

ORIGINAL



0000160949

Ms. Robyn Chausse
8901 N 114th Ln
Peoria, AZ 85345-3464

RECEIVED
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

May 4, 2015

2015 JUN 9 PM 4:08

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Moreover, to penalize people who are trying to reduce their energy usage while living on a budget is wrong. Our electrical bill has over \$400 per month in the summer with APS! We've reduced all the usage we can. We can't choose our utilities provider, but we should have full right to use the sun in an effort to reduce our costs and reduce our footprint...no one owns the sun.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Robyn Chausse

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

JUN 09 2015

DOCKETED BY	RAU
-------------	-----

Mrs. Carolyn Bame
3335 N Manor Rd
Flagstaff, AZ 86004-2159
(928) 527-0548

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing an outrageous penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected the proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty. Even this is wrongly done.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This is ridiculous. Solar customers do not have any share in the fixed costs. And it ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure. We SHOULD be doing everything possible to ENCOURAGE people to go to roof top solar.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. Again: we should be doing everything possible to ENCOURAGE more people to install their own rooftop solar. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. I agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Carolyn Bame

Ms. Sybil Melody
PO Box 1179
Clarkdale, AZ 86324-1179
(928) 639-0158

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

Sunlight in AZ is free and Arizona Public Service (APS) can take advantage of solar power by encouraging rooftop solar panels. I am an APS ratepayer with solar rooftop panels and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Sybil Melody

Ms. Margaret Lincoln
1109 N Fence Post Pl
Prescott Valley, AZ 86314-1467
(406) 992-1008

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

In my opinion, we have a wonderful safe and free resource here in Arizona, and every structure should have rooftop solar to take advantage of it. There should be no need to build new power plants in this state, and many of us would rest more easily if the Palo Verde nuclear plant could be retired before it leaks any more radiation.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Margaret Lincoln

Mrs. Kira Getting
16538 E Horned Owl Trl
Scottsdale, AZ 85262-9581

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am writing you to request that you do the right thing for the environment and for those who choice to install solar n their homes. Solar customers should be rewarded not penalized for their efforts to use alternative energy sources. I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Kira Getting

Dr. Keith Wetzel
1237 E Dailey St
Phoenix, AZ 85022-4476

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I don't understand why you are against solar. Why turn back? We need all of the types of energy. Charging individuals an extra fee for using the grid to send electricity to APS is short sighted.

If you really wanted to help you could support new types of batteries to make solar power more stable.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Dr. Keith Wetzel

Ms. Kristen Vassar
1918 N 25th Pl
Phoenix, AZ 85008-3521
(602) 275-7058

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

I HAVE A SUGGESTION. IT IS NOT AN ORIGINAL ONE; APS... INSTALL YOUR OWN SOLAR PANELS, FOR FREE. LET THE CUSTOMER ENJOY THE SAVINGS THEY GENERATE, BUT APS GETS THE EXCESS WATTAGE . THE COST WOULD GO DOWN DUE TO SHEER NUMBERS. WIN WIN FOR ALL. YOU'RE WELCOME!!!! SINCERELY, KRISTEN

Please reject the proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Kristen Vassar

Mr. Philip Boddy Jr
10955 N 79th Ave Lot 141
Peoria, AZ 85345-5977
(623) 878-8415

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

So you now own the Sun? How dare you! I can't wait to tell my extended family around the globe we are now paying for the Sun in a desert. Our creative writing folks at the colleges are going to have a field day on this.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Philip Boddy Jr

Ms. Rose Hall
20402 W Morning Star Ln
Wittmann, AZ 85361-9780
(623) 388-2948

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers. You send out notices saying you're paying more than your neighbors and tells you ways to reduce your usage. Then you charge owners who get solar. What is that? Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Rose Hall

Mrs. Kris Holt
895 Whispering Oak Dr
Prescott, AZ 86301-4351
(928) 227-1895

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. This is our state's opportunity to shine and stand out in our country and all over the world as an exemplar of what green energy can do. Please do not discourage our citizens in their enthusiasm and willingness to do this service for our state and country. We in Prescott are proud of our clean air and solar gains. Please support us in our efforts to go solar big time.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Kris Holt

Ms. D Katten
41667 N 51st St
Cave Creek, AZ 85331-8436
(480) 488-3165

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

I am SICKENED AND OUTRAGED BY THIS>>>>>WHAT AN EMBARRASSMENT>>>>GROW UP AND STAND FOR WHAT IS RIGHTNOT FOR MORE GREED TO HALT ENERGY SAVINGS and RESOURCEFULNESS !!!!!!!

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. D Katten

Mr. Jim Allen
3038 W Altadena Ave
Phoenix, AZ 85029-3254

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers. We purchased solar panels in 2014 and would consider purchasing it for future homes, however with APS charging fees, this is unjustified for those that are investing in the future of AZ citizens and our financial future.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Jim Allen

Ms. Jolene Pierson
3330 Chimney Rock Ln
Sedona, AZ 86336-3020
(928) 282-7999

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers. This is just WRONG! APS has a mandate to provide some of the power they sell to customers from sustainable sources. These residential rooftop solar installations can be part of that--and the customer bears the cost of generating that power. They should actually be rewarded for that, certainly not penalized!

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Jolene Pierson

Mr. Fred & Dianne Oswald
1520 Magnolia Ln
Prescott, AZ 86301-6693

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I am very disgusted at the company's proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and cost savings due to less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Fred & Dianne Oswald

Mrs. Sara Haley
14820 N 44th St
Phoenix, AZ 85032-4736

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I STRONGLY oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers. I have installed solar on my home and I feel that it only a benefit for APS. I provide energy back to APS for wholesale cost. And I also pay a lot of taxes and fees already to the tune of about \$20 on top of whatever energy I take from the grid.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track. APS can't keep calling themselves 'solar friendly' and trying to kill the solar movement.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Sara Haley

Dr. Jack & Joy Tuber
9139 N 64th Pl
Paradise Valley, AZ 85253-1843
(480) 596-8528

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customeres, like my wife and me, who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs. And, in addition, we pay extra monthly fees already, above what a non-solar rate-payer pays. And now APS want us to pay even more!?! For helping them generate electricity for others!. Seriously!?!?

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Dr. Jack & Joy Tuber

Ms. Nancy Spinelli
2065 Whippet Way
Sedona, AZ 86336-5902
(928) 274-3137

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track. Arizona should be leading the nation in solar on all levels.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Nancy Spinelli

Ms. Emily McAndrews
17500 N 67th Ave Apt 1032
Glendale, AZ 85308-1081

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution. Why put off new solar customers by adding penalties?

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Emily McAndrews

Mr. Michael Bailey
355 S 12th St Apt B6
Cottonwood, AZ 86326-3470
(928) 699-3609

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

you are at it, remove the fee you approved last year for APS on this. It is insane to tax a portion of the population who is trying to save their financial future by investing in solar energy for their home and at the same time they are investing in a cleaner environment for our state. The utilities are just trying to recover the lowered utility costs their customers are enjoying and do not care a rat's butt about anything but their bottom line. You on the corporation commission were elected by us, the citizens of this state, not the big utility companies, so why don't you do your jobs protecting the people who put you in your position. Another case of big money talking and no listening to the people who really matter???

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Michael Bailey

Ms. Ann Careau
21316 N Redington Point Dr
Surprise, AZ 85387-8230

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and as solar customer, I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

APS is asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Ann Careau

Mr. W B Harrington
7077 E Bobwhite Way
Scottsdale, AZ 85266-8538
(480) 563-3588

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers. Such action on your part only encourages me to consider going completely off the grid. With better batteries coming on line I may just have to say goodbye to APS!

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. W B Harrington

Ms. Patricia Orlinski
10511 W Kingswood Cir
Sun City, AZ 85351-2246
(623) 876-1525

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty. The Commission might encourage APS to buy into solar, so they can satisfy their investors. I'm sure that pressure from investors is driving APS' attack on solar.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar, encourage them to buy into solar, and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Patricia Orlinski

Mr. Tony Gioia
PO Box 464
Camp Verde, AZ 86322-0464
(928) 567-0789

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

As a rooftop energy producer I place energy on the grid all day long. It is rated at the wholesale price. As soon as I transmit solar energy to the grid at this low price APS sells it at a retail price. I do not object to APS making this profit in order to maintain the grid and systems however additional charges being levied simply for a greater profit margin is unacceptable and as a voter and tax payer I expect and sincerely hope you will protect MY interests and investments. Please deny the requests to raise our monthly fee. I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Tony Gioia

Mr. David Reiter
4514 W Palo Verde Ave
Glendale, AZ 85302-3724
(623) 236-8283

May 4, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I support solar because it makes sense - what with living in the desert and all!!.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated an attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. David Reiter

Ms. Lucinda Mellott
6027 N 10th Way
Phoenix, AZ 85014-1932
(602) 532-9464

May 5, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer who recognizes the importance of renewable energy. I oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers. Where such penalties have been levied, the adoption of solar energy drops dramatically.

Arizona, the U.S. And the world as a whole NEED renewable energy sources.

APS is asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

The responsible action for the Corporation Commission is to REJECT the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Lucinda Mellott

Mr. Charles Lagergren
13626 W Sky Hawk Dr
Sun City West, AZ 85375-5833
(623) 975-0444

May 5, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities PROPOSAL TO PENALIZE solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers ADD RELIABILITY TO THE GRID by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they ADD ENERGY DURING THE DAY, when demand is high and when it is MORE EXPENSIVE FOR UTILITIES TO GENERATE or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

PLEASE REJECT the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Rooftop solar owners HAVE MADE LARGE FINANCIAL INVESTMENTS in electrical production so APS does not have to make these large investments.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Charles Lagergren

Mr. Tom Brodersen
1327 Granite Creek Ln
Chino Valley, AZ 86323-5423
(928) 925-9207

May 5, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

I actually already have solar electricity, which I quickly installed before you changed the rules.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Tom Brodersen

Ms. Victoria Park
PO Box 398
Cottonwood, AZ 86326-0398

May 5, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers. I feel that this action is a corporate attempt to penalize those customers who have done the responsible thing to mitigate pollution and fight climate change.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Victoria Park

Mrs. Beth Davis
3167 N Summer St
Buckeye, AZ 85396-8359

May 5, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track. As new solar energy users we feel this increase even more than others. Every home should mandatorily have alternative energy installed whether it be solar, wind or geothermal. We owe this to the planet. It should not be for profit but rather to sustain the planet. Thank you for your support.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Beth Davis

Mr. Michael And Katherin Baron
611 N San Francisco St
Flagstaff, AZ 86001-3230
(928) 774-0844

May 5, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

My wife and I already have rooftop solar panels. If these shenanigans continue, we will get one of Elon Musk's batteries and cut off from the grid entirely, thereby fucking these bastards. Is that the direction you want the state to go?

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Michael And Katherin Baron

Ms. Anne Reeves
8092 W Paradise Ln Apt 1128
Peoria, AZ 85382-4984
(623) 486-2127

May 5, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

My husband, Stuart Cipinko and I are Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayers and we strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

We, including APS, should all be encouraging, not discouraging, those willing and able to install and maintain solar energy panels on their housing. This manner of capturing the sun's energy is, as yet, one of the most efficient and least environmentally damaging ways to increase solar power availability and use.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so that more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Anne Reeves

Mrs. Roberta Chorlton
118 W Palm Ln
Phoenix, AZ 85003-1176
(602) 253-5055

May 5, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs. We are considering installing solar panels, but until we can be sure that we will not be penalized for doing the right thing, we cannot move forward.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Roberta Chorlton

Mrs. Susan Garrity Benton
9890 E Cactus Trl
Scottsdale, AZ 85255-2542
(480) 905-3935

May 5, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track. Improvements in technology now make solar energy a viable option. As with other industries that have had to deal with changing technology, APS will have to transition to accommodate it. A transition to accommodate to greater use of solar energy should not include passing on the full cost of the transition to their customers. Remember, this is a public utility- not a wall street bank.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Susan Garrity Benton

Mr. Gary Bettum
25 Hohokam Cir
Sedona, AZ 86336-4349
(928) 204-2359

May 5, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

Can you figure out a way to still make money going with the solar trend? You have me as a "Solar Partner", do you really want to behave like this?

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Gary Bettum

Mr. Jimmy Gonzales
PO Box 1631
Globe, AZ 85502-1631
(425) 925-2345

May 5, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track. WE VOTE! CORPORATION COMMISSIONERS, WE WILL BE WATCHING YOUR DECISION. WE WILL VOTE YOU OUT, IF YOU SIDE WITH APS.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Jimmy Gonzales

Dr. Linda Pustola
15870 W Alpine Ridge Dr
Surprise, AZ 85374-2196
(623) 584-1231

May 5, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track. Stop penalizing individuals who have purchased solar and the electric companies receiving credits and money for our purchases. In addition, these companies have created their own solar fields and we existing solar purchasers should not be charged for the fields which are depreciable.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Dr. Linda Pustola

Ms. Doris Lucas
12103 W Rosewood Dr
El Mirage, AZ 85335-5247

May 5, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

APS needs to realize the rooftop solar would be helping them be more successful and not be afraid of it as competition. It seems to me we are all on the same side and shouldn't be opposing each other.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Doris Lucas

Miss Dava Esman
22956 W Twilight Trl
Buckeye, AZ 85326-7820

May 6, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Dava Esman 22956 W. Twilight Trail Buckeye, AZ 85326

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Miss Dava Esman

Ms. Wadetta Washburn
20540 W Peakview Rd
Wittmann, AZ 85361-5302
(623) 388-9361

May 6, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

Rooftop solar can be a source of product that APS can sell on the open market without the burden of upkeep, updating and maintaining the basic structure. There would be no need to expand facilities. ALL of which increases the potential for increased profit.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Wadetta Washburn

Ms. M.E. Raines
Mule Deer Rd.
Post Office
Sedona, AZ 86336-3806

May 6, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer who is planning to get rooftop solar, because it is the right thing to do on many levels. I find your proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers appalling and so horrible as to be unbelievable.

What about our planet? What about global warming? What about pollution and the destruction caused by non-renewable renewable. There is nothing positive about our continued use of fossil fuels.

You are a public utility. Your mandate is to serve the public. History will look back on you with astonishment. Progress is necessary now. We are no longer in the Dark Ages. What will your greed buy you???

You KNOW that solar is the right way to go. It is unfathomable that rooftop solar is not available to all of us.

Again: your mandate is NOT about profit for your shareholders and CEOs. You are to serve the public. You should be encouraging solar in our sunny state. The legacy you are leaving your children should be one of improvement, helping the planet, and doing the right thing, not this shameful proposal. It is bad enough that you are charging ANY penalty on solar customers. I do not have a single friend who disagrees.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. M.E. Raines

Mr. James Derrig
19006 N 76th Ave
Glendale, AZ 85308-8300
(623) 561-1545

May 6, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Mr. James Derrig

Dr. Lynne Cockrum-Murphy
18635 N 43rd Pl
Phoenix, AZ 85050-3341
(602) 569-6078

May 10, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty. We are currently paying that additional fee.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month.

APS ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Dr. Lynne Cockrum-Murphy

Mr. Malcolm Fordham
14214 W Franciscan Dr
Sun City West, AZ 85375-5226
(623) 975-1854

May 13, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

I installed a solar electric rooftop system, at my expense, approximately 3-years ago. I did so based on the conditions that were present at that time. Changing the contract conditions after the fact seems to me is breaking that contract. I made a 20-year commitment to APS.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Malcolm Fordham

Dr. Dennis Boyle
1180 Deer Run Rd
Prescott, AZ 86303-5361
(928) 445-8860

May 13, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Don't be so greedy!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Dr. Dennis Boyle

Dr. Robert Neustadt
3960 N Arosa Way
Flagstaff, AZ 86004-6809

May 13, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers. YOU OUGHT TO BE ASHAMED OF YOURSELVES! In 2015, we need all hands on deck, encouraging, not discouraging, the use of alternative energy!

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Dr. Robert Neustadt

Dr. Dorothy Rissel
150 E Camille Dr
Flagstaff, AZ 86005-8324
(928) 225-1435

May 13, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

We should be encouraging more consumers to go solar not discouraging them. As more and consumers go solar, fewer polluting power plants will be needed. Please turn down this proposal.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Dr. Dorothy Rissel

Ms. Carolyn Brown
21115 N Carrillo Trl
Surprise, AZ 85387-8285
(602) 620-4494

May 13, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

APS is trying to stifle competition! They want to be able to build new solar installations, charge their customers for doing so, and then sell the solar power to customers at market rates! Arizonans should be able to pay for their own solar panels and make their own electricity!

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Carolyn Brown

Mr. Lonnie Whittington
6842 N 4th Pl
Phoenix, AZ 85012-1012
(602) 264-2283

May 13, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Before you read the rest of this email know this: solar energy is the future and you cannot stop the future by making it more expensive. Show that you are concerned about the future of the world and not just the profit you make today by being honest and responsible in supporting solar energy. Now, on with the regularly scheduled program...

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Lonnie Whittington

Dr. Sally Rings
4114 E Mercer Ln
Phoenix, AZ 85028-3541
(602) 494-0609

May 13, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utility's proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Dr. Sally Rings

Ms. Nancy Santori
102 E Briles Rd
Phoenix, AZ 85085-2832
(623) 780-4734

May 13, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utility's proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Nancy Santori

Ms. Carol Grieshaber
3555 E Yuma Dr
Rimrock, AZ 86335-5239
(928) 592-9222

May 13, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

The sun is one of Arizona's most valuable resource. APS must work with the solar industry and stop trying to slow or stop its inevitable development. I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission approved a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Carol Grieshaber

Ms. Lorraine Menaker
1635 E Butler Dr
Phoenix, AZ 85020-3918

May 14, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

I want you to know that I do not have rooftop solar. Years ago I did join the APS campaign "solar partners" at no benefit for me to support solar energy, I donated \$7.00 a month. APS appeared then to be enthusiastic about the benefits of solar energy. APS indicated that "Solar Partners" funds would be used toward building their first solar plant. It seems to me that installing rooftop solar (for those who can afford it) is an even larger donation toward reducing need for more solar plants. For those of us who have tried in our own way to support the growth of solar energy, it has been very discouraging to see our utility companies acting in ways that dissuade consumers from investing in rooftop solar. As an Arizona resident I wish we could realize the great potential that our state has to be THE LEADER in solar energy.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Lorraine Menaker

Mrs. Marlena Machol
7154 W Pontiac Dr
Glendale, AZ 85308-9647
(623) 476-5928

May 14, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service asked for a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. They didn't get that, thankfully, but they did get to impose a \$5 per month penalty on people who try to do the right thing (That'll teach 'em, right?).

Now, APS is back, asking for a big increase to the rooftop solar penalty, from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure).

You think people who don't install solar should not have to bear additional costs? Well, yes we should. We are the ones who should be paying for our inaction, and that includes my husband and me. We can't afford solar right now and we ought to pay more for contributing to the use of fossil fuels. We owe that to our grandkids. And those who are putting up roadblocks to expanding solar, keeping it expensive by doing so, ought to be paying for that. If not for your stupid regulations we might be able to afford it by now.

Arizona should be the solar energy capital of the world, and we would be if not for the greedy, stupid and short-sighted antics of those who benefit from keeping us dependent on fossil fuels.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Marlena Machol

Mr. William Whitlock
18006 W Westfall Way
Surprise, AZ 85374-2950
(623) 975-3204

May 14, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

You and your company should be sued for corruption and conflict of interest. Stay out of our Solar World.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. William Whitlock

Mr. Eric & Cedra Spragett
1744 S Contention Ln
Cottonwood, AZ 86326-4901
(602) 283-4700

May 15, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

We are Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayers and we strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Eric & Cedra Spragett

Ms. Nancy Miller
655 Coal Dr
Prescott, AZ 86301-5850

May 15, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am an Arizona Public Service (APS) ratepayer and I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. The Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

Now, APS is back, asking for a four-fold increase to the rooftop solar penalty, increasing it from \$5 per month to \$21 per month. APS argues that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is high and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. But, unlike the utilities, they do not pass on either fixed or operating costs.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Since we here in Arizona have so much sunshine and have it all year 'round, we can be leaders in using solar energy instead of energy from sources that pollute the atmosphere and add to global warming.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Nancy Miller

Ms. Jeanne Devine
3323 S McAllister Ave
Tempe, AZ 85282-5309
(480) 968-4987

May 20, 2015

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Please reject increased solar fee! Docket #E-01345A-13-0248

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject the APS proposal to raise the monthly fee for customers who install solar rooftop systems.

I am a senior who invested in solar panels on my house because it is a wise investment in both the short and long term. In the short term, it reduces my utility costs; In the long term, it directly reduces the coal-generated pollution into our air, reduces the need for transmission lines, and reduces climate warming which contributes to global warming and its effects on health and the environment. I strongly oppose the utilities proposal to penalize solar rooftop customers.

Last year, Arizona Public Service (APS) initiated a direct attack on rooftop solar by proposing a huge penalty -- \$50-100 per month -- on new solar installations. I testified at the hearings and the Commission wisely rejected that proposal but did approve a \$5 per month penalty.

APS responded by donating millions of dollars to defeat pro-solar candidates for the ACC. Why doesn't APS invest those millions into closing its coal plants and investing in clean jobs and clean energy?

APS tries to deceive the public; but it knows that solar benefits include less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure.

Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption -- higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution.

There has been significant discussion about the need to address the issue of the costs and benefits of solar in a rate case. We agree that this is the appropriate procedure for considering this, so more information on the benefits of solar can be part of the decision-making process.

Please reject the APS proposed penalty on solar and keep Arizona's solar on track.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Jeanne Devine