



ORIGINAL

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

0000163073

APR 29 2015

Investigator: Carmen Madrid

Phone:

Fax:

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

DOCKETED BY

CC

Opinion No. 2015 - 122134

Date: 4/28/2015

Complaint Description: 19L misc line siting  
08E Rate Cases Items - In Favor

Complaint By: First: Barret Last: Hartman

Account Name: Barret Hartman Home: (000) 000-0000

Street: n/a Work: (000) 000-0000

City: n/a CBR:

State: AZ Zip: 00000 is: E-Mail

Utility Company: Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement & Power District

Division: Electric

Contact Name: Contact Phone:

Nature of Complaint:

\*\*\*\*\*RECEIVED FROM COMMISSIONER LITTLE'S OFFICE\*\*\*\*\*

From: Barret Hartman [mailto:  
Sent: Sunday, April 26, 2015 9:34 PM  
To: Forese-Web; Little-Web; BitterSmith-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web  
Subject: Gray Area for providing feedback on Docket# L-00000B-15-0059-00170

To whom it may concern,

I have a question with regard to how I should provide feedback to the Arizona Corporation Commission regarding Docket # L-00000B-15-0059-00170 for what I believe to be a gray area.

When I visit this site ( http://eservice.azcc.gov/Utilities/PublicComment/ ), I see three choices for providing "Your Position on the Docket". The choices are: "For", "Against", or "Neutral".

From what I have read and researched, I am "For" the route approved by the Line Siting Committee. I believe this is the right place for these power lines to go. However, I do know that for the proposed route that there are a few miles in which the lines would run through residential areas along the railroad tracks and if it were possible to bury the power lines for those few miles, I would prefer that modification. Based on this information, I am not quite sure which radio button to select: For, Against, or Neutral. I am afraid to say I am "Against" the route because I believe this is the right location for the power lines and I think it would be a disaster if these power lines were moved to another more populated neighborhood road, but I am confused if I can be "For" the route but still want the tracks buried for some of the route.

I have seen some e-petitions saying "Ask the ACC to REJECT the route and to bury the lines" and other comments that say "Ask the ACC to ACCEPT the route but suggest they bury the lines". There is a lot of public confusion over "For" vs. "Against" and/or. "Accept" vs. "Accept with Modifications" vs "Reject" so thank you for any help you can provide in clearing up this confusion.

2015 APR 29 P 3:34  
RECEIVED  
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

---

Sincerely,

Barret Hartman  
Chandler, AZ  
\*End of Complaint\*

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

April 29, 2015

Dear Mr. Barret Hartman,

My name is Carmen Madrid, and I am a Public Utilities Consumer Analyst with the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission. I am in receipt of the e-mail that was sent by you to Commissioner Little and I have been assigned to handle your comments.

I will file your opinion in the Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement & Power District line siting docket. I do not believe that it is necessary to select the choices : "For", "Against", or "Neutral". The opinion that you sent is clear on the position that you take.

If you have any questions you may contact me at

Regards,

Carmen Madrid  
Public Utilities Consumer Analyst  
\*\*\*\*\*

From: Barret Hartman [mailto:|  
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2015 11:59 AM  
To: Carmen Madrid  
Subject: Re: Gray Area for providing feedback on Docket# L-00000B-15-0059-00170

Hi Carmen,  
Thank you for responding to me.

With regard to Docket# L-00000B-15-0059-00170, please also note that while I am for the route as I believe it is the correct route for the power lines, that I am not against "approving with modifications" to bury the lines in the areas which impact the Sun Lakes communities as well (in addition to the homes along the railroad east of Arizona Avenue and south of Ocotillo). It looks like Sun Lakes (specifically residents of Oakwood) would be impacted where the lines come from RS-28 on Intel property and then cut west over to I-10, and the lines should be buried so not to impact those Oakwood homes. Again, if it is not possible to bury the lines then I am still for this route, but I think it is fair to try to bury the lines for these homes that were established before the threat of new 230kV power lines was there. As SRP would argue, I don't believe this sets a bad 'precedent' to always bury power lines going forward. I believe that running new 154 foot high power lines through existing neighborhoods would be a bad precedent, and effort should be made to minimize the impacts to those homeowners.

Thank you,  
Barret Hartman  
Chandler, AZ  
\*\*\*\*\*

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

---

4/29/15 Opinion noted and filed in Docket No. L-00000B-15-0059-00170. closed  
\*End of Comments\*

Date Completed: 4/29/2015

Opinion No. 2015 - 122134

---

**ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**  
**UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM**

**Investigator:** Jenny Gomez**Phone:** (**Fax:** )**Priority:** Respond Within Five Days**Opinion No.** 2015 - 122133**Date:** 4/28/2015**Complaint Description:** 19L misc line siting  
08A Rate Case Items - Opposed**First:****Last:****Complaint By:** Fulton

Brock

**Account Name:** Fulton Brock**Home:** (000) 000-0000**Street:** n/a**Work:****City:** n/a**CBR:****State:** AZ      **Zip:** n/a**is:****Utility Company:** Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement & Power District**Division:** Electric**Contact Name:****Contact Phone:** (**Nature of Complaint:**

\*\*\*\*DOCKET NO. L-00000B-15-0059-00170\*\* OPPOSE

April 14, 2015

RE: Salt River Project (SRP) Price Road Corridor (PRC) Transmission Project L-00000B-15-0059-00170

Dear Chairman Bitter-Smith and members of the Arizona Corporation Commission,

I join my neighbors in the request that SRP bury the first two miles of high voltage power lines running from Schrader Power Plant (SPP) near Ocotillo Road and Arizona Avenue in Chandler, Arizona. I also ask that this letter be added to the hearing record.

Living in Chandler adjacent to the plant has been one of growing concern to all due to the very high voltage transmission lines coming into and out of the plant as growth continues in the region. SRP has focused on economic development for our area but the time has come to reconsider the need to bury its power lines for new demands. SRP has petitioned to construct new very high voltage overhead lines and two new substations to distribute this energy for additional residential growth and for commercial demands. We now ask that quality of life be a major consideration.

During the past few years, varied research on the impact of living and working near very high electrical voltage has produced mixed opinions. Some research indicates little or no physical harm to humans, while other professional studies profess direct links to cancer and other alarming conditions. The transmission of electricity has two serious elements- radiation and magnetic fields. Like X-Rays, nuclear emissions, solar radiation and all other questionable electromagnetic field radiation (EMF), most people would like to minimize their exposure in everyday activities. Yet we don't know the full impact that every energy emission has on our health. All I know is, I want to minimize exposure of three of the most common catalysts of cancer we do know about: radiation, benzene products and tobacco products.

**ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**  
**UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM**

---

I also know a couple other realities living beside SRP's Schrader Power Plant- when weather conditions are right the power lines coming in and out of the facility "sing" and "pop" audibly as they conduct electricity. In addition, my car AM radio station gets knocked off the air occasionally when I drive beside the plant.

Tobacco manufacturing companies and others who used skilled editorial writers a couple decades ago asked us to dismiss any concerns of tobacco products. Regarding impact of living near very high voltage lines, may we continue to research the question but proceed in the most careful manner possible? We still have much yet to learn in these areas regarding health. In other words, let's err on the side of caution when questions of potential health risks exists and where actual stress and worry are real, and go ahead and bury the highest voltage lines.

Similarly, local governments have asked mobile phone companies to disguise their cell towers as trees, cactus or commercial architecture. Can we argue that these towers and very high voltage lines are just ugly eye sores and bury them on that "quality of life" question?

Former Member Arizona Legislature  
Former Member Maricopa County Board of Supervisors  
\*End of Complaint\*

**Utilities' Response:**

**Investigator's Comments and Disposition:**

4/28/15 Noted and filed for the record in Docket.  
\*End of Comments\*

**Date Completed: 4/28/2015**

**Opinion No. 2015 - 122133**

---