

OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM
Arizona Corporation Commission



ORIGINAL

DOCKETED

JUN 04 2015

Ms. Heidi Lytl
PO Box 969
Vail, AZ 85641-0969

DOCKETED BY	DAB
-------------	-----

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Heidi Lytle

RECEIVED
2015 JUN -4 P 2:09
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Mr. Nelson Rodriguez
37592 S Terrace Park Dr
Tucson, AZ 85739-2211
(520) 818-1049

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Nelson Rodriguez

Mrs. Jan Cooper
4780 N Little Cody Rd
Marana, AZ 85653-8726

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Jan Cooper

Ms. Terri Spurr
PO Box 85142
Tucson, AZ 85754-5142
(520) 578-1609

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Terri Spurr

Mr. Tom Morin
388 N Waggy Pl
Vail, AZ 85641-6347
(520) 886-8797

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Tom Morin

Mrs. Gloria Howard
12425 N Derringer Rd
Marana, AZ 85653-9451
(520) 812-7220

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity, they add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please, reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track. For all our sakes.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Gloria Howard

Ms. Sally Sherman
10510 E Observatory Dr
Corona DE Tucson, AZ 85641-2305

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Sally Sherman

Mr. E C Yarter
7725 N Blanco Wash Trl
Marana, AZ 85653-9497

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

Distributed power generation will save power companies money by not requiring more new infrastructure.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. E C Yarter

Ms. Deena Wilde
17760 W Licksillet Ln
Marana, AZ 85653-8564
(520) 370-3219

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Deena Wilde

Mr. James Lamb
7046 W Resthaven Pl
Tucson, AZ 85757-8741
(520) 889-5598

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. James Lamb

Mr. Thomas McGaffey
63424 E Desert Highland Dr
Saddlebrooke, AZ 85739-2162
(520) 825-3669

May 5, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Thomas McGaffey

Ms. Sherry Ridge
14485 W Castle Dr
Tucson, AZ 85736-1378

May 5, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Sherry Ridge

Mr. Frank Insana
1940 E Palo Verde Dr
Phoenix, AZ 85016-2628
(602) 265-7680

May 6, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Frank Insana

Ms. Alexandra Wymetal
4960 W Calle Don Antonio
Tucson, AZ 85757-1409
(520) 979-4387

May 6, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs. This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use and pollution.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Alexandra Wymetal

Mr. Ed Strain
2129 #B N Margaret Avenue
Tucson, AZ 85716
(520) 682-8715

May 8, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs. This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use and pollution.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Solar customers already pay a monthly hookup charge whether they use electricity or not, just as do other customers. If the infrastructure needs more support, all customers should share the burden with an increase in the hook-up monthly fee. As Trico is a co-op, penalizing solar power customers will just give non-solar power customers more returns.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Ed Strain

Mr. Howard Grant
18410 S Camino Chuboso
Vail, AZ 85641-2259

May 12, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs. This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use and pollution.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Howard Grant

Ms. Susan Kachel
908 W Calle De Emilia
Green Valley, AZ 85614-4708

May 13, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs. This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use and pollution.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Susan Kachel

Mrs. Robin Terry
14400 W Viking St Unit 1
Tucson, AZ 85736-2020
(520) 269-3699

May 13, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs. This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use and pollution.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Robin Terry

Mrs. Eva Vangi-Stern
54 Avenida Pastor
Rio Rico, AZ 85648-8001

May 13, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs. This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use and pollution.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Eva Vangi-Stern

Mr. Andrew Arneson
PO Box 35
Arivaca, AZ 85601-0035
(520) 886-0840

May 15, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs. This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use and pollution.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Andrew Arneson

Ms. Jan Anderson
4745 N Old Ranch Rd
Tucson, AZ 85743-8642
(520) 404-1501

May 15, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs. This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use and pollution.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Jan Anderson

Mr. David Kennedy
1602 W Weldon Ave
Phoenix, AZ 85015-5523

May 21, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject Trico solar fee! Docket #E-01461A-15-0057

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to urge you to reject Trico's proposed fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a member of Trico, I strongly urge the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject its proposal to make extreme cuts to the rate it pays solar customers for generating electricity to the grid, effectively penalizing those who install solar rooftop systems.

Cutting the rate from 12 cents per kilowatt-hour to less than four cents per kilowatt-hour will make solar much less affordable for the average customer and fails to recognize the significant benefits solar provides.

Trico argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs. This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use and pollution.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption – higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Please reject Trico's proposal and do not waive the net metering rules. Keep solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. David Kennedy