

ORIGINAL



0000163588

Debra Scordato

From: Adrian Pulkrabek <phxdental1@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2015 12:11 PM
To: Forese-Web
Subject: Trico: Docket No. E-01461A-15-0057 ~~REVEAL CUSTOMER INFORMATION~~

Dear Commissioner Forese,

Commission members were elected by the arizona public to serve the best interest of the people, not what's in the best interest of the big corporations that continue to advance themselves excessively large salaries and bonuses at public expense. Has anyone from APS answered the question regarding APS funding college tuition for APS employees children and young adults?

Regarding APS trying to charge extra fees to solar cutomers: individuals that have invested in solar panels on their personal homes have taken an big financial risk and the return on investment is close to 10-12 years.(minimum) For APS to promise rebates and discounts initially and later try to alter or eliminate those promises should be considered a punishable offense towards APS.

Oh but wait, APS will pay for fines by raising rates on all of its customers. Why not let APS pay for any fines by taking mandatory salary decreases with bonuses eliminated.

One last point: Why is APS allowed to charge APS solar customers a delivery service charge? Shouldn't this charge be a wash to all solar customers because of our delivery of electricity to them as well?

Sincerely,

Adrian Pulkrabek

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JUL 02 2015

DOCKETED BY MUB

AZ CORP COMMISSIO
DOCKET CONTROL

2015 JUL -2 P 2:18

RECEIVED

Debra Scordato

From: Mary Ogilvie-Goldstein <mare.og@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2015 12:00 PM
To: Forese-Web
Subject: Trico: Docket No. E-01461A-15-0057 ~~SSA EC. E-01975A-15-0127~~

Dear Commissioner Forese,

My husband and I want to install solar panels, but we are hesitant to do so until the above matter has been settled. People should be rewarded for going solar, NOT penalized for it. As I see it, we in Arizona have great problems with keeping up with demand for power and water for our homes. Those who choose a method such as solar should be encouraged with tax deductions and with rate REDUCTIONS from power companies.

Sincerely,

Mary Ogilvie-Goldstein

Debra Scordato

From: Greg Collins <greg@verdesolarpower.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2015 11:57 AM
To: Forese-Web
Subject: Trico: Docket No. E-01461A-15-0057 ~~SSVEC: E-01461A-15-0127 AP 5-15-15~~

Dear Commissioner Forese,

Since fairness is apparently the standard upon which to decide utility rates, lets be fair:

Let's include the unaccounted medical costs identified in the Harvard Medical School's study showing the debilitating health care costs due to burning coal in the United States to be between \$300 - 500 Billion annually.

Let's include the rising costs of energy identified in the APS 5-year study that concludes that no matter what strategy is used to meet capacity growth, that rates will have to go up. Rising rates should not just be blamed on revenue loss due to homeowners installing their own generation.

It is entirely possible that solar generation has alleviated the need to install fuel based generation and thus is helping to keep rates down! Not to mention that if there was a level playing field which included all the costs of burning fuels - clean energy sources would definitely be the best value. Utilities are in effect receiving huge subsidies by not have to pay the societal costs of dirty generation sources. They are not paying for nuclear commissioning, acid rain, pulmonary disease, etc, etc. - not to mention the controversial potential of climate change.

Clean, renewable solar power is the way forward (especially here in sunny Arizona) and utilities need to get on with the process of reorganizing their business model to encourage it.

Sincerely,

Greg Collins

Debra Scordato

From: Charles & Rebecca Goff <hollygoff@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2015 11:47 AM
To: Forese-Web
Subject: Trico: Docket No. E-01461A-15-0057 SSVEC: E-01373A-15-0127 APS: E-01373A-15-0127

Dear Commissioner Forese,

As you are well aware, three Arizona utilities (APS, Trico, and SSVEC) are currently trying to tax solar customers like us. They are attempting to do this outside of the established proceeding, called a rate case.

Arizona Corporation Commissioners and Staff have gone on the record as stating that solar rates should be discussed in a rate case:

Commissioner Doug Little: "In my view, the best place for such analysis is within the context of the next APS rate case."

Commissioner Tom Forese: "You just have to make sure that you find the balance and that is done in a rate case."

Commission Chairman Susan Bitter Smith: "We agree with Staff's view that the issues presented herein will likely need to be addressed and considered as part of APS's next rate case filing."

Commissioner Bob Stump: "We recognize that a complete consideration of the many facets of these issues must await APS's next rate case."

Commissioner Bob Burns: "I'm now of the position that we ought to do this rate design within a rate case."

Corporation Commission Staff: "Staff believes that the Commission should dismiss Trico's application, without prejudice, and order Trico to file a general rate case."

Even APS agrees. In August, an APS spokesman said "We have consistently said we have no current plans to ask the commission for any change in the \$5-per-month charge on residential rooftop solar installations prior to the next rate case because we are focused on the broader rate-design discussion."

We are demanding that Commissioners stick to their word, and only discuss solar rates in the proper venue - a rate case. This allows for due process and for the ACC to evaluate all rates together.

Sincerely,

Charles & Rebecca Goff

Debra Scordato

From: John Stuckey <jostuckey49@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2015 12:12 PM
To: Forese-Web
Subject: Trico: Docket No. E-01461A-15-0057 ~~SSVEC. E-01461A-15-0057~~

Dear Commissioner Forese,

In a state that averages c. 330 days of sunshine per year, it should be illegal to erect any significant structure needing power that DOESN'T have solar panels. To penalize people for doing the responsible thing is insane.

Do the right thing!

Sincerely,

John Stuckey

Debra Scordato

From: Tom Wilson <telepsychic@centurylink.net>
Sent: Thursday, July 02, 2015 12:13 PM
To: Forese-Web
Subject: Trico: Docket No. E-01461A-15-0057 ~~SSVEC: E-01375A-15-0127 APS: 15-0248~~

Dear Commissioner Forese,

Stick to your word and ensure a fair rate-making process.

Sincerely,

Tom Wilson