

Teresa Tenbrink



0000160231

E-01345A-13-0069

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

From: Patricia Ferre <plcferre@mac.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2015 5:16 PM
To: BitterSmith-Web
Cc: Stump-Web; RBurns-Web; Little-Web; Forese-Web; Steven Olea; John LeSueur; Guadalupe Ortiz
Subject: Solar Customer Discrimination in APS Service Schedule #4.4
Attachments: FP&L 12115 ACC eDocket.pdf, A 100001.htm

2015 FEB - 6 P 4: 03

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

FEB - 6 2015

Dear Chairman Bitter Smith,

DOCKETED BY ne

Since the 12/12/2014 Open Meeting, there has been no public disclosure or transparency about the enigmatic reason(s) why residential solar customers are selectively targeted by discrimination.

Why are solar customers selectively required to have a so called "smart" AMI Solar Production Meter?

Have you forgotten your oath of office?

The January 29, 2015 APS eDocket posting perseverates in Discriminating against APS residential solar customers. No credible reason has been presented or explained. Transparency is not available.

<http://images.edocket.azcc.gov/docketpdf/0000159767.pdf>

Whatever the reason, it needs to be corrected.

- Mr. Mumaw suggested that there was a reason somewhere in the bowels of the very lengthy 2012 Decision No. 73183. We can guess that it doesn't *cut the mustard*, if it even exists.
- Director Steven Olea was supposedly headed for a huddle with engineers before he left for Christmas vacation. John LeSueur phoned me in December to report to me that the APS decision remained the same. No reason or explanation was available.

Now, the January 29, 2015 APS eDocket posting perseverates in Discriminating against APS residential solar customers in section #4.4. No credible reason has been presented and explained in a transparent manner.

<http://images.edocket.azcc.gov/docketpdf/0000159767.pdf>

Madame Chairman, for the purpose of this case, please fully consider what is being kept from the public eye, regarding APS' willful insistence on discriminating against solar customers. It is not my business if the bottom line is APS' conflict of interest, planning mistakes, greed, or depopulation agenda.

You can simply include something simple like:

The Commission, having fully considered these matters and in balancing the public interest, concludes that it is in the public interest to approve:

4.4 A Customer with a distributed generation system may participate in this service schedule. The Customer will be provided with two Non-Automated Meters, will be placed on Rate Schedule E-12 or its successor rate schedule as described in paragraph 4.1, and will be eligible for the Company's net metering (or similar) programs. The Customer will also be subject to all charges and provisions of Rate Schedule E-12 in addition to the Non-Automated Meter Fees as described in paragraph 5.1. Each distributed generation system account will be charged for no more than 1 Non-Automated Meter Set-Up fee of \$50.00 and 1 Non-Automated Meter Fee of \$5.00 per service account per month.

Respectfully submitted,

Patricia Ferre

PS:

FP&L, a company 4 times the size of APS, allows solar customers to choose an analog meter system.

E-01345A-13-0069 **freedom of choice**



ACC Commission
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

RE: APS SOLAR DISCRIMINATION Appeal

2015 JAN 21 PM 4:23

ACC Commissioners, Director Olea & Mr. LeSueur

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JAN 21 2015

Dear Commissioners,

I received this email note from Warren Woodward today:

DOCKETED BY

"Needing SMs to manage electricity going on to the grid is just another APS lie. Florida Power & Light (FP&L) has 4.5M customers (compared to APS's 1.1). They allow solar customers to refuse a SM and give them a bi-directional analog (one meter, not two). Of course they pay an extortion fee but they do get an analog."

I phoned FP&L today. I received a prompt return phone call from FP&L technical support to verify the original information from customer service. **FP&L offers an analog bidirectional meter to measure output from solar production and offers a completely analog system for solar customers.** They do not discriminate against their solar customers.

Since I own my own solar system, I can have my system professionally removed and installed in FP&L territory and be on a completely analog solar system. FP&L has a one time set up fee and a monthly charge for that service.

The important aspect is that FP&L does not discriminate against its solar customers and offers its customers **freedom of choice**.

Respectfully submitted,

Patricia Christensen Ferre