

ORIGINAL



0000163373

RECEIVED

Ms. Desma Carey
8565 E Hawthorn Ln
Hereford, AZ 85615-9119

2015 JUN -4 P 2:10

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

May 4, 2015

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

JUN 04 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

DOCKETED BY
DAB

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Desma Carey

Ms. Renate Kloppinger-Todd
PO Box 268
Sonoita, AZ 85637-0268
(520) 455-0380

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Renate Kloppinger-Todd

Dr. Tim Rolle
5344 S Arabian Dr
Sierra Vista, AZ 85650-9199

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Dr. Tim Rolle

Mr. Edward Ehrenberger
2783 Glenview Dr
Sierra Vista, AZ 85650-5734
(520) 378-1313

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Edward Ehrenberger

Ms. Emojean Girard
910 W Schafer Dr
Tucson, AZ 85705-1526

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Emojean Girard

Mr. Gary Munroe
532 Camelot Dr
Sierra Vista, AZ 85635-4704
(520) 458-2008

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Gary Munroe

Mr. Jerry: Kilgore
6420 S Y Lightning Ranch Rd
Hereford, AZ 85615-9482

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Jerry: Kilgore

Mrs. Patricia Guarrera
322 N Sage St
PO Box 547
Pearce, AZ 85625-4009
(520) 826-7735

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Patricia Guarrera

Mrs. Vicky Crampton
PO Box 1178
Patagonia, AZ 85624-1178
(406) 587-8587

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Vicky Crampton

Mr. Maria and Gene Troutner
6590 N Cascabel Rd
Benson, AZ 85602-8320
(520) 212-5288

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Maria and Gene Troutner

Mr. Peter Sockness
5133 E Lower Stump Rd
Hereford, AZ 85615-9454
(520) 803-7857

May 6, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Peter Sockness

Mr. Frank Insana
1940 E Palo Verde Dr
Phoenix, AZ 85016-2628
(602) 265-7680

May 6, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Frank Insana

Dr. Nasrin Mazuji
3498 Little Hill Ln
Sierra Vista, AZ 85635-8101
(520) 732-9136

May 6, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Dr. Nasrin Mazuji

Ms. Kay Bircher
2327 N Hacienda Dr
Benson, AZ 85602-8215
(520) 720-9442

May 7, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Kay Bircher

Mr. Jacob Nolan
3902 N Fort Grant Rd
Willcox, AZ 85643-3050
(520) 254-2754

May 9, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Jacob Nolan

Mr. Robert Dobson
58 E Martin Dr
Sierra Vista, AZ 85635-1122
(520) 266-2629

May 10, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Robert Dobson

Mrs. Andrea Steele
PO Box 933
Pearce, AZ 85625-0933
(520) 826-8747

May 13, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Andrea Steele

Ms. Lenore Kester
PO Box 2091
Benson, AZ 85602-2091
(520) 586-3858

May 13, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Lenore Kester

Mrs. Nycole Hanna
19500 E Marsh Station Rd
Vail, AZ 85641-9360
(520) 762-8531

May 13, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Nycole Hanna

Ms. Wendy Burke-Ryan
1825 S Sb Ranch Rd
Sierra Vista, AZ 85635-8911

May 13, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Wendy Burke-Ryan

Mr. Al Necas
PO Box 267
Elfrida, AZ 85610-0267
(520) 559-3591

May 14, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Al Necas

Mr. David Kennedy
1602 W Weldon Ave
Phoenix, AZ 85015-5523

May 21, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Sulphur Springs Valley's solar fee! Docket #E-01575A-15-0127

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's proposal to a new net-metering tariff for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of the Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC), I am extremely concerned about the impacts of the proposal that it has submitted to the Arizona Corporation Commission to penalize and discourage new solar installations.

Cutting the rate it pays residential solar members for electricity generated to the grid from 12.6 cents per kilowatt-hour to 3.07 cents per kilowatt-hour is outrageous and will ensure that fewer systems are installed in this area. It will put solar out of reach for many of us of modest, fixed, or lower incomes.

SSVEC argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

I also suggest you consider overall how to evaluate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar and changes relative to net metering in a general rate case.

Please reject the proposal by SSVEC and keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. David Kennedy