

ORIGINAL



0000162348

Re Docket # E-01345A-13-0069

**State Attorney General's Office Complicit in ACC Lawlessness  
Information & Perspective by Warren Woodward**

Sedona, Arizona ~ June 16, 2015 2015 JUN 19 A 11: 24

RECEIVED  
AZ COURTS  
DOCKET BOARD

Today I spoke with Don Conrad, the head of the Arizona Attorney General's Original Division. I had wanted to set up an appointment to discuss laws broken by the Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC), in particular the ACC's multiple violations of A.R.S. 40-253 (as outlined in detail on pages 2 through 7 of my appeal here: <http://images.edocket.azcc.gov/docketpdf/0000163221.pdf>).

Those violations are not a matter of opinion. A.R.S. 40-253 specifies the procedure the ACC must follow when dealing with an appeal. In the case of my appeal of last December, the ACC's multiple violations of A.R.S. 40-253 are part of the public record. In other words, anyone can look up what the law says. Then they can look up the time-line of ACC actions and see that the record is clear – the ACC broke the law repeatedly.

But in the phone conversation with Don Conrad today, he told me that he had reviewed what I'd sent him and that his position was that the matter was not worth his time or resources to pursue.

Amazing!

I report a crime, I provide the evidence, but both the crime and evidence are purposely and willfully overlooked by the Arizona Attorney General's Office (AG). You can't make this stuff up!

The AG website claims: "The Arizona Attorney General's Office is dedicated to a system of justice that is inclusive of crime victims and will remain vigilant in striving to provide the most efficient and effective service to the people of Arizona."

What a pack of liars! They really should add an asterisk after that sentence, taking the reader to some fine print that says, "Except for ACC crime victims in which case strike the word, "vigilant," and substitute with "asleep.""

I tried arguing with Conrad but it (he) was hopeless.

Does anyone think it would be different if I was reporting a crime done by "ordinary" people and not those in power?

Incidentally, this is the very same AG office that refused to investigate APS for consumer fraud despite me (once again) doing their work for them by providing copious amounts of evidence of APS lying to and misleading the public.

This is the very same AG office that has done nothing in three months regarding my Open Meeting Law complaint against the ACC despite me (once again) providing the evidence that the ACC was in violation.

By the way, Conrad is one of two lead investigators at the AG Office of the ongoing ACC

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JUN 19 2015

DOCKETED BY

Re Docket # E-01345A-13-0069

corruption scandal. The so-called "investigation" is several months old but to my knowledge search warrants have not even been issued. I think it's an easy prediction that the so-called "investigation" will be nothing but a whitewash.

Last December, Harvard University's Center for Ethics concluded a study entitled *Measuring Illegal and Legal Corruption in American States: Some Results from the Corruption in America Survey*. Is anyone surprised that Arizona topped the list?

Just a guess but maybe that's part of the campaign to make Arizona more attractive to business. (The study is here: <http://ethics.harvard.edu/blog/measuring-illegal-and-legal-corruption-american-states-some-results-safra> )