be made in compliance
5 with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Rule 42 of the
6 Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court). Representation before the Commission includes appearances
7 at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is
8 scheduled for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the
9 Administrative Law Judge or the Commission.

10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter,
11 amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by
12 ruling at hearing.

13 DATED this 30th day of July, 2015.

14
15 
16 _____
MARK PRENEY
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

17 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered
18 this 30th day of July, 2015, to:

19 Alan S. Baskin
20 BASKIN RICHARDS PLC
21 2901 North Central Ave., Suite 1150
22 Phoenix, AZ 85012
23 Attorneys for LoanGo Corporation
24 and Jeffrey Peterson

25 Kevin Fallon McCarthy
26 MCCARTHY LAW PLC
27 4250 North Drinkwater Blvd., Suite 320
28 Scottsdale, AZ 85251
Attorney for John Keith Ayers
and Jennifer Ann Brinkman-Ayers

Frank R. Mead
Joshua C. Black
LORONA MEAD, PLC
3838 N. Central Avenue, Suite 100
Phoenix, AZ 85012
Attorneys for Respondents Justin and Heather Billingsley

1 Matthew Neubert, Director
Securities Division
2 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1300 West Washington Street
3 Phoenix, AZ 85007

4 COASH & COASH, INC.
Court Reporting, Video and Videoconferencing
5 1802 North 7th Street
Phoenix, AZ 85006
6

7 By: Rebecca Unquera
Rebecca Unquera
8 Assistant to Mark Preny

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28