

E. 01575A-15-0127



0000162132

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMM

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM RECEIVED

Investigator: Trish Meeter

Phone:

2015 MAY 20 Fax: 10: 59

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

AZ CORP COMM
DOCKET CONTROL

Opinion No. 2015 - 122359

Date: 5/12/2015

Complaint Description: 19Y Net Metering
N/A Not Applicable

Complaint By: First: Bruce Last: Plenk

Account Name: Bruce Plenk

Home: (500) 000-0000

Street: [Redacted]

Work: (000) 000-0000

City: Tucson

CBR: solar n

State: AZ Zip: 85712

is:

Utility Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Division: Electric

Contact Name:

Contact Phone:

Nature of Complaint:

Docket No. E-01575A-15-0127

OPPOSED

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAY 20 2015

Bruce Plenk
TUCSON, AZ 85712
Home
Mobile (

ORIGINAL

DOCKETED BY [Signature]

I think that SSVEC's proposed change to their net metering policy is unfair to solar and will result in less solar. We need more solar, not less. It is particularly unfair, and probably illegal, for them to have cut off solar applications for traditional net metering, per their tariff, on one day's notice! I think that SSVEC should continue its policy of booking one kWh credit for each kWh sent to the utility from solar installations, not paying \$.03 per kWh and reselling it for 4 times that to other customers!!! This proposal, like all other utility suggestions, should be heard in a rate case or a generic net metering docket, where all parties have the chance to present testimony and cross examine witnesses and any supposed "loss" or "subsidy" can be considered along with all of the other inter-class subsidies that exist in SSVEC's rate structure. It's just not fair to single out solar customers for a higher charge, rather than considering it along with all other rate issues.
End of Complaint

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Date Completed: 5/12/2015

Opinion No. 2015 - 122359
